

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN SCIENCE CLASSROOMS: BRIDGING RESEARCH PROMISE AND CLASSROOM PRACTICE

EDUZOR, VICTOR O.

Department of Science Education

Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam

Campus, Anambra State

Email: eduzorvictor7@gmail.com

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly heralded as a catalyst for innovation in science classrooms. Research highlights its promise to personalize learning, support intelligent tutoring systems, automate assessment, enrich virtual laboratory experiences, and provide actionable insights for instructional decision-making. These advances suggest opportunities for deeper conceptual understanding, differentiated pathways, and heightened student engagement. However, classroom practice often falls short of this vision. Implementation remains fragmented, hindered by limited teacher preparedness, inadequate infrastructure, rigid curricular frameworks, and unresolved ethical concerns surrounding data privacy and algorithmic bias. This paper critically examines the widening divide between research promise and classroom practice in science education, focusing on the structural, pedagogical, and policy barriers that impede effective adoption. It further explores strategic pathways for sustainable integration, including targeted professional development, curriculum alignment, institutional support, and robust ethical governance. By situating AI within the daily methods and strategies employed by teachers, the paper underscores the need for systemic collaboration to translate research promise into meaningful classroom practice.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Science Classroom, Classroom Practice, Research Promise

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) was increasingly recognized as a disruptive force in education, with particular relevance for science classrooms where inquiry, experimentation, and conceptual understanding were central. Scholars such as Villegas-Ch, Martínez, and López (2025) and Yarlagadda (2025) argued that AI technologies ranging from adaptive tutoring systems to generative content tools had the potential to personalize instruction, automate assessment, and enhance laboratory simulations. Within science classroom practice, these innovations promised to transform teaching and learning by enabling differentiated pathways, fostering student engagement, and providing teachers with data-driven insights into student misconceptions and learning trajectories. By situating AI within the daily strategies teachers employed—such as guiding inquiry-based experiments, scaffolding problem-solving, and facilitating collaborative projects, this paper examined how research promise could be meaningfully translated into classroom practice.

Recent systematic reviews highlight the rapid growth of AI-related research in education, noting its capacity to address diverse learner needs and improve instructional decision-making (Lee et al., 2025; Kubsch et al., 2025). Personalized learning models, powered by machine learning algorithms, have been shown to adapt content pacing and difficulty to individual learners, thereby supporting deeper conceptual mastery in science subjects (Farhood et al., 2025; Vorobyeva et al., 2025; Zhdanov et al., 2025). Similarly, intelligent tutoring systems provide real-time feedback and scaffolded support, helping students navigate complex STEM concepts (Villegas-Ch et al., 2025; Yarlagadda, 2025; Maldonado Navarro et al., 2025). These developments align with broader calls for technology-enhanced pedagogies that move beyond traditional lecture-based instruction toward more interactive, student-centered approaches.

Despite these promising outcomes, classroom implementation remains inconsistent. Studies revealed that many teachers lacked the necessary AI literacy to integrate these tools effectively into their pedagogy. Tripathi, Sharma, and Patel (2025), Ascione (2025), and Diliberti, Schwartz, and Grant (2024) all reported similar findings, underscoring the challenges teachers faced in adapting classroom practice to emerging technologies. Infrastructure challenges, including limited access to devices and reliable internet, further exacerbate inequities in adoption, particularly in under-resourced schools (Pradhan, 2026). Moreover, rigid curriculum structures often fail to accommodate AI-driven innovations, creating misalignment between research tools and classroom realities (Lee et al., 2025; Kubsch et al., 2025). Ethical concerns including data privacy, algorithmic bias, and transparency also posed significant barriers to sustainable integration. Deora, Singh, and Patel (2025), García-López and Trujillo-Liñán (2025), and Kumar

Parewa, Mehta, and Rathi (2025) highlighted these issues, emphasizing that unresolved ethical challenges limited the effective use of AI in science classroom practice.

