

AESTHETICS AND ONTOLOGY: THE PHILOSOPHICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF ARTS AND CULTURE

Izuchukwu Kizito Okoli

Abstract

This paper investigates the role of art and culture in deepening our understanding of what it means to exist. It centres on a key question: *How does engaging with aesthetics reveal who we are and the essence of our being?* While ontology has traditionally approached the nature of reality through abstract reasoning and logical analysis, this research contends that art and cultural expression provide an equally vital lens through which being can be experienced and interpreted. Using Phenomenological method, the paper aims to demonstrate that aesthetic forms are not mere reflections of the world, but powerful forces that shape our perception and self-understanding. Employing conceptual clarification and logical analysis, it explores fundamental concepts such as aesthetic engagement, personal and collective identity, embodiment, and the ontological significance of artistic experience. By examining literature, visual arts, and cultural rituals, the study highlights how aesthetic practices uncover layers of human existence often overlooked by rational or empirical methods. A central insight is that aesthetics is not simply ornamental, it is revelatory. Artistic and cultural expressions expose often-invisible aspects of our lives: emotions, identities, temporal awareness, and our embeddedness in particular contexts. They invite us to recognize ourselves not merely as detached thinkers, but as active participants in the ongoing construction of meaning. The paper ultimately argues that any serious philosophical inquiry into human existence must recognize the aesthetic dimension as essential. Rather than existing on the margins of philosophical thought, art and culture stand at its heart, shaping the way we encounter, interpret, and inhabit reality.

Keywords: Aesthetics, Ontology, Arts, Culture, Philosophical, Existence

Introduction

The relationship between aesthetics and ontology has long been a subject of philosophical reflection, though often treated as distinct spheres of inquiry. Ontology, the philosophical study of being, traditionally investigates the nature of existence through abstraction, rational inquiry, and metaphysical reasoning (Heidegger, 1962; Quine, 1948). Aesthetics, on the other hand, has historically been relegated to questions concerning beauty, taste, and the value of art (Kant, 1790/2000). Yet, in recent discourse, a growing recognition has emerged that art and culture do not merely embellish existence, but actively reveal the structures and conditions of being itself. This convergence of aesthetics and ontology invites a rethinking of philosophy's engagement with human existence.

Art and cultural practices occupy a privileged position in illuminating the dimensions of life that elude purely conceptual or scientific analysis. As Gadamer (1989) argues, art is not just an object of subjective appreciation but a mode of truth-disclosure; it unveils aspects of our existence that logical reasoning alone cannot grasp. Similarly, Merleau-Ponty (1962) emphasizes the embodied and perceptual dimensions of experience, suggesting that aesthetic engagement situates us within the world and a vice versa approach of the world in us rather

than outside it. These perspectives highlight that art and culture function as revelatory forces, disclosing our emotions, identities; temporal situatedness, and intersubjective relations. The significance of aesthetics for ontology lies in its power to mediate between individual and collective self-understanding. Works of art, cultural rituals, and aesthetic symbols are not passive reflections of reality but active participants in shaping how reality is encountered and interpreted (Danto, 1981). They provide frameworks through which societies articulate values, negotiate identities, and confront the meaning of existence. In this sense, aesthetics is ontologically grounded: to engage with art is not simply to perceive beauty but to participate in the construction of meaning itself.

Philosophical inquiry, therefore, cannot afford to treat art and culture as peripheral to its central questions. Rather, the aesthetic dimension must be recognized as essential to understanding the fullness of human existence. By situating aesthetics at the heart of ontology, philosophy gains a richer grasp of being; one that honours both rational analysis and the lived, embodied, and expressive dimensions of human life. This paper thus argues that aesthetics is not ornamental but revelatory: art and culture disclose truths about existence that might otherwise remain hidden, and in doing so, they transform ontology from an abstract discipline into a lived encounter with reality.

Literature Review

The dialogue between aesthetics and ontology represents one of the most enduring convergences in philosophical thought. While aesthetics traditionally concerns itself with beauty, art, and sensory experience, ontology probes the nature of existence and being. In recent decades, scholars have increasingly recognized that these two domains are not separate but mutually illuminating. The aesthetic encounter does not simply embellish existence; it reveals it. The literature surrounding this meeting point has evolved through classical metaphysical speculation, modern subjectivist philosophy, phenomenological and hermeneutical turns, and contemporary debates that connect art, culture, and identity to the question of what it means to exist.

In classical and modern foundations, the roots of the aesthetic–ontological dialogue trace back to ancient philosophy. Plato viewed art as imitation (*mimesis*), distancing it from truth and ultimate reality (Plato, trans. 1997). For him, artistic images belonged to the realm of appearances rather than being, thereby making aesthetics a secondary concern to metaphysics. Aristotle, however, challenged this view by positioning art as a means of accessing universal truths through particular representations. His conception of *catharsis* in the *Poetics* (Aristotle, trans. 1987) implied that aesthetic experience contributes to human self-understanding and emotional balance; an ontological engagement through feeling. The Enlightenment and modern periods redefined the relationship between aesthetics and existence. Immanuel Kant’s *Critique of Judgment* (1790/2000) established aesthetic judgment as a bridge between nature and freedom, uniting the faculties of imagination and understanding. Although Kant framed beauty as “disinterested pleasure,” his theory implicitly suggested that aesthetic experience reveals the structure of human cognition and our orientation toward the world. G. W. F. Hegel later expanded this view by arguing that art is not merely reflective but constitutive of spirit (*Geist*). In his *Lectures on Aesthetics* (Hegel, 1975), art becomes an expression of the unfolding of

absolute reality, a medium through which being becomes self-conscious. This marked an important step toward seeing aesthetics as ontologically significant rather than ornamental.

