



Collective Bargaining and Collective Agreement in Nigeria: Bindingness and Enforceability

Josephine Adejoke Adebayo*

Ajibade Toluwalase Toyosi**

Abstract

*Peaceful dialogue is one of the ways by which conflicts are resolved in the society, this is also employed in the industrial sector. Peaceful dialogue is referred to as collective bargaining in the sector at the end of which a collective agreement may be reached. However, some factors may be responsible for lack of peace and growth in the sector such as government attitude and non-implementation of collective agreement, they have a way of affecting the growth and development of a country. Bindingness and enforcement of collective agreement are problems faced by parties after successful bargaining process, especially employees and it is generating a lot of controversies in the sector. This paper uses both primary and secondary sources of doctrinal legal research methodology such as textbooks, case laws, statutes, journals and online articles to collect data. The paper concludes that parties do not need to incorporate collective agreements in individual contracts of employment before it becomes binding, neither is it necessary for individual employee to be a signatory to a collective agreement before he or she can enforce it on the employer. It thereafter recommends that the Supreme Court should reconsider its position in *BPE v Dangote Cement Plc* as it contradicts the spirit of the Third Alteration amendment to the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s254C(1). This paper also recommends that the government to make workers' welfare a paramount priority so as to boost peace, growth and development in the country.*

Key words: collective bargaining, collective agreement, trade union, workers, employers

1.0 Introduction

Effective collective bargaining process is a key element of industrial democracy. Parties are bound to disagree and agree either peacefully or otherwise in industrial relations. In order to ensure and install peace in the sector, collective bargaining processes are employed, this allows voluntary participation or representation of disputing parties in the dialogue at the end of which parties may arrive at an acceptable collective agreement. Parties to this process are usually employees¹ and employers.

***JOSEPHINE ADEJOKE ADEBAYO (LL.B, (UNIJOS) BL, LL.M CAND. (OOU)**, Certified Dispute Resolver (CDR) and Associate Counsel at EfosaUrhoghide & Co., NO. 73, M.K.O. Abiola Way, Olusanya Area, Ring Road, Ibadan, Oyo-State. Email: jossy.aina@gmail.com Phone: 08073862121.

****AJIBADE TOLUWALASE TOYOSI, LL.B (OAU), LL.M (BABCOCK), BL., PhD CAND. (BABCOCK UNIVERSITY) MCI Arb**, Lecturer, Department of Private and Commercial Law, School of Law and Security Studies, Babcock University, Ilishan Remo, Ogun State. Email: ajibadet@babcock.edu.ng Phone: 07034401290.

Collective bargaining is the way by which industrial relation is regulated. In the labour market, employees and employers interrelate, a process which may or may not degenerate into dispute. In order to maintain industrial peace and harmony, collective bargaining is employed so that parties will be actively involved by stating their issues and proffering solutions to them. The outcome of a collective bargaining especially where it is documented is regarded as collective agreement. It means the parties were able to reach a *consensus ad idem* in the course of their dialogue.

Effective collective bargaining being a product of industrial democracy has a very great impact on the growth and economy of a nation i.e., where there is peace in the labour and industrial sector, every other sector will thrive. The happiness of employees with their jobs leads to maximum productivity otherwise, there will be instability in the stock market, loss of income for individuals, and firms as well as loss of economy for the nation.

The common law position on collective agreement are that it is a mere gentlemen's agreement which is unenforceable unless reduced into individual employment contract of employees who wish to benefit from it and that non-signatories to a collective agreement cannot enforce it because they are non-privy to it. The Third Alteration Act, 2010,² gave the National Industrial Court (NIC) the power to interpret and apply collective agreement, the court has since then been enforcing the said power in plethora of cases such as *Dennis & 1677 Ors v First Franchise Service Ltd and Ors*.³ This paper reveals whether the common law position is still applicable today or not.

In this paper, the following key issues will be discussed: what collective bargaining and collective agreement mean, types of collective bargaining, parties to collective bargaining, importance of collective bargaining, whether there are any factors affecting collective agreement, types of collective agreement, whether collective agreement is automatically enforceable or there are means by which it can be enforced as well as the ways by which collective agreement can be promoted.

2.0 Collective Bargaining

To a lay man, collective bargaining may be interpreted as a gentleman's dialogue between two or more persons over any issue(s) in order to arrive at an acceptable agreement. In order word, it is a process of arriving at a mutual agreement by parties to it.

However, in legal parlance, collective bargaining is defined as 'collective dialogue, or collective negotiation between the employers' representatives and workers' representatives with a view to reaching a collective agreement on the issue under negotiation.'⁴ It is the process by which workers' union negotiates their terms of employment with their employers.