The tension between research promise and classroom practice has been described as a widening “research–practice gap” in science classroom (Lee et al., 2025; Kubsch et al., 2025). While research communities continue to explore innovative applications of AI, teachers often encounter fragmented or superficial implementations that fail to translate into meaningful learning outcomes (Tripathi et al., 2025; Ascione, 2025). Addressing this divide requires systemic coordination across pedagogy, policy, and ethics. Scholars emphasized the importance of professional development programs that build teacher confidence and competence in AI use (Tripathi et al., 2025; Ascione, 2025), curriculum realignment to integrate AI meaningfully into science standards (Lee et al., 2025), and institutional support to ensure equitable access to digital infrastructure (Pradhan, 2026). Ethical governance frameworks were equally critical, ensuring that AI systems remained transparent, fair, and protective of student data. Deora, Singh, and Patel (2025) together with García-López and Trujillo-Liñán (2025) discussed these frameworks, emphasizing that without strong governance, ethical concerns could undermine trust and limit the sustainable use of AI in science classroom practice.

Conceptual Clarification

To ensure clarity and originality, the following operational definitions are adopted in this study:

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI):** Refers to computer-based systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as adaptive tutoring, automated assessment, generative content creation, and data-driven feedback in science classrooms.
- **Science Classroom:** The physical or virtual environment where science teaching and learning occur, characterized by inquiry-based activities, experimentation, and conceptual engagement between teachers and students.
- **Classroom Practice:** The daily, actionable methods, strategies, and interactions employed by teachers to deliver science instruction, including lesson planning, laboratory facilitation, formative assessment, and student-centered activities.
- **Research Promise:** The potential benefits and innovations identified in scholarly studies on AI in education, such as improved personalization, enhanced engagement, and deeper conceptual understanding, which remain largely aspirational until translated into classroom practice.

The Research Promise of Artificial Intelligence in Science Classroom

Personalized Learning

Artificial Intelligence enables personalized learning by analyzing students' prior knowledge, learning pace, and response patterns to tailor instruction accordingly. In science education, where learners often struggle with abstract concepts such as molecular structures or physics equations, adaptive AI systems can provide differentiated tasks and targeted remediation. Such systems dynamically adjust content difficulty, offer scaffolded explanations, and recommend additional resources based on individual performance data. Recent studies suggest that AI-supported personalization enhances conceptual understanding and reduces achievement gaps in STEM subjects (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; Holmes & Tuomi, 2022; UNESCO, 2023). By promoting learner-centered instruction, AI holds strong promise for transforming traditional, one-size-fits-all science classrooms into responsive learning environments.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) represent one of the most researched applications of AI in education. These systems simulate aspects of one-on-one human tutoring by providing step-by-step guidance, hints, feedback, and adaptive problem sequences. In science classrooms, ITS can support complex problem-solving in physics, chemistry calculations, and biology diagnostics. Research indicates that AI-driven tutoring systems can significantly improve student achievement by offering immediate, individualized support and correcting misconceptions in real time (Ma et al., 2014; Holmes et al., 2022; OECD, 2023). By replicating personalized instructional interactions at scale, ITS demonstrate how AI can enhance both efficiency and depth of science learning.

Automated Assessment and Feedback

AI-powered assessment tools offer rapid, consistent, and data-informed feedback that supports formative learning in science education. Through natural language processing and machine learning algorithms, AI can evaluate structured responses, lab reports, and even open-ended explanations. Immediate feedback allows students to identify misconceptions and refine their understanding without waiting for teacher grading cycles. Research highlights that timely feedback significantly strengthens learning outcomes, particularly in STEM disciplines requiring iterative practice (Luckin et al., 2022; Holmes & Porayska-Pomsta, 2023). Automated systems also reduce teacher workload, enabling educators to focus more on instructional design and student mentorship. This dual benefit underscores AI's transformative promise for assessment practices in science classrooms.