The twentieth century saw a decisive shift with the phenomenological and hermeneutical traditions, which grounded aesthetics in lived experience. Martin Heidegger's *The Origin of the Work of Art* (1935/1971) revolutionized ontology by arguing that art is a "happening of truth." For Heidegger, the artwork opens up a "world" and reveals being in its unconcealment (*aletheia*). In this framework, aesthetics becomes an ontological event rather than a subjective reaction. (Hans-Georg Gadamer, 1989) further developed this line of thought by describing the aesthetic experience as a dialogical encounter between the interpreter and the artwork. His concept of the "fusion of horizons" demonstrates how understanding and being are co-constituted through artistic engagement. Phenomenologists such as (Maurice Merleau-Ponty, 1962) deepened this insight by emphasizing the role of embodiment and perception in aesthetic experience. For Merleau-Ponty, art arises from our bodily being-in-the-world; it is a visible manifestation of the invisible structures of existence. Similarly, (Jean-Paul Sartre, 1943/2003) regarded artistic creation as an act of ontological freedom, a way of projecting possible worlds and transcending facticity. Later phenomenological thinkers like (Mikel Dufrenne, 1973) in *The Phenomenology of Aesthetic Experience* argued that art discloses the affective essence of the world, integrating emotional and existential dimensions into ontology.

In contemporary perspectives of art, culture, and being, contemporary philosophers and cultural theorists have expanded the aesthetic-ontological conversation by linking art and culture to identity, politics, and social meaning. Thinkers like (Arthur Danto, 1981) proposed that artworks derive their meaning from the "artworld," a cultural and interpretive context that defines what counts as art. This implies that aesthetic meaning and by extension, being, is socially constructed. Similarly, (Nelson Goodman, 1976) and (Richard Wollheim, 1980) explored how artistic symbols and representations do not merely depict reality but create new ontological frameworks through interpretation. More recent thinkers like (Richard Shusterman, 2000, 2012) advocate for *somaesthetics*, which integrates bodily experience, self-cultivation, and existential awareness. Shusterman's pragmatist aesthetics redefines art as a transformative practice that shapes the very texture of being. (Alva Noë, 2015) in *Strange Tools: Art and Human Nature* also underscores that art reconfigures perception and consciousness, offering new ways of experiencing reality in the universe. This embodied and evolutionary view was further supported by (Mark Johnson, 2007) and (Ellen Dissanayake, 2018) suggesting that art is central to human meaning-making and the construction of shared worlds.

In the twenty-first century, discussions on aesthetic ontology have also intersected with critical theory, postmodernism, and cultural philosophy. (Theodor Adorno, 1970) maintained that art's autonomy allows it to reveal contradictions within social reality and thus, its ontological power lies in its capacity for critique. Scholars like (Jacques Rancière, 2004) also introduced the notion of the "distribution of the sensible," where art reconfigures the boundaries of perception and possibility, shaping what can be seen, said, and known. Likewise in the understanding of (Jean-Luc Nancy, 2013) art is described as an event of "being-in-appearance," where existence

manifests through the sensible. These contemporary insights broaden ontology beyond abstract metaphysics to include the aesthetic as a primary site of revelation.

African and decolonial contributions suggests that recent scholarship in African and decolonial philosophy has also emphasized the ontological importance of aesthetics and culture. Thinkers such as (Theophilus Okere, 1983), (Kwasi Wiredu, 1996), and (Mogobe Ramose, 1999) highlight that African aesthetic traditions express communal being and interconnectedness. The concept of *Ubuntu* ‘I am because we are’, illustrates a relational ontology where artistic and cultural expressions sustain both identity and moral order. (Magesa, 1997) and (Odera Oruka, 1990) further argue that rituals, music, dance, and oral art forms embody existential meaning and cosmological order. These perspectives challenge the Eurocentric tendency to treat aesthetics as detached contemplation, emphasizing instead its lived, communal, and spiritual dimensions. Contemporary African scholars continue to advance this dialogue by exploring art as an expression of cultural resilience, decolonial identity, and ontological recovery (Eze, 2017; Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018). They affirm that aesthetics functions not only as representation but as an existential strategy; a way of reclaiming being from historical alienation

Across classical, modern, and contemporary thought, a consistent transformation can be observed: aesthetics has moved from the margins of philosophy to its very centre. The literature demonstrates that art and culture are not ornamental but revelatory, offering alternative modes of access to being. Through phenomenological embodiment, cultural ritual, or creative imagination, aesthetic experience discloses the texture, temporality, and relationality of existence. In this light, ontology without aesthetics risks abstraction, while aesthetics without ontology risks superficiality. The two must be seen as mutually constitutive dimensions of human reality.

