



Original Article

Adoption of improved sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) production technologies among small-scale farmers in Vandeikya, Benue State, Nigeria



Ajibade Olaoluwa AJAYI^{ID}, Michael Oluwaseyi OLADEINDE*^{ID}, Adebisi Ayodeji AGBOOLA^{ID},
Abiodun Alfred DOHERTY^{ID}, Modupe Taofikat MAKINDE^{ID} & Oluwabunmi Elizabeth FAMILOLA^{ID}
Nigerian Stored Products Research Institute

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17218938>

Editor: Dr Onyekachi Chukwu,
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, NIGERIA

Received: June 1, 2025

Accepted: June 22, 2025

Available online: September 30, 2025

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed



Copyright: © 2025 Author(s)

This is an open access article licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

ABSTRACT

Technology adoption depends on its compatibility with existing values, complexity, observability of benefits, divisibility into adoptable units, and relative advantage over current practices. This study assessed the adoption of improved *Ipomoea batatas* production technologies by farmers in Vandeikya Local Government Area of Benue State, Nigeria. A multistage sampling procedure (in conjunction with purposive and random sampling techniques) was used in selecting 584 respondents for this study. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire and analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Results revealed that most respondents, 25.9% had access to improved species, 25.0% had access to improved pre-planting facilities, and 52.6% relied on manual labour. 55.0% had no access to fertilizer and manure inputs. The majority of respondents, 74.7% spotted low yield from the local *Ipomoea batatas* seedlings, while 15.9% indicated using improved sweet potato production technologies. However, factors such as scarcity of inputs, high cost of fertilizer and labour, scarcity of planting materials, among others, militated against the adoption of the technologies. It is recommended that *Ipomoea batatas* farmers seek help in the phase of difficulties during sweet potato cultivation, where they lack awareness. This involves approaching active resources such as extension agents, credit facilitators, and other technicians who have the requisite knowledge to help resolve challenges in the pre-planting, planting, post-planting, as well as harvest and post-harvesting phases of *Ipomoea batatas* cultivation.

KEY WORDS: Carbohydrates, Protein, Proximate, *Vetellaria paradoxa*, *Ziziphus mauritiana*

INTRODUCTION

Sweet potato can be regarded as a poverty alleviation crop as a result of its high yield and economic returns (FAO,2017). It is the only root and tuber crop that can be grown and harvested within four months. Specifically, it can be grown two to three times within a year. However, domestic production of sweet potato is below the demand in Nigeria, and rising per capita income is now complemented with huge imports. (Abed *et al.*, 2024). Disseminating improved varieties and other modern

inputs to sweet potato farmers is very important to reduce the rate of sweet potato importation in Nigeria.

In Nigeria, numerous research studies have been conducted regarding the process of the transfer of improved varieties accompanied by other management practices that will produce higher yields in order to boost food security (FAO, 2017). Despite all these efforts, research findings still indicate that rural farmers in most cases find it difficult to access improved sweet potato production technologies that are suitable for their local conditions. Moreso, limited knowledge of these new

*Corresponding author: micsday@yahoo.com

technologies, as well as a lack of skills in crop production among farmers, reduces crop productivity. Most reasonable proportions of farmers are aware of the improved production technologies but do not adopt them due to some factors such as inadequate information on improved production technologies, lack of education, lack of access to credit etc; however, most peasants are uneducated and ageing, the introduction of sustainable credit into agriculture will attract the youth and the educated, but in study area, the population of the youths in the rural area has been greatly lessened by rural urban migration, as most youth want to obtain white collar jobs and are not interested in farming, this corroborated (Nwajiuba, 2012; Saliu et al., 2016). Furthermore, Lawal & Shittu (2006) posited that lack of access to credit causes setbacks to the productivity of farmers as a result of the fact that these farmers do not have resources to procure improved seedlings, chemicals, and hired labor, as well as transport and market their produce, which would have improved their productivity. However, adoption of improved sweet potato production technologies should lead to a significant increase in yield production. (Dibra, 2015). The adoption of any technologies depends on their characteristics: compatibility with the existing values, culture, and norms, complexity of the innovation, observability of the edges the new innovation has over the old practice, the degree to which it can be broken into adoptable units, and its relative advantage over other existing practices. Findings from (Bello et al., 2020) indicated that adoption of improved varieties helped raise farmers' area harvested and yield per hectare, respectively, by 0.39 ha and 217.9 kg/ha for NERICA and 0.51 ha and 210.4 kg/ha for other improved varieties, thereby increasing their productivity.