The law mandates all registered trade unions under the employment of an employer to constitute an electoral college to elect body who will represent them in negotiations and collective bargaining.

¹. In this paper, employees mean workers and they are used interchangeably, depending on the statute or definition under reference.

². An amendment to Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (CFRN) 1999.

³. Unreported, Suit No. NICN/LA/527/2013, delivered on February 6, 2019 <<https://www.nicn.gov.ng/view-judgement>> accessed 16 June 2021.

⁴. Chioma Kanu Agomo, *Nigerian Employment and Labour Relations Law and Practice* (Concept Publication Limited 2011), 292.

Such registered trade union must be recognised by employers whose employees are members of the trade union.⁵

As a result of this, collective bargaining is extended to:

all negotiations which take place between an employer, a group of employers or one or more employers' organisations, on the one hand, and one or more workers' organisations, on the other, for--

- (a) determining working conditions and terms of employment; and/or
- (b) regulating relations between employers and workers; and/or
- (c) regulating relations between employers or their organisations and a workers' organisation or workers' organisations.⁶

Collective bargaining affords parties to it the opportunity to engage in peaceful dialogue with one another so that everybody has a say in their matter, this is a very important attribute of a democracy. Wherever collective bargaining is encouraged, it has the ability to boost industrial peace, growth and stability in the country. Collective bargaining may not necessarily lead to collective agreement as the bargaining process may end up in dispute or end inconclusively. This is why the Labour Act⁷ defines collective bargaining as a 'process of arriving or **an attempt** to arrive at a collective agreement.'^[Emphasis added]

From the foregoing, it is safe to define collective bargaining as a peaceful dialogue between employers or their representatives and employees or their representatives for the purpose of arriving at a collective agreement. In the course of dialogue, parties disagree in order to agree, both parties have to shift ground as it is usually a win-win arrangement. The negotiation is best done in good faith for the outcome agreement to be acceptable to the parties as binding on them.

The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria⁸ guarantees the right to peaceful assembly and association, it allows every person to assemble freely and associate with other persons, right to form or belong to any trade union or other association for the purpose of protecting his right. This right is protected whether the person works under public or private establishment, they are entitled to join any trade union and participate in collective bargaining.

The International Labour Organization (ILO) states that, Workers' and employers' organisations shall have the right to draw up their constitutions and rules, freely elect their representatives, organise their administration and activities as well as formulate their programmes. The public authorities are to refrain from any interference which may restrict the right to freedom of association or prevent its lawful exercise. Workers' and employers' organisations should not be liable to dissolution or suspension by any administrative authority. They should have the right to

⁵. Trade Unions Act, CAP T14, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria (LFN) 2010, s25.

⁶.C154- Collective Bargaining Convention, 1981 (No. 154).

https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO:12100:P12100_INSTRUMENT_ID:312299:NO accessed 19 June 2021.

⁷. Labour Act, Cap.L1, LFN 2010, s91.

⁸. CFRN 1999, as amended, s40.

establish and join federations and confederations of such organization. They also have the right to affiliate with international organisations of workers and employers.⁹

ILO also states that workers shall be adequately protected from anti-union discrimination in respect of their employment particularly from acts calculated to subject their employment to the condition that they shall not join any union or that they must relinquish their membership of any trade union. Both workers' and employers' organisations are to be adequately protected from any act of interference by each other or each other's agents or members. Employees' organisations are to be completely independent and free of domination, control, support and interference by employers' organisations; that is, acts which are designed to place the establishment of employees' organisations under the domination of employers or employers' organisations, or to support employees' organisations by financial or other means for the purpose of placing such organisations under the control of employers or employers' organisations.¹⁰

This right is extended to public employees. They are to be adequately protected from anti-union discrimination in respect of their employment particularly from acts calculated to subject their employment to the condition that they shall not join any public employees' organisation or that they shall relinquish membership of such organisation already associated with; acts calculated to cause their dismissal or otherwise prejudice them as a result of membership or participation in normal activities of a public employees' organisation. They are to enjoy complete independence and non-interference from public authorities.¹¹ A public employee's organisation is that which is established for the purpose of promoting and defending the interests of public employees.

As a matter of practice in the private sector, negotiable issues are usually contained in a 'procedural agreement'¹² which is a standard manual for negotiating parties. It lays down issues for negotiation at different levels and matters of management.

2.1 Types of Collective Bargaining

Collective bargaining can be employed at different levels depending on the circumstances, the common types usually take place at: enterprise level; industrial level and national level.¹³

- a) **Enterprise Collective Bargaining:** It involves employees and employer(s) within the same company or enterprise. A form of in-house peaceful dialogue between the employer(s) and his employees. It is not very common because, employers of labour will rather give their workers individual contracts of employment which may vary according to the position and educational level of each employee.