Methodology

This research adopts the phenomenological method as its primary approach. Phenomenology, rooted in the works of Edmund Husserl and later expanded by Martin Heidegger and Maurice Merleau-Ponty, seeks to return “to the things themselves”; to describe and interpret lived experience as it is consciously encountered. In this context, phenomenology provides a fitting framework for exploring the intersection of aesthetics and ontology, since both concern the ways in which being is disclosed through experience, perception, and expression. Rather than relying on empirical observation or abstract theorization, this study employs philosophical reflection and conceptual clarification to uncover how art and cultural expressions reveal dimensions of human existence. The phenomenological reduction (*epoché*) is applied to suspend preconceived judgments and theoretical assumptions, allowing aesthetic experience to speak from within its own horizon of meaning. Through hermeneutic interpretation, the study examines artworks, cultural rituals, and aesthetic practices as phenomena that manifest truth and identity within particular historical and communal contexts.

By analysing the writings of key philosophers such as Heidegger, Gadamer, Merleau-Ponty, and contemporary thinkers, this research interprets art not as an object of detached observation, but as a lived event in which being becomes intelligible. The phenomenological method thus

enables a deeper understanding of how aesthetic experience participates in the unfolding of truth, identity, and existence.

Conceptual Clarification of Aesthetics and Ontology

Aesthetics as a branch of philosophy is defined as the philosophical study of art, beauty and taste. It seeks to examine the nature of sensory experiences and emotions as meet various kinds of art and beauty in the universe (philosophy institute, 2023). Aesthetics as a branch of philosophy was coined by the German philosopher Alexander Baumgaeten (1714-1762) which according to him *et al* denotes science of what is sensed and imagined. The Oxford dictionary defined “aesthetic” as the philosophy of beauty or the appreciation of beauty, beyond the beauty-centric definition, aesthetics from a scientific and empirical perspective is mainly concerned with the perception and evaluation of art (Brielmann &Pelli, 2018). Aesthetic judgments, which is rooted in sensory cognition plays different kinds of roles in human experience. Beyond having the ability to attribute properties such as shape, colour, odour, texture, and so forth to individual things, aesthetic judgments enable us to give meaning and value to experience. While a lot of people conceive aesthetics as being concerned only with what is “beautiful” or “pleasing to the eye,” the domain is much wider, asking complex questions about perception, value, and meaning. This challenges us to reflect on the role of beauty in human experience and existence, and on how it shapes our emotional, intellectual and moral lives (philosophy institute, 2025).

Ontology on the other hand is a philosophical discipline that deals the nature and structure of reality. Aristotle in his metaphysics defined ontology as the science of being *qua* being, i.e., the study of attributes that belong to things because of their very nature (Guarino, Oberle & Satan, 2009). The term Ontology was first coined in 1613, by two philosophers known as Rudolf Gockel Goclenius in his *lexicon or philosophilum* and Jacob Lorhard (Lorhard) in his *Theatrum philosophical*. In the words of Smith;

Ontology seeks to provide a definitive and exhaustive classification of entities in all spheres of being. The classification should be definitive in the sense that it can serve as an answer to such questions as: what classes of entities are needed for a complete description and explanation of all that is going-on in the universe? or: what classes of entities are needed to give an account of what makes true all truths? It should be exhaustive in the sense that all types of entities should be included in the classification, including also the types of relations by which entities are tied together to form larger wholes. (Smith, 2003)

The above statement implies that ontology aims to provide a systematic way that ties all entities (physical objects, abstract things and ideas) together. It does not stop at asking the question “what exists?” but tries to sort existence into categories that explains reality.

Heidegger, in the twentieth century redefined ontology as the investigation into the meaning of being itself. His position is that human existence which is “Dasein” is the privileged site where the question of being becomes accessible. According to Joan Stambaugh “Being is the self-evident concept” it is used in knowing all things and predicates every relation to beings and in every relation to oneself and it’s expression is understandable, without too much explanation

everybody understands “the sky is blue”, “I am happy”, and similar statements (Stambaugh, 2010) Thus, the relationship between aesthetics and ontology are closely intertwined, it exposes us to what art is, and how it exists, and grounds is on aesthetic experience in reality, and helps us understand the interconnectedness between art, consciousness and existence.

Historical Perspectives on Aesthetics and Ontology

The history of aesthetics and ontology can be traced from the ancient period to the contemporary landscape of philosophical thought, revealing a long and intricate dialogue between art, beauty, and the question of being. Plato stands at the origin of Western reflection on art and existence. In his metaphysical system, art belongs to a mimetic tradition that conceives artistic creation as a copy or imitation of the natural world. Within *The Republic*, Plato (2007) describes art as *mimesis*; a mere imitation of appearances, which are themselves shadows of the ideal Forms. For Plato, the true reality is not to be found in the sensible world but in the eternal and immutable realm of Ideas. Consequently, artistic representations, being twice removed from truth, can only offer illusion rather than revelation. This position situates art at the periphery of ontology: art does not reveal being but conceals it beneath the deceptive play of appearances. Because of this, Plato regarded art as a potentially corrupting influence, one that appeals to the lower faculties of emotion rather than the rational contemplation of truth. However, this Platonic suspicion toward art already implies a crucial ontological insight; that art possesses a peculiar power to affect human consciousness. In attempting to exclude art from the domain of truth, Plato paradoxically acknowledges its profound influence on human understanding and moral life. Thus, even in its rejection, art emerges as ontologically significant: it shapes how human beings relate to the real and the ideal.

