

EVALUATING STEM LEARNING: STRATEGIES FOR AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT IN RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

¹Udeme Ezekiel Tommy, ²Ifiok Edem Akpan & ³Idung Etim Idung

¹Department of Educational Psychology, Faculty of Educational
Foundation Studies, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria

²Department of Educational Foundations, School of Education, Federal
College of Education, Ibiono Ibom, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria

³Department of Psychological Foundations of Education, Faculty of
Education, University of Uyo, Uyo, Nigeria

[¹idungabasi@gmail.com](mailto:idungabasi@gmail.com), [²tommyudeme@unical.edu.ng](mailto:tommyudeme@unical.edu.ng)
[³ifiok2023@gmail.com](mailto:ifiok2023@gmail.com)

Abstract

This chapter examines the implementation of authentic assessment in STEM education as a critical pathway for bridging the persistent gap between educational research and classroom practice. Drawing on research–practice partnership perspectives, the chapter conceptualizes authentic assessment as an approach that emphasizes real-world relevance, performance-based tasks, and meaningful application of knowledge and skills. It explores key strategies for authentic assessment in STEM, including performance tasks, project-based assessments, peer assessment and feedback, and technology-enhanced assessment practices. The chapter also addresses practical challenges associated with implementation such as scalability, feasibility, assessment literacy, digital inequities, and academic integrity while highlighting evidence-based solutions informed by empirical studies across different educational institutions. Case studies from higher education and STEM-related professional programs illustrate how collaborative design, institutional support, and technology integration can enable sustainable and context-responsive assessment practices. Overall, the chapter argues that authentic assessment, when supported by strong research–practice partnerships and continuous professional development, can enhance student engagement, deepen learning, and better align STEM education with contemporary societal and workforce demands.

Key words: Assessment, Authentic, Evaluation, Research and Practice and STEM

Introduction

Authentic assessment has become increasingly important in STEM education as educators seek assessment approaches that meaningfully capture students' ability to apply knowledge, skills, and dispositions to real-world scientific and technological problems. Unlike traditional examinations, authentic assessment emphasizes performance-based tasks, problem-solving, collaboration, and contextualized learning, which align closely with the goals of STEM education and twenty-first-century skills development (Udoh & Tommy, 2019; Tan & Kidman, 2021). However, evaluating STEM learning through authentic assessment presents persistent challenges, including issues of reliability, scalability, academic integrity, and alignment with curriculum standards, particularly in digitally mediated and post-COVID learning environments (Ajjawi et al., 2020; Bearman et al., 2023). Teachers also face constraints related to assessment literacy, time demands, and institutional assessment cultures that continue to privilege traditional testing formats (Tommy & Orok, 2019; Aziz et al., 2020). Against this backdrop, this chapter explores research-informed strategies for designing, implementing, and sustaining authentic assessment in STEM education, drawing on empirical evidence and practical examples to bridge theory and practice and support meaningful learning across diverse educational settings.

Defining Authentic Assessment in STEM

Authentic assessment in STEM refers to assessment approaches that require learners to apply scientific and technological knowledge, skills, and reasoning to real-world or simulated professional contexts, mirroring the practices of scientists, engineers, and technologists. It is characterized by meaningful tasks, integration of knowledge and skills, emphasis on problem-solving and inquiry, opportunities for collaboration, and the use of clear criteria or rubrics that reflect disciplinary standards (Udofia & Tommy, 2021; Gaikwad et al., 2023). Rather than focusing solely on recall, authentic assessment foregrounds performance, reflection, and the transfer of learning, making it particularly suitable for STEM education where applied competence is central (Ajjawi et al., 2020).

In practice, authentic assessment in STEM commonly takes the form of project-based learning tasks, engineering design challenges, scientific investigations, and technology-supported simulations. Examples include students designing and testing prototypes to address local environmental problems, conducting inquiry-based laboratory projects using virtual or physical labs, or developing data-driven solutions through coding and modeling activities. Such assessments allow learners to demonstrate conceptual understanding, procedural skills, and higher-order thinking while engaging with real-life constraints and contexts (Bearman et al.,

2023; Tan & Kidman, 2021). When well designed, these approaches enhance learner engagement and provide richer evidence of learning than traditional examinations, while aligning assessment with the goals of contemporary STEM curricula (Ajjawi et al., 2023).