⁹. C087- Freedom of Association and Protection of Right to Organise Convention, 1948 (No. 87) <https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO:12100:P12100_INSTRUMENT_ID:312232:NO> accessed 21 June 2021. Nigeria has ratified this convention since 17th October, 1960.

¹⁰. C098-Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98) <https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO:12100:P12100_INSTRUMENT_ID:312243:NO> accessed 19 June 2021. Nigeria ratified this convention on 17th October, 1960.

¹¹. C151- Labour Relations (Public Service) Convention, 1978(No. 151) <https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO:12100:P12100_INSTRUMENT_ID:312296:NO> accessed 19 June 2021.

¹². Chioma Kanu Agomo (see n.4) 293.

¹³. Bamidele Aturu, *Nigerian Labour Laws: Principles, Cases, Commentaries and Materials* (Friedrich Eberto Stiftung, Frankad Publishers), 226.

- b) **Industrial Collective Bargaining:** This is intra-industry dialogue usually between many employers or their representatives and union of employees. It is a common type of collective bargaining; therefore, employers may adopt it as staff hand book or work book. Industries normally have local or state chapters which may engage in this type of bargaining so it is only applicable within such state or locality. But where it is the national body, it means the resultant agreement will be of national application, it will therefore double as national collective bargaining.
- c) **National Collective Bargaining-** this is the most common type of collective bargaining. It usually transpires between the national body of an association, organisation or a union and the federal government being the largest employer of labour or other national body of employers' association/ organisation or their representatives in the private sector. The resultant agreement is also normally adopted as staff handbook in various establishments. The activities of Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU), Nigerian Labour Congress (NLC), National Association of Resident Doctors of Nigeria (NARD), Judiciary Staff Union of Nigeria (JUSUN) and other proactive trade unions are noteworthy in this regard.

The industrial relations framework within which collective bargaining process takes place are such machineries/ institutions which afford parties to it of voluntary participation such as negotiation, arbitration, mediation and conciliation.¹⁴ Collective bargaining in itself cannot stand alone, it has to be assembled in a document and activated by means of collective agreement, arbitration award, court judgement etc.

2.2 Parties to Collective Bargaining

A bargaining process cannot be carried out without the active participation of the key players most especially the necessary parties which are:

- a. **Employees:** This is one of the necessary parties to a collective bargaining without which any agreement reached will be unacceptable. Examples of those who can bargain on behalf of employees are; group of employees, employees' organisation/union representing employees or association of organisation representing employees.
- b. **Employers:** Examples are; an employer, group of employers, employers' organisation/union representing employers or association of organisation representing employers. This is also a necessary party to collective bargaining.
- c. **Third parties:** this usually occur where the issues for bargain are national issues cutting across both public and private sector such as deliberation on national minimum wage, the government, organised labour and the private sector will engage in roundtable dialogue to arrive at a tripartite agreement.¹⁵

2.3 Importance of Collective Bargaining

The importance of collective bargaining in industrial relation cannot be over-emphasised. For instance;

¹⁴. C154- Collective Bargaining Convention, 1981, (see n.5).

¹⁵. The National Minimum Wage Act 2019 was enacted based on the agreement reached and executed by the tripartite committee.

- a. It aids industrial harmony between employers and employees as agreement is reached by mutual understanding.
- b. It facilitates effective communication between the parties for better relations.
- c. It is a very vital instrument used by workers ‘representatives and their employers to consider the demands of the employees and the offer brought forward by the employers towards the achievement of organizational goals and objectives.’¹⁶
- d. It is a fundamental instrument for peaceful resolution of conflict between the parties.
- e. It can help to maintain or reduce industrial actions such as strike, lockout etc. so it has a way of stabilising the industry which will eventually affect the economy of the country.
- f. It reduces or eradicates inequality at workplace while enhancing job security as every worker is treated equally.¹⁷ It creates a kind of industrial or trade uniformity most especially in the workers’ condition of service e. g. retirement age, leaves, promotion, allowances, wages and salary, discipline etc.
- g. It is the most popular and effective way of achieving improved workers’ condition of work.
- h. It helps to put check on the arbitral practices of management and government.¹⁸ They supposed to obey the laid down rules and regulations rather than doing whatever pleases them.