Aristotle, Plato’s student, reoriented this discourse by rehabilitating the status of art within philosophical inquiry. While he agreed that art involves imitation, he radically redefined *mimesis* as a creative and cognitive act rather than mere reproduction. In *Poetics* and *Politics*, Aristotle (2008) regards Epic poetry, Tragedy, Comedy, and music as various forms of imitation that reveal not what is, but what could be. Through imitation, the artist engages universal truths embodied in particular forms and emotions. As Aristotle observes, we derive pleasure from recognizing form and order within an artwork, because such recognition satisfies the intellect’s natural desire to understand (Golden, 1973). This understanding gives art an ontological depth that transcends simple representation. Art becomes a medium through which human beings actualize their imaginative and intellectual potentialities. In this sense, art mirrors the very structure of *being* itself, it moves from potentiality (*dynamis*) to actuality (*energeia*). Thus, Aristotle subtly links aesthetic experience to the fundamental process of becoming that characterizes existence. Art, for him, is a natural human activity that reveals the inner order of reality and discloses the universal through the particular. Unlike Plato’s metaphysical dualism, Aristotle’s ontology situates art within the continuum of life, thought, and nature.

From a broader metaphysical standpoint, Aristotle’s notion of art anticipates later conceptions of aesthetic ontology, where art is understood not as illusion but as revelation. His insight that art imitates not merely things but actions; processes of becoming, suggests that art participates in the very unfolding of being. This dynamic vision of art as actualizing potential has influenced

countless philosophical trajectories, from Hegel's dialectical aesthetics to Heidegger's concept of art as "the setting-to-work of truth." As the history of philosophy unfolds, these classical insights become the foundation upon which later thinkers build more complex and experiential theories of aesthetics and being. The Platonic suspicion and Aristotelian rehabilitation together form the dialectical poles of the aesthetic-ontological tradition: on one hand, the question of truth in representation; on the other, the creative manifestation of being through form. Between these poles lies the enduring philosophical question: *Does art merely imitate existence, or does it participate in its creation?* This question reverberates through subsequent epochs, from Plotinus's notion of beauty as the soul's ascent to the One, through Kant's analysis of aesthetic judgment as a bridge between sense and reason, to Hegel's grand vision of art as the self-revelation of Absolute Spirit. In each case, art is not merely decorative; it functions as a mode of ontological disclosure, an expression of how being manifests itself in human consciousness and culture.

The Aristotelian conception that art actualizes potential being marks a turning point in the history of aesthetics and ontology. It introduces a view of art as intrinsically tied to the human condition; an activity through which we encounter, interpret, and reconfigure the meaning of existence. This understanding paves the way for later philosophical developments where aesthetic experience becomes central to existential and phenomenological accounts of being. Thinkers like Heidegger and Merleau-Ponty would later draw upon this lineage to argue that art does not simply *represent* reality but *reveals* it; it allows being to "come into presence" through creative engagement and perception. Thus, the classical foundations laid by Plato and Aristotle continue to resonate in contemporary discussions, offering the essential philosophical tension between imitation and revelation, appearance and reality, form and being. In bridging these opposites, aesthetics becomes not a marginal branch of philosophy but a vital path toward understanding what it means to exist.

The Enlightenment age sprouts a new understanding of aesthetics shifting the focus from art as imitation to the autonomy of aesthetic judgment. Immanuel Kant, in the critique of judgement, is of the view that beauty is a symbol of morality through assent to universal validity. Kant builds this argument by showing that the judgement of taste is an aesthetic judgment, not a cognitive or logical judgement. For Kant the aesthetic judgment does not pay attention to the object. For instance, if someone says, "This portrait is beautiful" the judgment in such case is not dependent upon the object or pleasure produced in the subject, the subject cannot possess or consume the object for his or her own pleasure, rather it is acknowledged as beautiful by the experience it produces in the subject via its representation (Kant, 1987). Ontologically, beauty shows purposiveness without purpose, hinting at a harmonious order that serves as a basis to the mind and world.

In the twentieth century, phenomenology and Hermeneutics redefined the connection between aesthetics and ontology by placing it back as a lived experience. Martin Heidegger *Being and Time* shifts ontology away from abstract categories to the existential structure of Dasein (Heidegger, 1962). In his later essay, *the origin of the work of Art*, Heidegger argues that the origin of something is the provenance of its essence. The artist is the origin of the work because

the work springs out from the activity of the artist. It is through the artist and art, that the artwork obtains its name. To understand the essence of art that sways in the work, we seek to know the actual work and question the work on what and how it is (Heidegger 1971). Aesthetics becomes ontological, for in art, being comes into unconcealment.

Art as a Mode of Ontological Disclosure

Martin Heidegger's seminal essay *The Origin of the Work of Art* marks a profound transformation in the philosophical understanding of aesthetics and ontology. (Heidegger, 1971) rejects the traditional view of art as mere representation or imitation, proposing instead that the essence of art lies in its capacity to disclose truth. He argues that "the origin of the artwork and the artist is art itself," emphasizing that the work of art is not a passive object to be contemplated but a dynamic site where truth (*aletheia*) happens. In this sense, the artwork is ontological; it is a mode of being in which reality becomes unconcealed. Heidegger's famous notion of "the happening of truth" signifies that through art, being itself reveals its structure and depth. For Heidegger, the temple, the painting, or the poem are not objects to be observed from a distance; they are openings through which a *world* emerges. A temple, for instance, does not merely represent the divine; it *sets up* a world in which the divine becomes meaningful to a community. Art, therefore, is not ornamental or derivative, it is foundational to ontology because it establishes the horizon within which meaning and being appear. This marks a decisive turn from metaphysical idealism toward an existential and phenomenological understanding of aesthetics (Young, 2001; Sharr, 2007).