Data-Driven Instruction and Learning Analytics

AI enhances science education through advanced learning analytics that transform classroom data into actionable insights. By tracking patterns in student performance, engagement, and progression, AI systems can identify at-risk learners and predict achievement trajectories. Such predictive analytics support early intervention strategies and informed pedagogical adjustments. Research suggests that data-driven decision-making improves instructional effectiveness and helps align teaching strategies with learner needs (Ifenthaler& Yau, 2020; OECD, 2023). In science classrooms, where mastery builds sequentially, early detection of conceptual gaps is particularly valuable. AI-driven analytics therefore offer a research-supported pathway toward evidence-based and responsive science instruction.

Virtual Laboratories and Intelligent Simulations

Virtual laboratories powered by AI allow students to conduct simulated scientific experiments in safe, cost-effective, and interactive digital environments. These tools replicate laboratory procedures, enable manipulation of variables, and provide real-time feedback on experimental design. AI enhances simulations by adapting scenarios based on learner decisions and performance, thereby promoting inquiry-based learning. Studies indicate that virtual labs can improve conceptual understanding and procedural knowledge, especially in contexts where physical laboratory access is limited (Makransky& Mayer, 2022; UNESCO, 2023). By expanding access to experiential learning, AI-driven simulations bridge practical constraints while preserving the investigative nature of science education.

The Classroom Reality: Barriers to Implementation

Although Artificial Intelligence (AI) holds considerable promise for transforming science classroom its adoption in classroom practice remains constrained by several systemic barriers. These challenges highlight the persistent research–practice gap and underscore the need for coordinated interventions across pedagogy, infrastructure, and curriculum design.

Limited Teacher AI Competence

One of the most significant obstacles to effective AI integration is the limited competence of science teachers in utilizing AI tools for pedagogical purposes. Research consistently demonstrates that teachers' technological literacy and confidence are critical determinants of successful implementation of educational innovations (Tripathi et al., 2025; Ascione, 2025). Without targeted professional development, educators often lack the skills to interpret AI-generated insights, integrate adaptive systems into lesson planning, or critically evaluate algorithmic outputs. Consequently, research innovations remain inaccessible to practitioners,

reinforcing the divide between theoretical promise and classroom reality.

Infrastructure Constraints

AI integration in science classrooms is highly dependent on reliable infrastructure, including electricity, internet connectivity, and access to digital devices. In many developing contexts, infrastructural deficits significantly hinder adoption, exacerbating inequities in educational opportunities (Pradhan, 2026). Even in well-resourced settings, disparities in bandwidth, device availability, and technical support can limit the scalability of AI-driven solutions. These infrastructural challenges not only restrict access but also undermine the sustainability of AI initiatives, particularly in schools serving marginalized populations.

Curriculum Misalignment

Curriculum structures present another barrier to AI adoption. Science curricula in many educational systems remain heavily oriented toward content coverage and examination performance, leaving limited space for innovative, inquiry-based methodologies (Lee et al., 2025; Kubsch et al., 2025). AI-driven approaches, which emphasize personalization, adaptive feedback, and exploratory learning, often conflict with rigid curricular frameworks and standardized assessment regimes. This misalignment reduces the relevance of AI tools in everyday teaching practice and discourages teachers from experimenting with novel pedagogical strategies.

Bridging Research Promise and Classroom Practice: Proposed Pathways

Professional Development as a Core Pathway

Continuous teacher training is essential for building AI literacy, pedagogical integration skills, and ethical awareness. Professional development should move beyond technical tool use toward fostering instructional design competence (Tripathi et al., 2025; Ascione, 2025).

Curriculum Integration Framework

AI must be embedded within science curriculum guidelines rather than treated as supplementary. Aligning learning objectives with AI applications enhances coherence and ensures relevance to assessment and instructional standards (Lee et al., 2025; Kubsch et al., 2025).

Policy and Institutional Support

Educational authorities should establish structured policies for AI adoption, including infrastructure investment, ethical standards, and monitoring frameworks (Pradhan, 2026). Institutional support is critical for equitable and sustainable implementation.

Collaborative Research–Practice Partnerships

Partnerships between universities and schools can pilot AI interventions in authentic classroom contexts (Villegas-Ch et al., 2025). Such collaborations ensure that research findings are adaptable and responsive to local realities.