This study assesses the adoption rate of improved *Ipomoea batatas* production technologies and factors influencing the adoption of these technologies among small-scale farmers in Vandeikya Local Government Area of Benue State.

METHODOLOGY

Vandeikya Local Government Area was carved out of Gboko LGC in 1976, in sequence to the National local government reform of 1976. The name Vandeikya derives from a popular rock in the area. Vandeikya is located between longitude 80 301 to 90 001 East and latitude 6030-7000 North. It has a land mass of 183,939 square meters (0.7 Square miles) with a population of about 361,600 as at 2021 estimates (NPC, 2021) The climate is tropical sub humid with the mean annual rainfall of between 1,200 and 2,000 mm (47 and 79) averaging seven months in the year, while the mean annual temperature is 32.5 °C (90 °F). The wet season is from April to October or November, while the dry season is from November to March. The area is predominantly rural with agriculture (farming) as the major means of livelihood. The crops grown include *Ipomoea batatas*, cassava, Sorghum, and vegetables.

Population of the study

The total population of the Vandeikya local government is projected to be 361, 600, (NPC, 2021); therefore, the study covered the entire population in the area since the people are farmers and the majority are engaged in sweetpotato farming.

Sampling Technique and Sample Size

The sample size for this study was 600. Hence, there was a dearth of a comprehensive list of sweetpotato farmers in the Vandeikya local government area; Cochran's (1963) sample size determinant was deployed. (Cochran, 1963) draws on a 95% level of significance ($\pm 5\%$ confidence interval), presence of sweetpotato farmers was estimated at 50% (.5) with a marginal error of .04 (4 percentage points).

Cochran's (1963) formula derives as follows:

$$n = \frac{[Z/2]^2 (p q)}{e^2} \quad n = \frac{[Z/2]^2 (p)(1-p)}{e^2}$$

Where: n = sample size, Z^2 = confidence level, p = rate of occurrence or prevalence (the estimated proportion of an attribute that is present in a population), q = complement of p , and e = margin of error. Therefore;

$$n = \frac{[1.96]^2 0.5 (1-0.5)}{0.04^2} \quad n = \frac{3.8416 (0.25)}{0.0016} \quad n = 600.25 = 600$$

Sampling Procedures

A multi-stage sampling technique was employed. In the first stage, the twelve administrative council wards in the study area were clustered into two areas, namely the Tiev and Kyan clusters. Tiev comprises six council wards, including: Mbayongo, Mbakaange, Nyamangbagh, Ningev, Mbatyough, and Vandeikya Township. While Kyan also had six council wards comprising: Mbagbam, Mbadede, Mbagbera, Mbajor, Tsambe, and Mbakyaha. In the second stage, two council wards were randomly selected from each clustered area to make a total of four council wards. These include Mbadede and Mbagbera from the Kyan cluster and Mbatyough and Mbakaange from the Tiev cluster. The third stage involved random selection of *Ipomoea batatas* farmers drawn by 5% proportionate to size in each of the four villages, making a total of 600 respondents.

Data Collection Methods and Sources

This study employed a mixed-methods strategy approach, which involved the combination of both quantitative (closed-ended) and qualitative (open-ended) approaches in response to the research objectives (Creswell & Hirose, 2019).

Primary data were collected through questionnaires and In-depth interviews as the survey instruments. Data were analyzed using triangulation, indicating that both qualitative and



quantitative techniques were used. Such as mean score, distribution table, and simple percentage.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Rate of Adoption of Improved Sweet potato Production Technologies by Farmers in Vandeikya LGA

The rate of adoption of improved *Ipomoea batatas* production technologies was categorized into three phases, including pre-planting, Planting, post-planting, and harvesting/storage. Table 1 presents data on the rate/percentage of adoption of improved facilities for *Ipomoea batatas* (SWP) farming. 12.7% of respondents had access to financial loans for SWP farming. (Onyeneke, 2017) Posited that lack of access to credit causes setbacks to the productivity of farmers as a result of the fact

that these farmers do not have resources to procure improved seedlings, chemicals, and hired labour, as well as transport and market their produce, which would have improved their productivity. Also, 19.9% had access to current information and tips on engaging in SWP farming. 13.2% had access to *Ipomoea batatas* pre-planting, planting, as well as post-planting (harvest and storage) training exercises. 5.0% had access to *Ipomoea batatas* cultivation technologies, both foreign and local techniques. Finally, 25.9% had access to improved species of *Ipomoea batatas* seedlings as well as recent initiatives and techniques of controlling losses. This data revealed that the majority of respondents had access to improved facilities for *Ipomoea batatas* production in Vandeikya LGA. It also revealed a clear disparity in access to such facilities that are required for improved *Ipomoea batatas* farming in the local government area.