Hans-Georg Gadamer, a student of Heidegger, extends this ontological interpretation of art into the domain of *hermeneutics*. In *Truth and Method* (2006), Gadamer argues that understanding art, or any human expression is not about applying a rigid interpretive method but about entering into a dialogue with history and tradition. For Gadamer, hermeneutics is not a methodology for producing truth; it is the ontological condition of understanding itself. As Caputo (2001) explains, Gadamer shifts the focus from method to the *event* of understanding, an encounter between the interpreter and the work in which meaning is co-created. Gadamer thus transforms aesthetics into a dialogical process: the artwork speaks to us from within our historical situation, and our interpretation is always shaped by our "horizon of understanding." This horizon is not static but constantly fuses with others; a process Gadamer calls the *fusion of horizons*. Through this interaction, art becomes a medium of ontological self-disclosure, revealing how our being is always embedded in historical and cultural contexts (Warnke, 1987; Davey, 2016).

Contemporary philosophers such as (Richard Kearney, 2009) and (Jean-Luc Nancy, 2013) have deepened this ontological-aesthetic dialogue. Kearney interprets art as a form of *epiphanic imagination*; a space where being manifests through symbols and stories that re-enchant reality. Nancy, in *The Muses* (1996), goes further to claim that art exposes the very spacing of being, the interval in which meaning becomes possible. Similarly, (Maurice Merleau-Ponty, 1962) in *Phenomenology of Perception* underscores that aesthetic experience is rooted in embodiment: through our bodily engagement with art, the world discloses itself as lived and felt rather than merely conceptualized. These modern and contemporary insights collectively advance Heidegger's thesis by revealing that the ontological significance of art is not limited to

metaphysical disclosure, but extends to existential, cultural, and embodied dimensions of being. Art becomes a site of ontological encounter where meaning unfolds through perception, interpretation, and participation. In this view, the aesthetic is not supplementary to ontology; it is its very expression, the way being comes to presence in time, culture, and human consciousness.

Embodiment and Aesthetic Experience

Aesthetic experience, in its deepest sense, cannot be confined to detached contemplation or purely intellectual reflection. It is rooted in the immediacy of lived experience; the dynamic interaction between body, perception, and world. The human body, rather than serving merely as an instrument of perception, becomes the very medium through which we encounter and make sense of the world. In this regard, Maurice Merleau-Ponty radically reorients the discourse on aesthetics by grounding it in the lived reality of embodiment. For him, the act of perceiving art is not an abstract mental exercise but a bodily event; a moment in which the perceiver and the perceived participate in a shared field of meaning (Merleau-Ponty, 1962, 2012).

Rejecting the Cartesian dualism that separates mind from body, Merleau-Ponty argues that consciousness is always embodied and situated. He famously declares that “our own body is in the world as the heart is in the organism,” suggesting that the body is not external to experience but integral to it (Merleau-Ponty, 2012). The body, in this sense, is the *locus of intentionality*; the living bridge through which the world comes to presence for us. This understanding transforms aesthetics: artworks are no longer seen as distant objects for dispassionate observation, but as phenomena that *interpellate* us, calling forth a bodily response that precedes conceptualization. He replaces the old notion of “aesthetic distance”; the idea that beauty requires emotional or spatial detachment with what he calls a deflagration, a living spark that ignites between the artist, the artwork, and the world. In his reflections on painting, he writes that “the painter takes his body with him,” emphasizing that creation and perception both begin from the body’s direct immersion in the world. The painter does not reproduce reality but *extends* it; as Merleau-Ponty observes, “what is painted is an elongation of the flesh of which the body is only an element drawn beyond itself” (Merleau-Ponty, 2012). Through this “flesh of the world,” the boundary between subject and object, self and world, begins to blur. Art, therefore, becomes an act of *flesh meeting flesh*, where the body and the visible intertwine in an ontological embrace.

From this phenomenological standpoint, aesthetic experience is not a disembodied judgment of form or beauty but an encounter with presence. The artwork does not simply present an image, it evokes, provokes, and resonates within our bodily being. As (David Levin, 1993) and (Drew Leder, 1990) later observe, perception is not something we *do*; it is something that *happens to us* through our embodied openness to the world. The body, in this view, is not passive matter but an expressive field of meaning that participates in the ongoing disclosure of reality. This embodied understanding of aesthetics finds echoes in the works of contemporary thinkers such as (Richard Shusterman, 1999), whom it is already recorded, advances the idea of “somaesthetics,” emphasizing the unity of bodily awareness and aesthetic cultivation. For Shusterman, to experience art is to refine the senses and to awaken the self to its bodily being-

in-the-world. Similarly, (Alphonso Lingis, 1998) and (Mark Johnson, 2007) extend Merleau-Ponty's insights by arguing that meaning is inherently corporeal, the gestures, rhythms, and sensory intensities of the body are the very grammar through which the world speaks.

