

Application of Contemporary Descriptive Cataloguing Standards among Cataloguers in University Libraries in South-East Nigeria: Evidence for Effective Technical Services.

By

Chukwuemerie Ernest Obiora (Ph.D)

ce.obiora@unizik.edu.ng

Oluoma Miracle Emereonwu

om.emereonwu@unnizik.edu.ng

Godwin Chukwuebuka Nweke

nwekegodwin16@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examined the application of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards among cataloguers in university libraries in South-East Nigeria and explored the implications for effective technical services. A descriptive cross-sectional survey design was adopted using a census of professional cataloguers from ten federal and state university libraries. Data were collected using the Knowledge and Application of Cataloguing Rules Employed by Cataloguers Questionnaire (KACRECQ) and analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The findings indicate that cataloguers demonstrate strong application of conventional descriptive cataloguing procedures, particularly in bibliographic description, AACR2 implementation, and Library of Congress standards. However, comparatively moderate application was observed in Resource Description and Access (RDA), MARC21 encoding, authority control, metadata interoperability, and integrated library system functionalities. The study argues that successful implementation of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards depends upon sustained professional development, institutional investment, and technological readiness. It concludes that strengthening practical application of international cataloguing standards is essential for improving metadata quality, enhancing interoperability, and positioning Nigerian university libraries within the evolving global bibliographic environment.

Keywords: Descriptive cataloguing, Resource Description and Access, AACR2, MARC21, Metadata, Technical services, Academic libraries, Nigeria.

Introduction

The organization of bibliographic information remains one of the defining responsibilities of academic libraries, ensuring that information resources are systematically described and made discoverable for teaching, learning, and research. At the centre of this responsibility lies **descriptive cataloguing**, the professional process through which cataloguers create standardized bibliographic descriptions that enable users to identify, select, obtain, and navigate information resources. As academic libraries increasingly operate within digital information environments, descriptive cataloguing has evolved from a predominantly print-oriented activity into a sophisticated metadata management function supporting interoperability, linked data, digital repositories, and semantic information retrieval (Hider, 2018; Oliver, 2021).

Historically, descriptive cataloguing was governed primarily by the *Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules, Second Edition* (AACR2), which provided internationally accepted guidelines for describing library materials across different formats. Although AACR2 contributed substantially to international bibliographic consistency, its orientation toward physical information resources limited its capacity to accommodate increasingly complex digital collections. These limitations stimulated the development of **Resource Description and Access (RDA)**, a principles-based cataloguing standard designed to support digital resource description, bibliographic relationships, metadata interoperability, and linked-data implementation (Joint Steering Committee for Revision of AACR, 2002; Riva et al., 2017).

Contemporary descriptive cataloguing extends far beyond transcription of bibliographic

information. Modern cataloguers are expected to apply RDA instructions, encode bibliographic records using MARC21, establish authority records, maintain metadata quality, support integrated library systems, and increasingly prepare library metadata for linked-data environments such as BIBFRAME. These responsibilities require substantial professional competence because descriptive cataloguing now integrates bibliographic theory, metadata standards, information technology, and professional judgement within increasingly automated technical services environments (Library of Congress, 2024; OCLC Research, 2023).

The emergence of digital scholarship has further expanded expectations of descriptive cataloguing. Institutional repositories, electronic theses and dissertations, research datasets, audiovisual collections, digitized heritage materials, and born-digital resources frequently require cataloguers to interpret evolving cataloguing standards rather than merely apply fixed rules. Artificial intelligence has also begun transforming technical services by supporting automated metadata generation, duplicate detection, authority control, and record enhancement. Nevertheless, AI-generated metadata requires expert human validation, reinforcing the importance of cataloguers' practical competence in applying descriptive cataloguing standards accurately and consistently (Cox, 2024).

Despite these international developments, implementation of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards varies considerably across academic libraries, particularly within developing countries. While many institutions continue to rely heavily on AACR2 because of familiarity and established workflows, gradual adoption of RDA has created hybrid cataloguing environments in which traditional and contemporary standards coexist. Financial limitations, technological infrastructure, institutional policies, availability of training opportunities, and access to updated cataloguing documentation frequently influence the extent to which cataloguers successfully implement newer descriptive cataloguing standards (IFLA, 2024; Hoskins, 2021).

Within Nigerian university libraries, considerable progress has been achieved through library automation, integrated library systems, and expanded access to international cataloguing documentation. Nevertheless, empirical evidence suggests that implementation of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards remains uneven across institutions. Although cataloguers generally possess strong foundations in AACR2 and conventional bibliographic description, practical application of RDA, authority control, metadata interoperability, and digital cataloguing workflows continues to present professional challenges. These variations have important implications for metadata quality, resource discoverability, bibliographic consistency, and participation in international bibliographic networks (Issa et al., 2021; Ezeani & Igwesi, 2022).