Research–Practice Partnerships in STEM Assessment

Conceptualizing Research–Practice Partnerships in Assessment

Research–practice partnerships (RPPs) are long-term, structured collaborations in which researchers and practitioners work together to address persistent educational problems through joint inquiry, design, implementation, and reflection. In STEM assessment, RPPs are particularly valuable because assessment practices must balance disciplinary rigor, real-world relevance, and institutional accountability. Authentic assessment research emphasizes the need for alignment between learning outcomes, assessment tasks, and professional STEM practices, a goal that is difficult to achieve without close collaboration between those who generate research knowledge and those who enact assessment in classrooms and laboratories (Tommy & Orok, 2019; Tan & Kidman, 2021). RPPs therefore function as “bridges” that translate assessment theory into practice while simultaneously refining theory through practice-based evidence.

Benefits of Research–Practice Collaboration in STEM Assessment

One of the most significant benefits of RPPs in STEM assessment is the co-construction of knowledge. According to Eduwem and Tommy (2021a), researchers contribute conceptual frameworks, design principles, and methodological expertise, while practitioners offer contextual insights related to curriculum constraints, student diversity, resource availability, and institutional culture. This reciprocal exchange enhances the validity and feasibility of authentic assessment designs and reduces the common mismatch between research recommendations and classroom realities (Ajjawi et al., 2020; Bearman et al., 2023). Collaborative partnerships also support professional learning for educators by strengthening assessment literacy, particularly in relation to designing rubrics, interpreting evidence of learning, and providing meaningful feedback on complex STEM tasks (Gaikwad et al., 2023; Dawson et al., 2021).

Co-Designing Authentic STEM Assessments

RPPs provide a productive context for the co-design of authentic assessment tasks such as project-based learning activities, engineering design challenges, simulations, and work-integrated assessments. Through iterative design cycles, partners jointly define assessment purposes, identify authentic contexts, and develop criteria that reflect both academic standards and professional expectations

(Archer et al., 2021). Studies show that when educators are directly involved in assessment design, they are more likely to implement innovative assessment practices with fidelity and confidence (Brown et al., 2022). In STEM contexts, such co-designed assessments have been shown to enhance student engagement, deepen conceptual understanding, and promote higher-order skills such as problem-solving, collaboration, and ethical reasoning (Tan & Kidman, 2021).

Using Assessment Data for Joint Inquiry and Improvement

Another core function of RPPs in STEM assessment is the collaborative analysis and use of assessment data to inform instructional and curricular improvement (Eduwem and Tommy (2021b). Rather than treating assessment data as solely evaluative, RPPs position data as a resource for shared learning. Researchers and practitioners jointly examine student work, feedback patterns, and learning trajectories to identify strengths, gaps, and opportunities for refinement (Ajjawi et al., 2023). This approach supports continuous improvement cycles and helps educators adapt authentic assessment practices to diverse learner needs while maintaining rigor and fairness (Yan & Pastore, 2022). Collaborative data use also contributes to more transparent and defensible assessment decisions in complex STEM learning environments.

Addressing Digital Transformation and Academic Integrity

The rapid expansion of digital and online learning environments has intensified the need for collaborative approaches to assessment. RPPs have been instrumental in supporting the shift from traditional examinations to alternative and authentic assessment formats that leverage technology while safeguarding academic integrity (Udofia & Tommy, 2021). During and after the COVID-19 pandemic, partnerships enabled institutions to redesign assessments, test new digital tools, and evaluate their impact on student learning and integrity (Adama et al., 2023; Butler-Henderson & Crawford, 2020). Through shared inquiry, partners have explored strategies such as scaffolded tasks, reflective components, and process-oriented assessment to reduce opportunities for misconduct and enhance authenticity (Ajjawi et al., 2020).