2.4 Factors Militating Against Effective Collective Bargaining

- a. Government attitude: In Nigeria, government is the largest employer of labour so they usually accept and act on conditions favourable to them. Even where the government is a neutral party, its activities and policies may affect the bargaining parties; for instance, the recent continuous increase in the price fuel, electricity tariff and naira depreciation has affected the prices of commodity, increased cost of living and as well affected many jobs as many employers could not meet up with the demands of their staff. Also, many state governments are yet to implement the minimum wage agreement entered into by the representatives of federal government, organised labour and the private sector (Tripartite Committee) which later metamorphosed into National Minimum Wage Act, 2019.¹⁹ Those that have implemented it just recently did, including the federal government so employers of labour in the private sector could not be penalised for not implementing it.
- b. Non-implementation of resultant collective agreement: When either party refuses to honour its own part of the agreement, it frustrates the whole process and discourages future call for

¹⁶ Ugbohmhe, O. Ugbohmhe and Osagie N.G., ‘Collective Bargaining in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Hopes’ [June 2019] *Journal of Human Resources Management and Labor Studies: American Research Institute for Policy Development*; Vol. 7, No. 1; 20 at 27.

¹⁷ ‘Collective Bargaining and Labour Relations’ <<https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/collective-bargaining-labour-relations/lang--en/index.htm>> accessed 19 June 2021.

¹⁸ Ugbohmhe, O. Ugbohmhe and Osagie N.G., (see n.16).

¹⁹ ‘Nigeria: New National Minimum Wage Act’ <<https://www.taylorvinters.com/article/nigeria-new-national-minimum-wage-act>> accessed 19 June 2021.

negotiation or bargain. It is also worthy of note that the federal government has been renegeing on their agreement with ASUU since 2009.²⁰

- c. Impasse situation: in some occasions, negotiation between the bargaining parties goes sour, parties are therefore unable to reach an agreement. This also discourages future call for dialogue.

3.0 Collective Agreement

Collective agreement is,

any agreement in writing for the settlement of disputes and relating to terms of employment and physical conditions of work concluded between-

- (a) an employer, a group of employers or organisations representing workers, or duly appointed representative of any body of workers, on the one hand; and
- (b) one or more trade unions or organisations representing workers, or the duly appointed representative of any body of workers, on the other hand;²¹

The Labour Act²² defines it as

‘an agreement in writing regarding working conditions and terms of employment concluded between-

- (a) an organization of workers or an organization representing workers (or association of such organizations) of the one part; and
- (b) an organization of employers or an organization representing employers (or an association of such organizations) of the other part’

Also, the National Industrial Court Act (NICA)²³ defines it as

any agreement in writing regarding working conditions and terms of employment concluded between-

- (a) an organisation of employers or an organisation representing employers (or an association of such organisations), of the one part, and
- (b) an organisation of employees or an organisation representing employees (or an association of such organisations), of the other part;

According to the International ILO²⁴, collective agreement is any

agreements in writing regarding working conditions and terms of employment concluded between an employer, a group of employers or one or more employers’ organisations, on the one hand, and one or more representative workers’ organisation, or, in the absence of such organisations, the

²⁰. See ASUU official twitter handle <<https://mobile.twitter.com/asuungr?lang=en>> accessed 21 June 2021.

²¹. Trade Dispute Act, CAP T8, LFN 2010, s48.

²². Labour Act, Cap.L1, LFN 2010, s91.

²³. NICA 2006, s54.

²⁴. Collective Agreements Recommendation 91 of 1951

<https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO:12100:P12100_INSTRUMENT_ID:312429:NO> accessed 19 June 2021.

representatives of the workers duly elected and authorised by them in accordance with national laws and regulations, on the other.

From the foregoing, there arose some key elements of a collective agreement. They are:

- a. The collective agreement must be in writing. The bargaining process must be documented and the issues agreed on must be reduced into writing.
- b. The collective agreement must be the outcome of a collective bargaining.
- c. All necessary parties must be duly and ably represented in the collective bargaining that gave rise to the collective agreement. Where any party; that is, employee or employer is not present or duly represented, such agreement is not acceptable.
- d. Collective agreement is usually geared towards settlement of issues relating to working conditions and terms/contracts of employment.

Examples of negotiable issues settled by collective bargaining/agreement include: salaries and wages increase, working hours, pension, maternity leave, workers training, sick leave, annual leave, promotion, allowances, overtime payment, transfer, redundancy, death benefits, occupational health, insurance, car/house loans, equal treatment, suspension and discipline. The list is inexhaustible and flexible according to the ability of trade unions to influence and persuade employers.