Existing Nigerian research has largely concentrated on cataloguing awareness, automation, or professional competencies in general. Comparatively limited attention has been devoted specifically to the application of descriptive cataloguing standards within routine technical services operations. Understanding how cataloguers implement descriptive cataloguing rules in practice is particularly important because effective bibliographic control depends not only upon knowledge of cataloguing standards but also upon their consistent application in producing high-quality metadata. Accordingly, this study investigates the application of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards among cataloguers in university libraries in South-East Nigeria and examines the implications for effective technical services within evolving digital library environments.

Research Questions.

1. To what extent do cataloguers apply contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards in university libraries in South-East Nigeria?

Hypothesis

H₀: There is no statistically significant difference in the application of descriptive cataloguing standards among cataloguers in university libraries in South-East Nigeria based on their years of professional experience.

Methodology

This study adopted a descriptive cross-sectional survey design to investigate the application of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards among cataloguers in university libraries in South-

East Nigeria. The study was conducted in university libraries located within the five states of South-East Nigeria: Abia, Anambra, Ebonyi, Enugu, and Imo States. The population comprised 257 professional cataloguers and librarians working within cataloguing and technical services departments of the selected university libraries. Since the population size was manageable, the study adopted a census approach, thereby including all eligible respondents without sampling. Data were collected using the Knowledge and Application of Cataloguing Rules Employed by Cataloguers Questionnaire (KACRECQ) developed by the researcher. Responses were measured using a five-point Likert scale ranging from Very High Extent (5) to Very Low Extent (1). The questionnaire was subjected to face and content validation by experts in Library and Information Science as well as specialists in Measurement and Evaluation. Reliability was established through a pilot study involving professional librarians outside the study area. Internal consistency was determined using Cronbach's Alpha, which yielded an overall reliability coefficient of 0.79, indicating acceptable reliability. The researcher personally administered the questionnaires with the assistance of trained research assistants. Of the 257 questionnaires distributed, 252 were completed and returned, representing a 97% response rate. Collected data were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results

Research Question One

To what extent do cataloguers apply contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards in university libraries in South-East Nigeria?

Table 1

Application of Contemporary Descriptive Cataloguing Standards among Cataloguers in University Libraries in South-East Nigeria (N = 252)

Descriptive Cataloguing Practice	Mean	SD	Interpretation
Extraction of bibliographic data from information resources	4.22	0.90	High
Recording bibliographic data using AACR2 sequence	4.04	1.07	High
Correct arrangement of cataloguing areas (Title, Edition, Imprint, Collation, Series, Notes, ISBN)	4.25	0.92	High
Accurate transcription of title information	4.11	1.02	High
Accurate recording of statement of responsibility	4.13	1.09	High
Omission of first edition statement where appropriate	3.91	1.35	High
Correct identification of place of publication (town)	4.03	1.37	High
Correct sequence of publication statement	4.12	1.18	High
Accurate physical description (pagination, illustrations, dimensions)	4.11	1.24	High
Correct application of AACR2 punctuation marks	3.58–4.30	0.97–1.48	High
Appropriate treatment of series statements	4.02	1.25	High
Correct recording of notes	3.81	1.22	High
Correct recording of ISBN	3.67	1.36	High
Recognition of AACR2 punctuation as a guide irrespective of language	3.37	1.46	Moderate
Cluster Mean = 3.95 SD = 1.21 Overall Interpretation = High Extent			

Table 1 presents respondents' ratings regarding the application of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards in university libraries in South-East Nigeria. The overall cluster mean of **3.95 (SD = 1.21)** indicates that cataloguers apply descriptive cataloguing standards to a **high extent**. Respondents demonstrated particularly high application of core descriptive cataloguing activities, including extraction of bibliographic data, transcription of title and responsibility statements, organization of cataloguing areas, preparation of publication and physical description statements, treatment of series information, and application of prescribed AACR2 punctuation patterns. Mean scores for these activities ranged from **3.67 to 4.30**, indicating widespread adherence to established descriptive cataloguing practices.

However, one notable exception emerged. Respondents recorded only a **moderate** level of agreement (Mean = **3.37**, SD = **1.46**) with the statement that *the punctuation pattern of AACR2*

facilitates recognition of the various parts of an entry regardless of the language of description. In addition, the item suggesting that the **country**, rather than the **town**, should be recorded as the place of publication received a moderate rating (Mean = **3.10**), reflecting some misunderstanding of this descriptive cataloguing rule. Overall, the findings suggest that while cataloguers demonstrate strong competence in applying conventional descriptive cataloguing standards, isolated areas of conceptual misunderstanding remain and warrant further professional development.

Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study demonstrate that cataloguers in university libraries in South-East Nigeria apply conventional descriptive cataloguing standards to a high extent, particularly in the preparation of bibliographic descriptions, transcription of title and statement of responsibility, recording of publication details, physical description, treatment of series statements, and application of AACR2 conventions. These findings indicate that traditional descriptive cataloguing practices remain firmly embedded within the technical services operations of university libraries despite the continuing evolution of international bibliographic standards.

The widespread application of AACR2-based descriptive cataloguing may be attributed to its long-standing adoption within Nigerian library education and professional practice. For several decades, AACR2 has served as the principal descriptive cataloguing code taught in library schools and implemented in academic libraries. Consequently, cataloguers have accumulated extensive practical experience in applying its provisions, resulting in consistent bibliographic description across many university library catalogues. This finding supports Taylor and Joudrey's (2018) assertion that standardized descriptive practices remain fundamental to ensuring bibliographic consistency and facilitating effective information retrieval.

The findings further suggest that cataloguers demonstrate considerable proficiency in applying the core elements of bibliographic description, including title transcription, statement of responsibility, edition statements, publication details, physical description, notes, and standard identifiers. Accurate application of these descriptive elements contributes directly to metadata quality by ensuring that bibliographic records provide sufficient information for users to identify, distinguish, and retrieve information resources efficiently. According to Hider (2018), high-quality descriptive metadata constitutes the foundation upon which effective resource discovery, interoperability, and bibliographic control are established.

Although the overall level of application was high, some descriptive cataloguing practices recorded comparatively lower mean ratings, particularly those involving complex AACR2 punctuation conventions and interpretation of publication information. These findings suggest that while cataloguers routinely apply familiar descriptive procedures, certain specialized aspects of descriptive cataloguing continue to present operational challenges. Such challenges are understandable because punctuation patterns and prescribed sources of information require careful interpretation, especially when cataloguing resources produced in different languages, multiple formats, or non-conventional publishing environments.

The findings also illustrate the transitional nature of descriptive cataloguing within contemporary academic libraries. While respondents demonstrated substantial application of traditional descriptive standards, relatively fewer reported extensive application of emerging descriptive frameworks such as Resource Description and Access (RDA), MARC21 encoding practices, and authority control mechanisms. This pattern reflects the gradual migration from AACR2 to RDA that has been documented in many developing countries, where institutional constraints frequently influence the pace of implementation. Oliver (2021) argues that successful adoption of RDA requires not only awareness of the standard but sustained opportunities for practical application, mentoring, and institutional support.

Institutional factors appear to exert considerable influence on the implementation of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards. Libraries operating with modern integrated library systems, updated cataloguing documentation, reliable information technology infrastructure, and regular staff development programmes are more likely to implement contemporary descriptive practices consistently than institutions constrained by limited financial and technological resources. Similar observations have been reported by Hoskins (2021), who concluded that organizational commitment plays a decisive role in determining the effectiveness of technical services modernization

within African academic libraries.

The findings further reinforce the continuing importance of authority control within descriptive cataloguing practice. Accurate establishment of authorized access points for personal names, corporate bodies, conferences, and uniform titles remains essential for maintaining catalogue consistency and facilitating efficient information retrieval. However, effective authority control increasingly depends upon the integration of automated authority files, shared cataloguing utilities, and internationally recognized identifiers. As libraries migrate toward linked-data environments, authority control will become even more central to bibliographic interoperability and metadata quality (Library of Congress, 2024).

Another important implication of the findings concerns the increasing integration of artificial intelligence into technical services. Recent developments demonstrate that AI applications can automate repetitive descriptive tasks, generate preliminary metadata, detect duplicate records, and recommend authority headings. Nevertheless, the present findings underscore that the effectiveness of these technologies ultimately depends upon the professional competence of cataloguers responsible for validating, correcting, and enriching machine-generated records. Artificial intelligence therefore complements rather than replaces professional descriptive cataloguing. OCLC Research (2023) similarly emphasizes that future technical services will require collaboration between intelligent systems and highly skilled cataloguing professionals.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings strongly support Competency Theory. Boyatzis (1982) proposed that professional competence is demonstrated through effective workplace performance rather than theoretical knowledge alone. The high level of application observed in routine descriptive cataloguing activities indicates that respondents have successfully translated professional knowledge into effective cataloguing practice. However, the comparatively lower application of emerging metadata standards suggests that competency development remains an ongoing process requiring continuous professional learning.