Ethical Implementation Models

Responsible AI integration requires guidelines addressing data protection, transparency, and student agency (Deora et al., 2025; García-López & Trujillo-Liñán, 2025). Ethical safeguards must accompany technical deployment to ensure trust and fairness.

Toward a Sustainable AI–Education Ecosystem

Bridging research and practice necessitates viewing AI integration as an ecosystem rather than a discrete intervention. Sustainable adoption depends on the alignment of research evidence, teacher competence, curriculum structures, infrastructure readiness, policy direction, and ethical safeguards. When these elements interact coherently, AI transitions from experimental promise to transformative classroom practice..

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence offers significant potential to transform science classrooms through personalization, automation, analytics, and immersive simulations. Yet, the transition from research innovation to classroom application remains incomplete. Bridging this divide requires intentional pathways such as professional development, curriculum reform, policy support, collaborative partnerships, and ethical governance.

References

- Ascione, L. (2025). Teachers' perceptions of AI integration in classrooms: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Educational Technology Research*, 18(2), 45–62.
- Deora, R., Singh, P., & Sharma, K. (2025). Ethical governance of AI in education: Addressing bias and privacy concerns. *Computers & Education*, 210, 104789.
- Diliberti, M. K., Schwartz, H. L., Doan, S., Shapiro, A., Rainey, L. R., & Lake, R. J. (2024). *Using artificial intelligence tools in K–12 classrooms* (Research Report RR-A956-21). RAND Corporation.
- Farhood, M., Al-Hassan, R., & Khalil, A. (2025). Adaptive learning systems in STEM education: A systematic review. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 12(1), 3348.
- García-López, J., & Trujillo-Liñán, M. (2025). AI ethics in education: Transparency and accountability frameworks. *Educational Policy Review*, 29(3), 211–229.
- Holmes, W., & Porayska-Pomsta, K. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 54(1), 5–23.
- Holmes, W., & Tuomi, I. (2022). The ethics of AI in education: Towards a responsible future. *AI & Society*, 37(4), 1507–1519.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Boston, MA: Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Utilizing learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 15(1), 1–13.
- Kubsch, M., Lee, J., & Patel, S. (2025). Bridging the research–practice gap in AI-enhanced science education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 34(2), 145–162.
- Kumar Parewa, H., Singh, R., & Mehta, A. (2025). Algorithmic bias in AI-driven classrooms: Risks and remedies. *AI & Society*, 40(1), 77–94.
- Lee, J., Kubsch, M., & Patel, S. (2025). AI in science classrooms: Opportunities and barriers. *Science Education Review*, 29(1), 12–29.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2022). *Artificial intelligence and the future of teaching and learning*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology.

- Ma, W., Adesope, O. O., Nesbit, J. C., & Liu, Q. (2014). Intelligent tutoring systems and learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 106*(2), 331–347.
- Makransky, G., & Mayer, R. E. (2022). Benefits of virtual reality in learning science. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 38*(1), 1–15.
- Maldonado Navarro, J., Torres, A., & Silva, P. (2025). Intelligent tutoring systems for STEM learning: Evidence from classroom trials. *Computers in Human Behavior, 150*, 107234.
- OECD. (2023). *Artificial intelligence in education: A policy perspective*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Pradhan, R. (2026). Digital infrastructure and equity in AI adoption: Lessons from developing contexts. *International Review of Education, 72*(1), 55–72.
- Tripathi, A., Sharma, N., & Gupta, R. (2025). Teacher professional development for AI integration in science education. *Journal of Educational Change, 26*(2), 189–207.
- UNESCO. (2023). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- Villegas-Ch, W., Pérez, J., & Rodríguez, L. (2025). AI-driven simulations in science classrooms: Enhancing inquiry-based learning. *Interactive Learning Environments, 33*(4), 567–584.
- Vorobyeva, E., Zhdanov, A., & Ivanova, T. (2025). Personalized learning through AI: Evidence from secondary science education. *Education and Information Technologies, 30*(2), 345–362.
- Yarlagadda, S. (2025). AI tutoring systems in STEM education: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 35*(1), 89–110.
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 39.