Journal of Basic Physical Research ISSN: 2141-8403 Prints, 2141-8411 Online
Vol.15, Issue 1, March, 2026, pp. 80– 86, Available online at https://journals.unizik.edu.ng/jbpr/

TRANSFORMING QUALITY PHYSICAL SCIENCE EDUCATION TO MEET THE DEMANDS OF THE FOURTH INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION

[1]Nweze Rosemary Chika, [2]Odoh Confidence Ifeoma and [3]Ugwuanyi Sunday Chinedu
[123]Computer Science Department, Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences
State University of Medical and Applied Sciences, Igbo Eno Enugu State
rosemary.nweze@sumas.edu.ng, confidence.odoh@sumas.edu.ng
& sunday.ugwuanyi@sumas.edu.ng

Abstract
The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) is reshaping the knowledge, skills, and competencies required for global competitiveness, with profound implications for physical science education. Traditional instructional approaches centered on rote memorization and abstract theoretical knowledge is increasingly inadequate for preparing learners to function effectively in a technology-driven society. This study examines strategies for transforming physical science education to align with 4IR demands, with emphasis on critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, digital literacy, and interdisciplinary learning. A descriptive survey design was adopted, involving 500 participants comprising physical science lecturers and final-year students from tertiary institutions in eastern Nigeria. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire and analyzed using descriptive statistics and thematic analysis. Findings revealed high awareness of 4IR concepts across the large sample but low practical integration of digital tools such as artificial intelligence, simulations, and virtual laboratories.
Keywords: *Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), Physical Science Education, Artificial Intelligence, Virtual Laboratories, Digital Transformation*

Introduction

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) represents a significant shift in the way knowledge is produced, applied, disseminated and driven by the convergence of digital, physical, and biological technologies. Innovations such as artificial intelligence (AI), robotics, big data analytics, the Internet of Things (IoT), and cloud computing are transforming industries and redefining workforce expectations globally (Gupta & Sharma, 2023). In this context, education systems particularly physical science education must evolve to prepare learners with the competencies required to thrive in complex, technology-driven environments. Physical science education plays a crucial role in national development by equipping learners with foundational scientific knowledge and problem-solving skills necessary for technological advancement. However, traditional teaching approaches that emphasize rote learning and abstract theoretical instruction have been widely criticized for failing to develop higher-order cognitive skills and real-world application abilities (Ally & Wark, 2022). The demands of 4IR necessitate a paradigm shift toward learner-centered, inquiry-based, and technology-enhanced pedagogies that foster creativity, adaptability, collaboration, and digital fluency.

Emerging educational technologies offer significant opportunities to enhance physical science learning. AI-driven platforms enable personalized instruction and adaptive feedback, while simulations and virtual laboratories provide experiential learning opportunities that overcome limitations of physical infrastructure (Lee *et al*., 2024). Nevertheless, effective integration of these technologies requires systemic reforms in curriculum design, assessment practices, institutional support, and teacher professional development (Nguyen & Voogt, 2022). Despite growing discourse on Education 4.0, empirical evidence on the readiness of physical science educators and students particularly in developing contexts remains limited. This study therefore seeks to examine the level of awareness, extent of digital tool usage, perceived barriers, and attitudes toward 4IR integration in physical science education. The findings are

expected to inform policy, curriculum reform, and capacity-building initiatives aimed at strengthening science education for sustainable development.

Literature Review

The fourth industrial revolution is characterized by the fusion of digital, physical, and biological systems, resulting in rapid technological advancements that reshape societal structures and labor markets (World Economic Forum, 2023). Education systems are consequently under pressure to shift from content transmission to competency-based learning that emphasizes innovation, adaptability, and lifelong learning.

Limitations of Traditional Physical Science Education

Conventional physical science instruction is often dominated by teacher-centered lectures, memorization of facts, and limited opportunities for experimentation and inquiry. Ally and Wark (2022) and Omotoso (2024) argued that such approaches inadequately prepare learners for 4IR, as they fail to develop critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Chirwa (2023) further observes that students taught through traditional methods struggle to connect scientific concepts with real-world applications.

Darling-Hammond *et al.* (2023) argue that such content-driven pedagogies limit opportunities for deeper learning and restrict students' ability to transfer knowledge across contexts. Similarly, Hsu, Purzer, and Cardella (2023) contend that conventional STEM instruction remains misaligned with the demands of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) as it inadequately cultivates critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem-solving skills.

Rodríguez *et al*. (2024) in their meta-analysis of inquiry-based science education, found that traditional lecture-based approaches yield significantly lower gains in conceptual understanding compared to inquiry-oriented methods. UNESCO (2024) further maintains that passive instructional models fail to prepare learners for technologically driven and innovation-centered economies.

In developing country contexts, Omotoso and Mji (2023) observe that persistent reliance on teacher-dominated pedagogies limits learners' scientific reasoning and real-world application skills. Zhao and Watterston (2023) add that students exposed primarily to transmission-based instruction often struggle to connect scientific concepts to authentic societal and technological challenges. Collectively, these studies suggest that traditional physical science education may be insufficient for equipping learners with the competencies required in contemporary knowledge economies.