Some of the popular trade unions in Nigeria are:²⁵ Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU); Non-Academic Staff Union of Educational and Associated Institutions (NASU); Association of Senior Staff of Banks, Insurance and Financial Institutions. (ASSBIFI); Nigeria Union of Teachers (NUT); Nigeria Union of Journalists (NUJ); Judiciary Staff Union of Nigeria (JUSUN); Hotel and Personal Services Employers' Association; National Union of Road Transport Workers (NURTW); Nigerian Bar Association (NBA); Nigeria Football Association (NFA); National Union of Petroleum and Natural Gas Workers; Nigerian Medical Association (NMA); National Association of Nigeria Nurses and Midwives; Nigerian Society of Engineers (NSE); Pharmaceutical Society of Nigeria (PSN); Hotel and Personal Services Employers' Association etc. The participation and contribution of trade unions in their various sectors determines their strength, it will also determine their force of pressure on employers of labour.

3.1 Types of Collective Agreement

Collective agreement may be categorised into two namely: procedural collective agreement and substantive collective agreement.²⁶

- a. Procedural collective agreement states mode and procedure of performing some actions, it is written and it outlines the steps to be taken on a given issue. It may be seen as code of conduct for membership and administration in order to prevent arbitrary use of power. It deals with issues like procedure of settling dispute between parties, representation arrangement, rules and regulation of membership, discipline and suspension etc.

²⁵. 'List of 25 Trade Unions in Nigeria and Their History' <<https://africalaunchpad.com/list-of-25-trade-unions-in-nigeria-and-their-history/>> accessed 21 June 2021.

²⁶. Bamidele Aturu (see n.13), 227.

- b. substantive collective agreement on the other hand basically deals with terms of employment of an employee like wages and salaries, allowances, pension arrangement, termination of employment, leave etc.

3.2 Enforceability of Collective Agreement

At common law, collective agreement is seen as gentlemen's agreement and therefore not legally enforceable. It is a simple agreement which lacks the parties' intention to create a legal relation, a very important element of a valid contract²⁷ and offends the principle of privity of contract as it is usually between organization or association of employers and trade unions, so the individual employee is no privity to it. This is the major reason for many industrial actions as one of the parties especially the employer, may refuse to honour it.

Courts are also weary of enforcing collective agreements except where they are expressly incorporated in individual contracts of service. Such is the court's position in *Union Bank of Nigeria v Edet*²⁸ where it was held that employees cannot litigate over an alleged breach of terms of collective agreement which has not been adopted by parties as forming part of terms of employment. One may need to ask why parties enter into collective agreement when it is ordinarily unenforceable? The answer is not far-fetched, it is because being gentlemen's agreement, some parties still protect their integrity by honouring their words.

Prior to the amendment of the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999; collective agreement is treated as binding and enforceable though not automatic. This is just a step away from the common law position as parties who intend to enforce it still have to take any of the following steps:

- a. By leaving at least three copies of the collective agreement with the Minister of Labour and Employment who has the discretion to specify the particular part of the agreement that will be binding on the parties to the agreement.²⁹ This step is relevant when there is a trade dispute, the Minister's intervention is based on the terms of the agreement.
- b. By seeking the intervention of the court for the interpretation or enforcement of the relevant terms of collective agreement. The court here is the National Industrial Court having the exclusive jurisdiction to determine or interpret any question relating to collective agreement, terms of settlement of trade dispute, constitution of trade unions/associations and other civil causes or matters relating to or connected with: dispute arising from national minimum wage; registration of collective agreement; any labour, employment, trade unions, industrial relations arising from workplace or conditions of service.³⁰ Upon the said interpretation, the collective agreement becomes binding.
- c. By acting or relying on the terms of the collective agreement either expressly or by conduct. The court in *Cooperative and Commerce Bank (Nigeria) Ltd v Okonkwo*³¹ held that the collective agreement relied upon by the employer in dismissing the employee is also enforceable by the employee in instituting the action. Where it is evident that the

²⁷. EmekaChianu, *Employment Law* (Bemicov Publishers (Nigeria) Ltd 2004), 75.

²⁸. (1993) 4 NWLR (pt 287) 288.

²⁹. TDA, CAP T8, LFN 2010, s2.

³⁰. CFRN 1999 as amended, s254C.

³¹. (2001) 15 NWLR (pt 735) 114

parties have activated the benefits of a collective agreement, even if it is by conduct, the court will infer the intention to create a legal relation from such attitude and hold the agreement, being a contract as binding on them.

- d. By proving that the terms of the collective agreement forms part of the custom and usage in that trade. Where this is evident before the court, it will be held as binding on the parties.
- e. By reliance on principal-agency rule. Here the union will be regarded as acting in the capacity of an agent to the members.