Table 1: Adoption of pre-planting improved SWP production technologies

| Pre-planting improved SWP technical facilities | Frequency=584 | Percent % |
|--|---------------|-----------|
| Access to financial loans and other inputs for SWP farming | 74 | 12.7 |
| Access to current information and innovative tips for engaging in SWP farming | 116 | 19.9 |
| Access to SWP pre-planting/planting, harvest, and storage training exercises | 77 | 13.2 |
| Access to SWP cultivation, both foreign and local technologies and techniques | 29 | 5.0 |
| Access to improved species of SWP seedlings, as well as recent initiatives and techniques for controlling losses | 151 | 25.9 |

Field Survey, 2023

Table 2 presents data on the rate of adoption of planting and post-planting of improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies. Considering the nature of mounds, *Ipomoea batatas* farmers preferred; the majority, 50.3% had a preference for heap mounds, which were used to plant *Ipomoea batatas*. Also, the majority of respondents, 52.6% relied on menial labour on their *Ipomoea batatas* farms. Findings also showed that more than half, 52.6% of SWP farmers, relied on manual labour for planting and post-planting exercises. Moreover, 55.0% of *Ipomoea batatas* farmers had no access to fertilizer and manure inputs. However, 59.1% controlled pests with various chemical applications. While 51.5% used chemicals to control weeds. Overall, planting and post-planting activities for most 67.5% SWP farmers were carried out using one form of mechanism or another in Vandeikya LGA. This is in line with (Obulamah et al., 2023) that land preparation can be manual or mechanized. This data suggests that the majority of respondents adopted certain improved technological facilities for input application in the post-planting phase of *Ipomoea batatas* production; they largely required menial labour on their sweet potato farms in Vandeikya LGA.

Table 3 presents data on the rate of adoption of harvest and post-harvest improved *Ipomoea batatas* production technologies in Vandeikya LGA. The majority of *Ipomoea batatas* farmers, 43.8% preferred the sequential harvesting technique; however, the majority, 33.4% opted for manual *Ipomoea batatas* harvesting. Most *Ipomoea batatas* farmers 61.1% refuted interests in sorting their harvest produce. The majority, 39.9%

transported harvested *Ipomoea batatas* tubers via motorcycles rather than tricycles. Considering the preparation of harvested *sweetpotato* produce for storage, the majority, 47.3% of *Ipomoea batatas* farmers applied it as a growth stopper and a humid catalyzer to prevent decay and sprouting. This solution was utilised over other growth stoppers available. While the silo and heap storage were preferred amongst 50.3% of *Ipomoea batatas* farmers in Vandeikya LGA.

Factors Influencing the Adoption of Improved *Ipomoea batatas* Production Technologies in Vandeikya LGA

Table 4: Majority of respondents 74.7% spotted low yield from the local *Ipomoea batatas* seedlings as one of the major factors that could prompt their adoption of improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies. Also, 63.3% spotted increased yield and value of improved SWP in the local market as a factor to adopt improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies. This implies that as improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies brought higher yields and an increased value for its quality, more and more *Ipomoea batatas* farmers were convinced to adopt improved technologies. Moreover, the majority, 57.1% agreed that the allocation of conducive and easily accessible farmland for cultivation was another motivating factor to adopt improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies. This implies that as more fertile farm lands were accessible, there was a need to adopt improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies for optimal production. Furthermore, 51.4% indicated availability of extension services as the precondition to adopting improved *Ipomoea batatas* production technologies. This is in line with (Okeke et al., 2020; Egwonwu



et al., 2020) who stated that Agricultural extension services can assist in transferring important knowledge and information that can assist in increasing farmers' productivity, income, and access to the market. However, 43.8% respondents spotted the ineffectiveness of some home-based traditional *Ipomoea batatas* production techniques, prompting the adoption of improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies. While 43.6% indicated accessibility to improved fertilizer inputs (inorganic and organic) as a major reason why they adopted improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies. Their access to both chemical and non-chemical-based fertilizers and preservatives to boost *Ipomoea batatas* farm yields encouraged their adoption of improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies. Moreover, 23.3% highlighted high demand for improved *Ipomoea batatas* consumption in their locality as a major factor influencing their adoption. Of course, with an increased demand for higher