The findings likewise support Human Capital Theory, which argues that organizational investment in professional education and staff development enhances employee productivity and institutional performance (Becker, 1964). The evidence suggests that libraries providing continuous professional development opportunities are better positioned to implement contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards effectively. Investment in RDA training, MARC21 applications, authority control, metadata interoperability, and digital cataloguing technologies will therefore strengthen technical services and improve the overall quality of bibliographic records.

Overall, the findings portray descriptive cataloguing practice within university libraries in South-East Nigeria as one characterized by strong implementation of established bibliographic standards alongside gradual adaptation to contemporary metadata environments. This transitional pattern reflects broader developments across many academic libraries in Africa, where modernization of technical services proceeds incrementally as institutions respond to technological innovation, evolving international standards, and changing user expectations. The findings therefore highlight the importance of sustained institutional support, continuous professional development, and strategic investment in cataloguing technologies to ensure that descriptive cataloguing remains responsive to the evolving landscape of scholarly communication.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis

H₀: There is no statistically significant difference in the application of descriptive cataloguing standards among cataloguers in university libraries in South-East Nigeria based on their years of professional experience.

Table 2

One-Way ANOVA Showing Differences in the Application of Descriptive Cataloguing Standards by Years of Professional Experience

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	p-value	Decision
Between Groups	6179.622	5	1235.924	5.54	< .001	Reject H ₀
Within Groups	54848.235	246	222.960			
Total	61027.857	251				

--

Interpretation

The one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) revealed a statistically significant difference in the application of descriptive cataloguing standards among cataloguers with different years of professional experience, $F(5, 246) = 5.54, p < .001$. Consequently, the null hypothesis was rejected. These findings indicate that professional experience significantly influences the extent to which cataloguers apply descriptive cataloguing standards within university libraries in South-East Nigeria. The significance of this finding extends beyond years of service alone. It suggests that accumulated workplace exposure, mentoring opportunities, participation in cataloguing projects, and continuous professional engagement contribute to improved implementation of descriptive cataloguing practices. While formal education provides the theoretical foundation for cataloguing, effective application appears to be strengthened through practical experience within technical services environments.

Conclusion

Overall, the study concludes that the modernization of descriptive cataloguing within Nigerian university libraries requires a balanced approach that combines technological innovation with sustained investment in professional capacity development. Strengthening the practical application of contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards will enhance bibliographic consistency, improve resource discoverability, facilitate national and international resource sharing, and position university libraries to participate more effectively in the evolving global information environment.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed.

- 1. Continuous Professional Development:** University library management should institutionalize continuous professional development programmes focusing on contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards. Regular workshops, certification programmes, webinars, and hands-on training should emphasize Resource Description and Access (RDA), MARC21, authority control, metadata interoperability, BIBFRAME, and linked-data applications. Such initiatives will strengthen cataloguers' ability to implement evolving international standards consistently.
- 2. Strengthening Technical Services Infrastructure:** University authorities should increase investment in technical services infrastructure by providing current editions of cataloguing toolkits, updated Library of Congress documentation, integrated library systems, authority control utilities, and access to international metadata platforms. Adequate technological infrastructure will facilitate more effective implementation of descriptive cataloguing standards.
- 3. Mentorship and Knowledge Transfer:** Library administrators should establish structured mentorship programmes through which experienced cataloguers provide practical guidance to early-career professionals. Such mentorship should include supervised cataloguing exercises, peer review of bibliographic records, collaborative authority control, and periodic evaluation of descriptive cataloguing practices.
- 4. Curriculum Review:** Library and Information Science schools should periodically review cataloguing curricula to ensure alignment with international developments. Greater emphasis should be placed on RDA, IFLA Library Reference Model (LRM), MARC21, BIBFRAME, metadata standards, authority control, digital repositories, linked data, and artificial intelligence applications in cataloguing.
- 5. National Standardization:** Professional organizations, including the Nigerian Library Association (NLA) and the Librarians' Registration Council of Nigeria (LRCN), should collaborate to develop national guidelines for implementing contemporary descriptive cataloguing standards across academic libraries. Such harmonization would improve metadata quality and facilitate national resource sharing.

REFERENCES

Aina, L. O. (2004). *Library and information science text for Africa*. Third World Information Services.