In the recent times, particularly upon the coming into force of the Third Alteration Act, 2010,³² the National Industrial Court has been proactive in enforcing the provisions of collective agreement; this is because the court is a very special one. Third Alteration Act, 2010 which activated the jurisdiction of the NIC not only to interpret collective agreements as stipulated by Trade Dispute Act³³ but also to apply it. Section 254C (1)(j)(i)³⁴ states that the NIC shall have and exercise jurisdiction to the exclusion of other courts in civil causes and matters relating to the determination of any question as to the ‘interpretation and application of any’ collective agreement. This means that parties no longer have to incorporate the terms of collective agreement in contracts of employments before it can be enforceable. This is a giant stride from the common law position and the NIC have been applying the law. All a claimant who wishes to enforce a collective agreement has to do is to show a sufficient nexus between him and the said collective agreement i.e., to proof his membership of the said trade union. This is the position of court in *Dennis & 1677 Ors v First Franchise Service Ltd and Ors*.³⁵

In that case, the Claimants, Lijoka Olaniyi Dennis and 1677 others who were all junior staff members of the 1st Defendant, First Franchise Service Ltd (FSFS), but deployed to the defunct Enterprise Bank Ltd (EBL) as contract staff who in 2012 decided to outsource the Claimants’ employment to other companies without terminating their employment and paying their terminal benefits.

The Claimants protested against the said action through their union’s domestic branch and this led to the agreement between their union and EBL to suspend the outsourcing pending the outcome of an ongoing negotiation between Mainstreet Bank, National Union of Banks, Insurance and Financial Institutions Employees (NUBIFIE), Association of Senior Staff of Banks, Insurance and Financial Institutions (ASSBIFI), Federal Ministry of Labour and Nigeria Labour Congress (NLC) due to the fact that Mainstreet Bank had similar issues with its staff.

At the end of the said negotiation, a collective agreement was reached that 100% gratuity to both existing and existed staff of Mainstreet Bank including those that are less than 5 years in the Bank’s service using basic salary, transport allowance, housing allowance and lunch subsidy as components of the said gratuity. The said collective agreement referred to as ‘Ministerial Agreement’ was admitted in evidence as ‘Exhibit C3’. Based on ‘Exhibit C3’, the Claimants again through their union’s domestic branch (NUBIFIE) entered into another agreement, ‘Exhibit C4’

³². An amendment to CFRN 1999.

³³. TDA, CAP T8, LFN 2010, s15.

³⁴. CFRN, 1999 as amended.

³⁵. Unreported, Suit No. NICN/LA/527/2013, delivered on February 6, 2019 <<https://www.nicn.gov.ng/view-judgement>> accessed 16 June 2021.

with EBL to the effect that EBL shall pay the Claimants one year gratuity as their terminal benefits in line with the terms and components of 'Exhibit C3'.

However, when EBL credited the accounts of each of the Claimants with the sum of money calculated as one-year basic salary, transport allowance, housing allowance and lunch subsidy as full and final settlements of their gratuity; the Claimants contested that the said gratuity was paid without any regards to their respective years of service hence the Claimants instituted the action against the Defendants, demanding the outstanding balance of their respective terminal benefits.

The court held that the Defendants complied with the terms of 'Exhibit C4' and that the Claimants did not prove in detail how they arrived at the sum claimed to be the outstanding balance of their respective terminal benefits being a special damage which requires specific proof so the suit was dismissed. The court also held that:³⁶

To this court, to activate the interpretative jurisdiction of the NIC for the purpose of interpreting a collective agreement, there must be a sufficient nexus between the applicant and the collective agreement in question. It is not enough that the applicant benefits from the collective agreement without more. That to be so entitled, there must be proof that the beneficiary is a member of the signatory trade union to the collective agreement. Thus, where a trade union may not want to come to court on behalf of its aggrieved members regarding the interpretation of a collective agreement, the said members may apply directly to the NIC and will be accorded recognition upon proof of membership of the said union that signed the collective agreement and the reluctance of the union to approach the court on their behalf.

The above reasoning of the court is meant to review the common law position that only the parties to the collective agreement (often a trade union and an employer/employer's union) or the minister of Labour can activate the interpretative jurisdiction of NIC. The court held that individual union members not being signatories to collective agreements may seek its interpretation irrespective of the fact that collective agreements are usually signed on their behalf in representative capacity.

The reasoning of the court is also *in tandem* with the ILO position that, collective agreements are binding on their signatories and all persons on whose behalf the agreement is entered, so parties bound by it cannot impute terms contrary to the said collective agreement in a contract of employment. Such stipulations contrary to the collective agreement are null and void which should be automatically replaced with corresponding stipulations of the said collective agreement. The stipulations in the collective agreement must apply to all workers of the class concerned establishments covered except if otherwise specifically stated.³⁷ It then means that the argument that individual employees who are non-signatories to collective agreement cannot enforce it will no longer hold water.