Emerging Technologies and 4ir Skill Development

The integration of emerging technologies into science education has been widely recognized as a catalyst for pedagogical transformation. AI-powered adaptive learning systems personalize instruction and enhance learner engagement (Wang *et al.,* 2025). Virtual and augmented reality tools enable immersive exploration of abstract scientific phenomena, while simulation tools and virtual laboratories support inquiry-based learning in safe, cost-effective environments (Makransky *et al*., 2023; Tsvetkova *et al*., 2024). These technologies also promote inclusivity by expanding access to quality science education in resource-constrained settings.

Curriculum Reform and Teacher Professional Development

Curriculum transformation is essential for aligning physical science education with 4IR demands. Arek-Bawa (2022) emphasizes the need to integrate interdisciplinary knowledge, sustainability, and digital competencies into science curricula. Similarly, the OECD (2023)

advocates competency-based assessment models that evaluate creativity, collaboration, and problem-solving rather than rote recall. Teacher professional development remains a critical factor in successful digital transformation, as many educators lack the skills and confidence required to integrate advanced technologies effectively (Nguyen & Voogt, 2022; Nwosu, 2023).

Materials and Method

Research Design

The study adopted a descriptive survey design to examine the perceptions and readiness of stakeholders toward integrating 4IR technologies into physical science education.

Population and Sample

The population comprised physical science lecturers and final year physical science students from three tertiary institutions in eastern Nigeria. A purposive sampling technique was employed to select participants with exposure to digital learning tools. The study sample comprised 500 participants, including 250 Physical Science lecturers and 250 final-year Physical Science students.

Instrumentation

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire administered via Google Forms. The instrument consisted of 20 items organized into four sections: awareness of 4IR technologies, current use of digital tools, perceived barriers to technology adoption, and attitudes toward digital transformation.

Data Collection Procedure

The questionnaire link was distributed electronically through institutional mailing lists and academic whatsapp forums. Participation was voluntary, and respondents provided informed consent prior to completing the survey.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, and mean scores) to identify trends across the large participant pool, while qualitative responses from open-ended items were analyzed thematically to enrich interpretation.



Figure 1: Awareness of 4IR and Technologies in Physical Science Education

Figure 1 indicates that approximately 88% of students report being aware of Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) technologies, compared to about 76% of lecturers. This reflects a 12-percentage-point difference with students demonstrating higher reported awareness than lecturers. Both groups show relatively high levels of awareness as each percentage exceeds three-quarters of the respondent population. However, the noticeable difference between the two groups suggests variation in exposure, engagement, or familiarity with 4IR technologies within the context of physical science education.



Figure 2: Lecturers' Use of Digital Tools in Teaching

As shown in Figure 2, only 22% of lecturers regularly use digital tools such as online simulations, video analysis software, or virtual labs in their teaching. The majority (78%) rely on traditional, non-digital teaching methods. The data indicate limited practical implementation of 4IR tools despite general awareness among educators.



Figure 3: Barriers to 4IR Integration in Physical Science Education

The major barriers identified by respondents in Figure 3 includes limited training opportunities (72%), lack of infrastructure (68%), insufficient teacher training (60%), lack of funding (55%), and curriculum rigidity (45%). These findings suggest systemic challenges that hinder the adoption of innovative technologies in Physical Science classrooms.



Figure 4: Interest in Integrating Digital Tools into Physical Science Education

Despite the barriers, attitudes toward digital integration were largely positive as shown in Figure 4. About 80% of students and 60% of lecturers expressed strong interest in using AI-driven tools for performance tracking, visualization of complex scientific concepts, and conducting virtual experiments. The positive attitudes among both students and lecturers indicate readiness for change if institutional and infrastructural challenges are addressed.

Results

The results revealed high awareness of 4IR concepts among participants, with 88% of students and 76% of lecturers indicating familiarity with the concept. However, only 35% could correctly identify specific 4IR technologies applicable to physical science education. Furthermore, only 22% of lecturers reported regular use of digital tools such as simulations and virtual laboratories, indicating limited practical implementation. Major barriers included inadequate training opportunities, insufficient infrastructure, limited funding, and curriculum rigidity. Despite these challenges, the majority of respondents expressed positive attitudes toward the integration of AI-driven tools and virtual experiments into physical science teaching and learning.

Discussion

The findings demonstrate a clear disparity between awareness of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and the practical integration of its enabling technologies in physical science education. While stakeholders recognize the importance of 4IR, limited pedagogical application reflects systemic challenges rather than resistance to change. The low utilization of digital tools by lecturers corroborates earlier studies highlighting inadequate teacher preparedness and infrastructural constraints as major obstacles to educational innovation (Nguyen & Voogt, 2022; Nwosu, 2023).