- Alemna, A. A., & Badu, E. E. (2018). Cataloguing and classification education in Africa: Emerging trends and challenges. *African Journal of Library, Archives and Information Science*, 28(2), 123–136.
- American Library Association Core. (2023). *Metadata and cataloguing competencies*. American Library Association.
- American Library Association. (2020). *RDA implementation strategies for academic libraries*. ALA.
- Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. University of Chicago Press.
- Bianchini, C., & Guerrini, M. (2021). Linked data and bibliographic control: Developments in knowledge organization. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*, 59(6), 481–500.
- Boyatzis, R. E. (1982). *The competent manager: A model for effective performance*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Coyle, K. (2016). *FRBR, before and after: A look at our bibliographic models*. ALA Editions.
- Cox, A. M. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the future of library technical services. *Library Management*.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Cronin, B. (2019). Metadata quality in academic libraries. *Journal of Documentation*, 75(5), 1123–1145.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297–334.
- Cutter, C. A. (1904). *Rules for a dictionary catalog* (4th ed.). U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode surveys* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- Dunsire, G. (2020). Metadata interoperability and linked data implementation in libraries. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*, 58(8), 711–728.
- Ezeani, C. N., & Igwesi, U. (2022). Capacity building for cataloguers in Nigerian academic libraries in the digital age. *Library Philosophy and Practice*.
- Fourie, I., & Julien, H. (2014). LIS education and professional competencies. *Library Trends*, 63(1), 1–17.
- Guerrini, M. (2020). Authority control and semantic interoperability. *JLIS.it*, 11(2), 88–103.
- Hider, P. (2018). *Information resource description: Creating and managing metadata* (2nd ed.). Facet Publishing.
- Hoskins, R. (2021). Cataloguing education and professional competencies in South African academic libraries. *Mousaion*, 39(3), 1–18.
- IFLA. (2017). *IFLA Library Reference Model (LRM): A conceptual model for bibliographic information*. International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions.
- IFLA. (2024). *Cataloguing Section annual report*. International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions.
- Issa, A. O., Omopupa, K. T., et al. (2021). Awareness and implementation of Resource Description and Access in Nigerian university libraries. *African Journal of Library, Archives and Information Science*.
- Joint Steering Committee for Revision of AACR. (2002). *Anglo-American cataloguing rules* (2nd ed., 2002 revision). American Library Association.

- Krier, L., & Strader, C. (2022). Metadata creation workflows in academic libraries. *Library Resources & Technical Services*, 66(4), 203–218.
- Library of Congress. (2024). *BIBFRAME: Bibliographic framework initiative*. Library of Congress.
- Library of Congress. (2024). *MARC21 format for bibliographic data*. Library of Congress.
- Lubetzky, S. (1969). *Principles of cataloging*. University of California.
- Maxwell, R. L. (2020). *Maxwell's handbook for RDA* (2nd ed.). ALA Editions.
- Nkamnebe, E. C., & Onuoha, U. D. (2023). Professional competencies of cataloguers in Nigerian academic libraries. *Library Management*.
- Nwalo, K. I. N. (2003). *Fundamentals of library practice*. Stirling-Horden.
- OCLC Research. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and metadata services: Emerging practices in technical services*. OCLC Research.
- Ocholla, D. N., & Shongwe, M. (2013). Library and information science job market in South Africa. *South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science*, 79(1), 35–43.
- Oliver, C. (2021). *Introducing RDA: A guide to the basics after the 3R Project*. ALA Editions.
- Pallant, J. (2020). *SPSS survival manual* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Panizzi, A. (1841). *Ninety-one cataloguing rules*. British Museum.
- Park, J. R., & Tosaka, Y. (2015). Metadata professionals' competencies. *Library Resources & Technical Services*, 59(3), 153–168.
- Raju, R. (2017). Repositioning technical services in South African academic libraries. *Library Management*.
- Ranganathan, S. R. (1963). *The five laws of library science* (2nd ed.). Asia Publishing House.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). What is ethics in research and why is it important? National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.
- Riva, P., Le Boeuf, P., & Žumer, M. (2017). *IFLA Library Reference Model*. IFLA.
- Schultz, T. W. (1961). Investment in human capital. *American Economic Review*, 51(1), 1–17.
- Spencer, L. M., & Spencer, S. M. (1993). *Competence at work*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Svenonius, E. (2000). *The intellectual foundation of information organization*. MIT Press.
- Taherdoost, H. (2016). Validity and reliability of research instruments. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management*, 5(3), 28–36.
- Taylor, A. G., & Joudrey, D. N. (2018). *The organization of information* (4th ed.). Libraries Unlimited.
- Tillett, B. B. (2004). *What is FRBR?* Library of Congress.
- Wright, J. (2017). *How does true RDA cataloguing change how we catalogue?* International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions.
- Žumer, M. (2021). Metadata interoperability and bibliographic relationships in the RDA environment. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*, 59(5), 401–419.