³⁶. Dennis & 1677 Ors v First Franchise Service Ltd and Ors, (see n.35), Para 81.

³⁷. Collective Agreements Recommendation 91 of 1951

<https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO:12100:P12100_INSTRUMENT_ID:312429:NO> accessed 19 June 2021.

It is however worthy of note that the requirement for proof of membership only applies to senior staff members of workers as junior staff members are presumed to be union members based on eligibility unless they relinquish their membership of the union individually in writing.³⁸

On the issue of application of collective agreement, the court applied the decision in *Chiazor v Union Bank of Nigeria Plc*³⁹ and went further to state that:⁴⁰

The point is that section 254C(1) cannot authorize this Court to interpret and apply collective agreements if the intention is not that collective agreements are thereby binding and enforceable. Interpretation ('the action of explaining the meaning of something' by the New Oxford American Dictionary) implies a declaration of rights; and application ('the action of putting something into operation' by the New Oxford American Dictionary) implies that the interpretation would be binding and enforceable. What all of this means is that the argument of the defendants as to collective agreements being gentleman's agreements cannot stand the current constitutional ethos of section 254C(1) in terms of the mandate granted this Court to interpret and apply them. It must also be appreciated that the rule which saw collective agreements as gentleman's agreements is a common law rule, which is rigid and had worked hardship in the world of work. It is this rigidity of the rule and the hardship it worked in the world of work that led to the present constitutional provision allowing this Court to interpret and apply collective agreements. No other court in Nigeria is given this mandate.

It is to be noted that problem may arise in respect of the doctrine of 'stare decisis' as a result of the recent Supreme Court decision in *BPE v Dangote Cement Plc*⁴¹ where the court applied the common law position that collective agreements are not enforceable except where they have been incorporated by the parties and that enforcement of such agreement is only by negotiation between the parties to the agreement. Litigants, lawyers, employers and scholars may capitalise on the effect of this apex court's decision to argue that the incorporation of a collective agreement into the terms of employment is a fundamental requirement without which its enforcement is not possible.

It is however opined that, though the NIC being a lower court and bound by the apex court's decision in *BPE*, may still distinguish the decision, being that the cause of action arose long before the advent of Third Alteration to the 1999 Constitution and it will not be counted against the NIC as a disrespect or gross insubordination to the apex court. The court will be safe to apply the principle in *Dennis*' case above that:

*The defendants' counsel had contended that the current state of the law on collective agreements as espoused by the Supreme Court in *Akauve Moses Osoh & Ors v. Unity Bank Plc* [2013] 9 NWLR (Pt. 1358) 1 at 29 is that collective agreements are not legally binding and cannot create legal obligations unless the collective agreement has been incorporated into the employee's contract of employment. This argument of the defendants' counsel reveals the uncritical*

³⁸. *Dennis & 1677 Ors v First Franchise Service Ltd and Ors*, (see n.35), Para 87.

³⁹. Unreported, Suit No: NICN/LA/122/2014, delivered on 12th July, 2016 <<https://www.nicn.gov.ng/view-judgement>> accessed 16 June 2021.

⁴⁰. *Dennis & 1677 Ors v First Franchise Service Ltd and Ors*, (see n.35), Para 84.

⁴¹. (2020) 5 NWLR (PT. 1717) 322.

citation and application of case law by counsel, and hence reading case law authorities out of context. The point I seek to make here is that the cause of action in Osoh arose in 1994, when the action was filed at the High Court of Edo State, Benin long before the Third Alteration to the 1999 Constitution came into being... As at 1994, when the cause of action arose in Osoh, there was no provision of law that permits the interpretation and application of collective agreements as we have under section 254C(1) of the 1999 Constitution. Whatever it was in 1994, section 254C(1) of the 1999 Constitution as inserted by the Third Alteration to the 1999 Constitution has altered that position; as such Osho as cited and applied by the defendant's counsel is distinguishable.⁴²

In the United States, collective agreement is automatically binding and enforceable by parties to it upon due execution. It may only be challenged through the internal grievance machinery or arbitration.⁴³ Dispute may arise as a result of determination of terms of employment or collective agreement, such may be adequately resolved by way of negotiation between the parties or through independent and impartial machinery of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), such as mediation, conciliation and arbitration, established in such a manner as to ensure the confidence and voluntary participation of the parties involved.