quality *Ipomoea batatas*, farmers would likely adopt technologies that improve their productivity. 15.9% indicated the ease of using improved *Ipomoea batatas* production technologies and techniques as a major factor influencing their adoption. Finally, 9.1% indicated access to loans, which enhance the affordability of improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies, was a main driving force of adopting improved *Ipomoea batatas* production technologies. Lack of access to credit has been implicated in previous studies as a hindrance to adoption. Access to credit has been reported to stimulate technology adoption. It is believed that access to credit promotes the adoption of risky technologies through relaxation of the liquidity constraint as well as through the boosting of households' risk-bearing ability (Simtowe & Zeller, 2013). When credit is lacking, adoption becomes difficult.

Table 2: Adoption of planting and post-planting improved SWP technologies

| Planting & post planting technologies | Measurements | Frequency | Percent % |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| What mound do you use for planting your SWP? | None | 43 | 7.4 |
| | Ridges | 247 | 42.3 |
| | Heaps | 294 | 50.3 |
| | Total | 584 | 100 |
| What kind of labour do you use on your SWP farm? | Mechanical | 255 | 43.7 |
| | Manual | 307 | 52.6 |
| | Technological | 22 | 3.8 |
| | Total | 584 | 100 |
| What type of farm input do you apply to your SWP farm? | None | 316 | 55.0 |
| | Manure | 88 | 15.3 |
| | Fertilizer | 100 | 17.4 |
| | Mixed | 71 | 12.3 |
| | Total | 575 | 100 |
| How do you control pests? | None | 35 | 6.0 |
| | Chemical applications | 345 | 59.1 |
| | Manual picking | 204 | 34.9 |
| | Total | 584 | 100 |
| How do you control weeds in your SWP farm? | Chemical method | 301 | 51.5 |
| | Manual methods | 283 | 48.5 |
| | Total | 584 | 100 |
| What method do you use for SWP farming exercises? | Mechanized methods | 394 | 67.5 |
| | Non-mechanical methods | 190 | 32.5 |
| | Total | 584 | 100 |

Field Survey, 2023



Table 3: Adoption of harvest/postharvest improved SWP production technologies

| harvest/postharvest improved technologies | | Frequency | Percent % |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Which style of harvesting technologies do you use on your SWP farm? | Manual Harvesting | 195 | 33.4 |
| | Mechanical Harvesting | 133 | 22.8 |
| | Sequential Harvesting | 256 | 43.8 |
| | Total | 584 | 100 |
| How do you sort harvested SWP tubers? | I don't sort my products | 357 | 61.1 |
| | Manually by sight and hand | 169 | 28.9 |
| | By machine (scales) | 58 | 9.9 |
| | Total | 584 | 100 |
| How do you transport harvested SWP | Wheelbarrows and charts | 140 | 24.0 |
| | Motor and Tricycles | 233 | 39.9 |
| | Conventional Vehicles | 211 | 36.1 |
| | Special harvester trucks | 0 | 0.0 |
| | Total | 584 | 100 |
| Which preservative do you prefer for your SWP product? | Ashes | 77 | 13.5% |
| | Sand | 269 | 47.3% |
| | Saw Dust | 190 | 33.4% |
| | Refine Dust | 33 | 5.8% |
| | Total | 569 | 100.0% |
| Which storage type do you have access to for your SWP? | Pit Storage | 136 | 24.0% |
| | Clamp/Evaporative Storage | 52 | 9.2% |
| | Silo/Heap storage | 285 | 50.3% |
| | Indoor storage | 94 | 16.6% |
| | Total | 567 | 100.0% |

Field Survey, 2023

Table 4: Factors influencing the adoption of improved SWP production technologies in Vandeikya LGA

| Factors influencing the adoption of improved SWP technologies in Vandeikya LGA | Frequency N = 584 | Percent % |
|--|----------------------|-----------|
| Low yield of local SWP seedlings/vines | 433 | 74.7 |
| Ineffectiveness of some home-based traditional SWP production techniques | 254 | 43.8 |
| Allocation of conducive and easily accessible farmland for SWP cultivation | 331 | 57.1 |
| Access to improved fertilizer inputs (inorganic and organic) and other chemical and non-chemical preservatives | 253 | 43.6 |
| Access to loans that enhance the affordability of improved SWP technologies | 53 | 9.1 |
| High demand for improved SWP consumption in my locality | 135 | 23.3 |
| Ease of use of improved SWP technologies/facilities | 92 | 15.9 |
| Increased yield and value of improved SWP in the local market for SWP farmers | 367 | 63.3 |
| Availability of extension services for improved SWP technologies | 298 | 51.4 |