Thus, from a phenomenological perspective, the artwork is not a fixed object existing outside us but a living phenomenon that manifests through our embodied perception. It invites us to participate in its becoming, to feel its truth rather than merely to think it. The aesthetic experience, therefore, reveals something essential about being itself: that existence is not an abstract category but a lived, sensuous engagement with the world. In this light, Merleau-Ponty's philosophy bridges ontology and aesthetics in an original way. Art does not depict reality, it discloses it. Through the body's openness to the visible and tangible, we experience how being continually unfolds in colour, rhythm, movement, and form. The aesthetic, then, is not an addition to life but the very way life reveals itself as meaningful, embodied, and alive.

Culture, Ritual, and Collective Identity

From the foregoing discuss, it is taken that Aesthetics, in its broadest philosophical sense, is concerned with the nature of beauty, perception, and sensory experience. Yet, beyond the study of beauty as an abstract ideal, aesthetics engages with the full spectrum of human sensibility; how imagination, emotion, and perception shape our understanding of reality. It examines not only what pleases the senses but how art and culture give form to meaning itself. Traditionally, aesthetics was treated as a philosophical inquiry into art and taste, but over time it has evolved into a multi-layered system of cultural representation; one that reflects, constructs, and sustains the shared values of communities (Eagleton, 1990; Shusterman, 2000). Within this broader understanding, cultural practices and symbols emerge as far more than ornamental or decorative forms; they are repositories of meaning. They embody the moral, spiritual, and historical dimensions of collective life. Every song, dance, craft, or ritual encodes layers of identity and memory, acting as a living archive through which societies remember who they are. In this sense, aesthetics becomes a mode of cultural ontology; a way of being through which people sustain their existence as a community.

Artistic and cultural expressions such as traditional music, dance, storytelling, and craftsmanship are not merely aesthetic pleasures but vital acts of preservation. They carry ancestral wisdom, ethical codes, and historical narratives that cannot be fully captured in written language. For instance, (Okot p'Bitek, 1986) and (Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, 1986) both emphasize that African art forms, especially oral poetry, performance, and dance, function as vehicles for transmitting indigenous epistemologies and cosmologies. Through such practices, a people affirm their worldview, maintain social continuity, and reaffirm their place in the cosmos. This aesthetic dimension of cultural life also plays a critical role in nurturing collective identity and belonging. When a community participates in a festival, performs a ritual dance, or listens to traditional music, it is not merely engaging in entertainment, it is reaffirming a shared ontology, a sense of "who we are" and "where we belong." As (Homi Bhabha, 1994) notes, cultural expressions are sites of negotiation between memory and modernity, continuity and change. Aesthetics, therefore, serves as both a *mirror* and a *medium* through which collective life is continuously recreated and renewed.

Furthermore, cultural preservation through aesthetics is not only about safeguarding tangible art forms such as sculpture, weaving, or architecture; it also encompasses intangible heritage; language, rhythm, gestures, and rituals. These non-material dimensions of art are essential because they connect the visible world with the invisible forces of meaning, value, and spiritual order. As (Susan Langer, 1953) argues, art symbolizes the forms of human feeling; it translates the ineffable dimensions of life into visible, audible, and performative expression. Through art, a people make the invisible visible and the silent speak-able. The intimate connection between art and ritual further illuminates the ontological depth of aesthetics. Rituals are not arbitrary performances; they are symbolic acts that bind the individual to the community and the human to the sacred. They are moments where art, religion, and social life converge into a unified expression of being. As (Ellen Dissanayake, 1995) observes:

human ritual is not innate but learned, it is a cultural construction that gives rhythm and structure to existence. Through rituals, communities reenact the values and cosmologies that define their collective life. Every ritual dance, mask, or chant embodies an aesthetic logic: a pattern of form and movement that expresses humanity's striving toward harmony with the cosmos.

Thus, aesthetics, viewed through the lens of culture and ritual, becomes an essential dimension of ontological continuity. It enables societies not only to preserve their heritage but to experience being as a shared and ongoing project. The aesthetic act is both creative and preservative, it renews meaning while safeguarding the identity of the community. When people engage with their traditional art forms, they are not merely appreciating beauty; they are participating in the ongoing construction of their world, grounding their existence in symbols that give life coherence and purpose. In this light, aesthetics transcends its narrow definition as a theory of beauty and becomes a philosophy of being-in-culture. It reveals how human existence, in all its sensory and expressive richness, is always situated within collective patterns of meaning. Through art, ritual, and cultural performance, humanity not only reflects on reality but continuously shapes it, ensuring that each generation inherits both the wisdom and the wonder of those who came before.

Aesthetics and Self-Understanding

The aesthetic dimension of human existence is not merely an encounter with beauty; it is a pathway to self-understanding. To perceive, create, or respond to art is to engage in a process of self-discovery; a journey into the inner world of emotion, imagination, and meaning. Aesthetics, therefore, functions not only as a philosophy of art but as a mirror of the human condition, revealing how individuals come to know themselves and their place within the world. From classical philosophy, Plato and Aristotle viewed beauty as a form of truth that reflects the harmony of the soul. For Plato, the contemplation of beauty elevates the human spirit toward the realm of eternal forms; it refines the soul's vision of the good (Plato, *Symposium*). Aristotle, however, brought the aesthetic experience closer to life, seeing art as a process of catharsis through which emotions are recognized and purified (*Poetics*). Both perspectives affirm that the experience of art is inseparable from the moral and psychological formation of the self.