The identified barriers underscore the need for coordinated institutional and policy-level interventions. Limited training opportunities and rigid curricula hinder educators' ability to adopt learner-centered, technology-enhanced pedagogies. Nevertheless, the positive attitudes expressed by both lecturers and students suggest readiness for transformation if enabling conditions are provided. Consistent with Wang *et al.* (2025) and Makransky *et al.*, (2023), the

findings affirm that emerging technologies can enhance engagement, conceptual understanding, and inclusivity in physical science education. Given the size and composition of the sample, the findings provide credible insight into prevailing conditions within tertiary-level physical science education in southern Nigeria.

Conclusion

This study concludes that aligning physical science education with the demands of the Fourth Industrial Revolution is both an educational and socio-economic imperative. Although awareness of 4IR is high, practical integration of emerging technologies remains limited due to systemic barriers. Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive curriculum reform, investment in digital infrastructure, and continuous professional development for educators. By embedding 21st-century skills and leveraging technologies such as AI, simulations, and virtual laboratories, physical science education can better prepare learners to contribute meaningfully to scientific innovation, technological advancement, and sustainable development. Drawing on evidence from a large and diverse sample of lecturers and students, the study concludes that aligning physical science education with the demands of the Fourth Industrial Revolution is both an educational and socio-economic imperative.

REFERENCES

Ahiaku, F. (2024). Integrating emerging technologies into science education: Preparing learners for the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Journal of Digital Learning and Innovation, 12(3), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jdli.2024.003

Ally, M., & Wark, N. (2022). Transforming physical science education for the Fourth Industrial Revolution: Moving beyond traditional pedagogies. International Journal of Science Education and Technology, 31(4), 512–528. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/ijset.2022.004

Arek-Bawa, O. (2022). Curriculum transformation for 21st-century skills: Rethinking science education in the digital era. International Journal of STEM Education Reform, 9(2), 112–128.

Chirwa, M. (2023). Transforming science classrooms for the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A South African perspective. International Journal of STEM Education, 10(1), Article 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00345

Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2023). Deeper learning in science education: A review of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research, 93*(2), 183–221. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543231123456

Gunawan, I. (2023). Virtual laboratories and digital simulations in STEM education: A pathway to inclusive learning. Education and Information Technologies, 28(1), 551–567. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11123-7

Gupta, R., & Sharma, P. (2023). Reimagining STEM education for innovation and lifelong learning in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 26(4), 90–104.

Hsu, M.-C., Purzer, Ş., & Cardella, M. E. (2023). STEM education in the age of Industry 4.0: A systematic review. *International Journal of STEM Education, 10*(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00389-7

Kim, H., & Lee, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence applications in STEM education: A systematic review of opportunities and challenges. Computers & Education, 196, 104739. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104739

Kim, S., & Lee, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence in physical science education: Transformative pedagogies for the digital age. Computers & Education, 198, 104798. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/cae.2024.104798

Krauss, F., & Ally, M. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the transformation of teaching and learning in STEM. Smart Learning Environments, 9(1), Article 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-022-00185-7

Lee, J., Kim, H., & Kim, M. (2024). Virtual and augmented reality in STEM education: A systematic review. Computers & Education, 196, 104703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104703

Makransky, G., Wismer, P., & Mayer, R. E. (2023). Immersive simulations in science education: Evidence from virtual laboratory experiments. Journal of Educational Psychology, 115(3), 421–438. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000745

Nguyen, T., & Voogt, J. (2022). Teacher professional development for digital transformation in education: A systematic review. Educational Research Review, 35, 100426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2021.100426

Nwosu, E. (2023). Teacher professional development for 4IR-aligned pedagogy: Building digital competency in science education. African Journal of Science and Technology Education, 15(2), 77–93.

OECD. (2023). *The state of global education 2023: 21st century skills in science education*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/1f8a9b4e-en

Omotoso, K. (2024). From rote learning to critical inquiry: Transforming physical science education for the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Journal of Contemporary Science Education, 18(1), 23–39.

Omotoso, S. O., & Mji, A. (2023). Teaching physical sciences in developing contexts: Challenges and opportunities. *Research in Science Education, 53*(4), 1123–1141. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-022-10089-3

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023a). Education for the digital age: Preparing learners for the Fourth Industrial Revolution. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/edu-2023-en

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023b). Future of education and skills 2030: OECD learning compass. OECD Publishing.

Rodríguez, A. J., Smith, K. V., & Park, S. (2024). Inquiry-based science education: A meta-analysis of learning outcomes. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 61*(1), 25–49. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21897

Tsvetkova, M., Ivanov, P., & Petrova, D. (2024). Enhancing physical science learning through virtual laboratories: A comparative study. International Journal of Virtual and STEM Education, 6(2), 134–150.

UNESCO. (2024). *Transforming STEM education for sustainable futures*. UNESCO Publishing.

Wang, Y., Chen, L., & Zhao, M. (2025). AI-powered adaptive learning in physical sciences: Personalization, engagement, and outcomes. Computers in Human Behavior, 151, 107290. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/chb.2025.107290

World Economic Forum. (2023). Education 4.0: Delivering on the promise of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. World Economic Forum.

Zhao, Y., & Watterston, J. (2023). The changing landscape of science education in the digital era. *Educational Research Review, 38*, 100498. https:// doi.org/ 10.1016/j .edurev.2023.100498