3.3 Ways of Promoting Collective Agreement

The ILO has recommended the following as means of promoting Collective agreement:⁴⁴

- a. Adopting measures to facilitate the establishment and growth of voluntary as well as independent representative employers' and employees' organisations probably from the national level.
- b. Adopting measures suitable for proper recognition of representative employers' and workers' organisations for the purposes of collective bargaining based on objective criteria.
- c. Adopting measures that will make collective bargaining possible at all levels.
- d. Collective bargaining parties are to ensure that there is co-ordination among these levels during negotiations.
- e. Measures should be taken by collective bargaining parties to ensure that their negotiators, at all levels, have the opportunity to obtain appropriate training. Where possible, public authorities may render assistance to employees' and employers' organisations, at their request, for such training. The content and supervision of the programmes of such training should be determined by the appropriate employees' or employers' organisation concerned

⁴². Dennis & 1677 Ors v First Franchise Service Ltd and Ors, (see n.35), Para 84.

⁴³. 'Collective Bargaining'

<https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed_dialogue/---dialogue/documents/meetingdocument/wcms_159956.pdf> accessed 19 June 2021; 7.

⁴⁴.R163– Collective Bargaining Recommendation, 1981 (No. 163)
<https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO:12100:P12100_INSTRUMENT_ID:312501:NO> accessed 19 June 2021.

and such training should not prejudice the right of employees' and employers' organisations to choose their own representatives for the purpose of collective bargaining.

- f. Collective bargaining parties should provide their respective negotiators with the necessary mandate to conduct and conclude negotiations, this must however be subject to any provisions for consultations within their respective organisations.
- g. Parties to collective bargaining must be supplied all relevant information necessary for negotiation at all levels.
- h. Adopting suitable procedures for the settlement of labour disputes which will help the parties to find a lasting solution to the dispute by themselves, irrespective of how, when and where the dispute arose.

If the above recommendations are adopted and followed, parties will have well trained and informed representatives, the bargaining process will also be well coordinated, organised and peaceful which will make the resultant collective agreement acceptable by the parties. However, this is only a recommendation which may not be adopted by member states. Even where they are adopted, there is no guarantee as to whether they are implemented.

4.0 Conclusion

Collective bargaining in industrial relations is the process by which employees or their representatives and employers or their representatives meet to dialogue on issues relating to employment relations with the aim of reaching a fair agreement. Where such agreement is reached, it is called collective agreement. Without collective bargaining, there can be no collective agreement. Collective bargaining and collective agreement have both proved to be effective and peaceful instruments for industrial democracy as they allow fair representation of all parties. They regulate arbitrariness in the industrial sector and improve employee-employer relationship. They also give opportunity for updating workers' condition of work from time to time to international standard.

Under the common law, collective agreement is not enforceable unless incorporated in contracts of employment. This position was too rigid and hard on employees. The Third Alteration Act, 2010 was enacted in order to ameliorate the effect of common law position by empowering the NIC with the jurisdiction of interpreting and applying collective agreement. Thus, collective agreement is enforceable without incorporation in contracts of employment. Also, workers do not need to be signatories to collective agreements before they can enforce same. All that is required of a plaintiff is to satisfy the court of his link with the trade union that entered the collective agreement on his behalf.

Nigerian government, being the highest employer of labour in the country have failed to recognize the fact that peaceful co-existence in the industrial sector is positively relevant to the growth of the national economy and development. Workers' welfare is usually relegated and they usually renege on their agreements with workers' unions. This account for the periodic strike actions embarked upon by workers in the country, cited examples in this paper are ASUU, NARD and JUSUN recent strikes which affected lives, livelihood and income. If the government continues this way, they will be regarded as "anti-workers' welfare".

5.0 Recommendation

In addition to the above ILO Recommendations, it is hereby advised that:

1. The Supreme Court should reconsider its position in *BPE* in their subsequent decisions by deciding that collective agreement is enforceable without any need to incorporate its terms in contract of employment so as to align with international best practices. As the apex court, they have to clear the confusion they created in the Nigerian legal system by their recent decision in *BPE* in order to make it easy for lower courts to stand by their decisions.
2. ILO should conduct follow up research to ensure that member states not only ratify their conventions and adopt their recommendations, but that they also comply with them. They should impose stiffer punitive sanctions for member states who refused to implement the conventions and recommendations being ratified or adopted as the case may be.
3. The government at all levels should prioritise workers' welfare. This should also be made applicable to employers in the private sectors in order to give room for inclusive growth in the country. They should respect and honour every agreement made with workers in order to redeem their image.
4. Other laws should be amended in line with the Third Alteration Act, 2010 in order to make collective agreements automatically enforceable as we have in the United States.