In modern philosophy, it is established that Immanuel Kant deepened this link by describing aesthetic judgment as a bridge between sense and reason. When we experience beauty, Kant argues, we enter a state of “disinterested pleasure”; a reflective harmony between imagination and understanding (*Critique of Judgment*, 1790). This experience allows the individual to transcend mere sensation and grasp meaning beyond the empirical. In that reflective space, we do not just admire the object of beauty; we confront the structure of our own consciousness. However, as philosophy evolved, thinkers such as Friedrich Nietzsche and Martin Heidegger moved aesthetics beyond mere judgment to a more existential realm. Nietzsche saw art as the highest affirmation of life; a creative act through which the individual expresses vitality and overcomes despair. Art, for him, is the means by which humanity “makes existence bearable” (*The Birth of Tragedy*, 1872). Heidegger, on the other hand, understood art as a way in which *truth happens*; a “clearing” where being reveals itself through the work of art (*The Origin of the Work of Art*, 1935). In both views, aesthetic experience becomes a site of ontological revelation, a way of encountering the truth of one’s being in the world. When this idea is extended into cultural philosophy, aesthetics becomes a collective form of self-understanding. Through cultural art forms; songs, dances, proverbs, sculptures; communities articulate their identity, values, and worldview. As (Léopold Sédar Senghor, 1964) wrote, African aesthetics is rooted in rhythm, which he calls “the architecture of being.” Rhythm is not merely a sensory pattern but the pulse of existence; the link between the visible and the invisible. Through rhythm, communities express their understanding of harmony, balance, and belonging. Thus, the aesthetic experience is both individual and communal: it connects the inner life of the self to the shared spirit of the people.

In African philosophy, the self is never isolated; it is always embedded in relationships, in what (John Mbiti, 1969) describes as the communal ontology; “I am because we are.” Aesthetic practices, such as communal dance or storytelling, enact this truth by binding the individual’s emotions and perceptions to the collective consciousness. Through aesthetic participation, people not only express themselves but also come to understand themselves as part of a living whole. This is why aesthetics in many indigenous traditions is inseparable from ethics, spirituality, and social life; it is a holistic path toward knowing and becoming. Contemporary scholars continue to expand this understanding. (Richard Shusterman, 2000), for instance, introduces the concept of *somaesthetics*, emphasizing that self-understanding arises through the lived experience of the body. The aesthetic is not confined to artworks but extends to the ways we move, feel, and inhabit the world. Our gestures, postures, and interactions are themselves aesthetic expressions through which self-awareness unfolds. Similarly, (Nelson Goodman, 1978) argues that artworks are “symbol systems” that teach us how to perceive and interpret reality, reshaping our very sense of meaning and identity.

Art and aesthetic reflection thus provide a space where the self can explore its depths; its desires, contradictions, and potentialities. Through art, we project our inner world outward, giving form to what is otherwise unspoken. At the same time, we encounter artworks that reflect us back to ourselves in new and often transformative ways. This reciprocal relation between self and art is what makes aesthetics a philosophy of self-becoming. It allows for both introspection and transcendence; it bridges the gap between what we are and what we aspire to be.

In this sense, aesthetics is not simply about beauty but about meaningful self-presence. To live aesthetically is to live attentively; to perceive the world and oneself with openness, creativity, and sensitivity. It means cultivating awareness of how our senses, emotions, and imagination shape our understanding of reality. Through aesthetic engagement, we learn to see ourselves not as static beings, but as evolving forms of life; creatures continually interpreting, creating, and transforming meaning. Ultimately, aesthetics and self-understanding are intertwined in the deepest sense: every aesthetic act, whether it is creating, perceiving, or participating, is a dialogue between the inner and the outer, the personal and the communal, the visible and the invisible. Through that dialogue, humanity discovers not only what beauty is, but who we are.

Conclusion

The journey through this work has sought to unravel the profound metaphysical and aesthetic dimensions of the African self; one that stands at the intersection of coloniality, decoloniality, and the lived experience of being. In confronting the legacy of colonial imposition, it became clear that decoloniality is not simply a political act of resistance; it is a metaphysical reclamation of existence itself. To decolonize the mind is to restore the fullness of being, to rediscover the ontological depth of the African self beyond the boundaries drawn by Western epistemic hierarchies. Through this philosophical lens, art and aesthetics emerge not as secondary cultural ornaments, but as foundational to the understanding of self and world. As Heidegger reminds us, art is the site where truth happens; the space in which being reveals itself. Gadamer extends this insight by showing that understanding art is not a detached intellectual exercise but a participatory dialogue, a fusion of horizons where meaning is co-created. In this dialogue, the African aesthetic tradition finds resonance: art as a lived, communal act through which reality is not merely represented but experienced and made meaningful.

Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology of the body further deepens this understanding by reminding us that our relationship to the world, and to art is embodied. The body is not an instrument of perception; it is the living centre of perception itself. Through our bodily presence, we inhabit artworks, rituals, and symbols not as observers, but as participants. This insight aligns profoundly with African philosophy, where the separation between art, life, and spirituality dissolves. Dance, music, and ritual are not detached performances; they are acts of being, rhythms through which the self-communes with the world and the divine. In exploring aesthetics as a cultural and existential phenomenon, we see that beauty is not an abstract ideal but a living force of continuity and identity. Cultural art forms; whether they be sculpture, drumming, or storytelling; serve as vessels of memory and self-recognition. They are bridges across generations, enabling communities to preserve not only their heritage but their collective ontology. Aesthetic expression thus becomes a form of resistance against erasure; it reaffirms the dignity of being in the face of historical silencing.