Field Survey, 2023

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The inability of the farmers to adopt most of the technologies could be attributed to several factors. In some cases, the characteristics of the technology play a significant role. It could be argued that farmers who perceive the technology as consistent with their needs and compatible with their environment are likely to adopt it since they find it a positive investment. Farmers' perception of the performance of the

technologies significantly influences their decision to adopt them. Therefore, for ease of adoption of any new technology to be introduced to farmers, they should be involved in its evaluation to find its suitability to their circumstances.

In light of these study findings, the following conclusions were drawn. The inconsistencies in the adoption of some of the improved *Ipomoea batatas* technologies by farmers in Vandeikya LGA were due to their unaffordability,



inaccessibility, and inadequate information on sweet potato production technologies. Thus, the rate of adoption of improved *Ipomoea batatas* production technologies by Farmers in Vandeikya LGA is low, which was determined by the inconsistency of farmers. Based on the findings of the study, it is recommended that non-governmental organizations, Private sector actors, and relevant agencies should collaborate with the Benue State Agricultural Development Authority (BNARDA) by organizing more specialized training to address the gap in knowledge amongst the farmers in the study area. This would enable the farmers to improve their skills and obtain optimum information regarding the adoption of these technologies. In addition, government and non-governmental organizations should gear efforts to link *Ipomoea batatas* farmers to credible financial institutions that enable them to access loans. With the provision of such loans, local SWP farmers could afford most of the improved SWP technologies that were made available to them.

REFERENCES

- Abed, J. N., Oselebe, H., Chukwu, S. and Zakari, I. (2024). Genetic diversity of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) accessions from Nigeria and Niger based on agromorphological. *International Journal of Environment Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 9(5), 86-95. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.95.9>
- Bello, L.O., Baiyegunhi, L.J.S. and Danso-Abbeam, G. (2020). Productivity impact of improved rice varieties adoption: case of smallholder rice farmers in Nigeria. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 30(8), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2020.1776488>.
- Creswell, J. W. and Hirose, M. (2019). Mixed methods and survey research in family medicine and community. *Family Medicine and Community Health*, 7(2), e000086. <https://doi.org/10.1136/fmch-2018-000086>.
- Dibra, M. (2015). Rogers theory on Diffusion of innovations-the most appropriate theoretical model in the study of factors influencing the integration of sustainability in tourism businesses. *Procedia-Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 195(2015), 1453-1462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.06.443>.
- Egwonwu, H. A and Ozor, C. P (2020) Assessment of adoption of improved sweet potato production technologies among farmers in ohaji/egbema local government area of imo state, nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 18(1), 50-58. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jafs.v18i1.5>.
- FAO. (2017). Global Production and Consumption Of Roots And Tubers. Retrieved from <https://www.fao.org/wairdocs/tac/x5791e/x5791e0q.htm>
- Hydrobo, M., Kosec, K., Gartaula, H. N., Campenhout, B. V. and Carrillo, L. (2024). Making complementary agricultural resources, technologies, and services more gender-responsive. *Global Food Security*, 42, 100778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2024.100778>.
- National Population Commission (NPC) (2021). Population Figure. Federal Republic of Nigeria, Abuja. Retrieved from <http://www.npc.gov>.
- Obulamah, N.M., Salau, E.S., Luka, E.G. and Umar, H.S. (2023). Assessment of training needs on agrochemical safety measures among crop farmers in Southern agricultural zone of Nasarawa State, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Agricultural Research*, 11(1), 2-10. https://jaarbox.com/storage/app/public/files/1/JAAR,%20Vol%2011%20N0.1%20August%202023_1726653800.pdf.
- Okeke, M.N., Mbah, E.N., Madukwe, M.C. and Nwalieji, H.U. (2019). Adoption of improved sweet potato production technologies among small-scale farmers in South East, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension Economics and Sociology*, 37(4), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.9734/AJAEES/2019/v37i430279>.
- Onyeneke, R.U. (2017). Determinants of adoption of improved technologies in rice production in Imo State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural research*, 12(11), 888-896. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2016.11737>.
- Simtowe, F and Zeller, M (2013). The impact to access credit on the adoption of Hybrid maize in Malawi; An empirical test of an agricultural household model under credit market failure. MPRA. Paper no. 45