Furthermore, aesthetics opens the path toward self-understanding. In every genuine aesthetic encounter, we find a mirror of the self; one that reflects not just who we are, but who we might become. From Plato's contemplative ascent toward beauty, to Kant's harmony of imagination and reason, to Nietzsche's creative affirmation of life, and Shusterman's somaesthetic

awareness, we learn that to engage with beauty is to awaken the self to its own possibilities. In African philosophy, this awakening is always relational: the self discovers itself through the community, through rhythm, through the shared experience of meaning. Thus, aesthetics and ontology converge in a single truth that being is not static but unfolding. Art, ritual, and beauty are the languages through which this unfolding speaks. They remind us that to be human is to create, to feel, to interpret, and to belong. The African self, when freed from the colonial gaze, emerges not as a derivative identity but as a dynamic locus of truth, where spirit, body, and community intertwine in the rhythm of existence. In the end, the metaphysics of the African self is an invitation: to rediscover being through beauty, to heal the fractures of history through art, and to affirm the sacred interconnection of all life. Aesthetics becomes more than philosophy; it becomes a mode of survival, a celebration of presence, and a declaration that truth is not merely thought but lived. Through this realization, both the self and the world become re-enchanted, for in every aesthetic act lies a revelation of what it means to truly *be*.

Izuchukwu Kizito Okoli
Department of Philosophy
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka
Email: izk.okoli@unizik.edu.ng

References

- Philosophy Institute (2023, SEP.15). *Introduction to metaphysics*. Philosophy Institute. Retrieved October 1, 2025, from <https://www.philosophyinstitute.org/metaphysics>.
- Brielmann, A.A., & Pelli, D.G. (2018) Aesthetics. *Current Biology*, 28 (16). Oxford University Press. (2025). *Aesthetics*. In Oxford English Dictionary (3rd ed.) Retrieved October 1, 2025, from <https://www.oed.com>.
- Guarino, N., Oberle, D. et al. *What is an ontology?* In S. Staab & R. Studer (Eds), *Handbook on ontologies* (2009)
- Smith, B. Ontology. In L. Floridi (Ed.) *Blackwell guide to the philosophy of computing and Information*. (Blackwell Publishing, 2003)
- Martin Heidegger, *Being and Time*, trans. John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson (New York: Harper & Row, 1962)
- Martin Heidegger, *Being and Time*, trans. Joan Stambaugh (Albany: State University of New York Press, 2010)
- S. Juan-Navarro, "The Power of Mimesis and the Mimesis of Power: Plato's Concept of Imitation and His Judgment on the Value of Poetry and The Arts", (Miami: *Revista de Humanidades*, 2007)
- Aristotle. *Poetics* (S.H. Butcher, Trans.). (Macmillan, 1995)
- Leon Golden, "The Purgation Theory of Catharsis" *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art criticism*, 31, No. 4 (Summer, 1973)
- Kant, I. (1987). *Critique of judgment* (W. S. Pluhar, Trans.).
- Heidegger, M. (1971). *Poetry, language, thought* (A. Hofstadter, Trans.). Harper & Row. (Original work published 1950)
- Hans-Georg Gadamer, *Truth and Method*, 2nd rev. ed, trans. Joel Weinsheimer and Donald G. Marshall (New York: Continuum, 2006)
- Aristotle. (2008). *Poetics* (M. Heath, Trans.). Penguin Classics.
- Caputo, J. D. (2001). *Hermeneutics: Facts and interpretation in the age of information*. In *Deconstruction in a nutshell: A conversation with Jacques Derrida* (pp. 82–106). Fordham University Press.
- Davey, N. (2016). *Unquiet understanding: Gadamer's philosophical hermeneutics*. SUNY Press.
- Dissanayake, E. (1995). *Homo aestheticus: Where art comes from and why*. University of Washington Press.
- Heidegger, M. (1971). *Poetry, language, thought* (A. Hofstadter, Trans.). Harper & Row.
- Kant, I. (2000). *Critique of the power of judgment* (P. Guyer & E. Matthews, Eds. & Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1790)
- Kearney, R. (2009). *Anatheism: Returning to God after God*. Columbia University Press.
- Nancy, J.-L. (1996). *The muses* (P. Rosenberg, Trans.). Stanford University Press.
- Nietzsche, F. (2000). *The birth of tragedy* (D. Smith, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1872)
- Plato. (2007). *The republic* (D. Lee, Trans.). Penguin Classics.
- Ponty, M. M. (2012). *Phenomenology of perception* (D. A. Landes, Trans.). Routledge.
- Sharr, A. (2007). *Heidegger for architects*. Routledge.

- Shusterman, R. (2000). *Pragmatist aesthetics: Living beauty, rethinking art* (2nd ed.). Rowman & Littlefield.
- Warnke, G. (1987). *Gadamer: Hermeneutics, tradition, and reason*. Stanford University Press.
- Young, J. (2001). *Heidegger's philosophy of art*. Cambridge University Press.
- Merleau-Ponty, M. (2012). *Phenomenology of perception* (D. A. Landes, Trans.). Routledge. (Original work published 1945)
- Dissanayake, Ellen. *Homo Aesthetics: Where Art Comes from and Why*, (London: University of Washington Press, 1995)