Examples of Successful Partnerships in STEM Assessment

Empirical studies provide evidence of successful RPPs in STEM and applied disciplines where collaborative assessment initiatives have led to improved learning outcomes and sustainable change. For example, partnerships focused on work-integrated and industry-linked assessments have helped align university STEM curricula with labor market expectations while preserving academic standards (Akbari et al., 2022; Archer et al., 2021). Other collaborations have supported the

development of sustainability-oriented STEM assessments that engage students with real-world challenges and foster transferable skills (Asgarova et al., 2023). These cases illustrate how RPPs enable assessment innovation that is both contextually responsive and theoretically grounded.

Implications for Sustainable STEM Assessment Reform

Overall, research–practice partnerships offer a robust framework for advancing authentic assessment in STEM education. By fostering shared ownership, mutual learning, and long-term engagement, RPPs help ensure that assessment reforms are not only innovative but also scalable and sustainable. As STEM education continues to respond to technological change, workforce demands, and societal challenges, strengthening RPPs around assessment design and evaluation will be critical for ensuring that assessment practices genuinely support meaningful learning and professional readiness (Tan & Kidman, 2021; Ajjawi et al., 2023).

Strategies for Authentic Assessment in STEM

Authentic assessment in STEM education emphasizes the evaluation of learners' abilities to apply knowledge, skills, and dispositions in contexts that resemble real scientific, technological, engineering, and mathematical practice. This section discusses key strategies for implementing authentic assessment in STEM, focusing on performance tasks, project-based assessments, peer assessment and feedback, and technology-enhanced approaches, with attention to quality, integrity, and sustainability.

1. Performance Tasks: Designing Real-World STEM Challenges:

Performance tasks are a core strategy in authentic STEM assessment because they require students to demonstrate learning through action rather than recall. Well-designed performance tasks mirror the complex, ill-structured problems faced by professionals in STEM fields, such as designing experiments, analyzing datasets, developing technological solutions, or proposing evidence-based interventions to real-world challenges. These tasks typically integrate multiple learning outcomes, including conceptual understanding, procedural competence, problem-solving, and communication skills (Zhang et al., 2021).

Research indicates that performance-based assessment enhances student engagement and perceived relevance of learning, particularly when tasks are contextualized within local or societal issues (James & Casidy, 2018). In STEM disciplines, performance tasks may include laboratory investigations, engineering design briefs, or case-based simulations that require iterative testing and reflection. Clear rubrics and explicit performance criteria are essential to ensure transparency, fairness, and

consistency in grading, especially given the open-ended nature of such tasks (Wu et al., 2015; Yustitia & Wardani, 2017). When supported by formative feedback, performance tasks also function as learning tools, not merely evaluative instruments.

2. **Project-Based Assessments: Evaluating Learning through Extended Inquiry:** Project-based assessment (PBA) involves evaluating student learning through sustained projects that unfold over time and culminate in tangible outputs such as reports, prototypes, models, or digital products (Eduwem & Tommy, 2021b). In STEM education, project-based assessments align closely with inquiry-based and problem-based pedagogies, enabling students to engage deeply with disciplinary content while developing transferable skills such as collaboration, self-regulation, and critical thinking (Islam & Ahmed, 2018; Kaiser, 2023).

Studies show that project-based authentic assessment increases student satisfaction and motivation by allowing learners to exercise choice, creativity, and autonomy in addressing meaningful problems (James & Casidy, 2018; Kashef & Townsley, 2023; Eden et al., 2023). For example, Hussain and Al Saaidi (2019) demonstrate how students acting as designers of digital learning artifacts, such as e-books, can be effectively assessed on both content mastery and design competence. In STEM contexts, projects may involve data-driven investigations, engineering prototypes, or interdisciplinary challenges that integrate science and technology. Effective implementation requires careful scaffolding, milestone submissions, and alignment between project objectives and assessment criteria to manage workload and ensure academic rigor (Ibrahim et al., 2023).

3. **Peer Assessment and Feedback: Learning Through Evaluation:** Peer assessment and feedback constitute an important strategy for authentic assessment in STEM by actively involving students in the evaluation process. Through structured peer review, learners assess the work of their peers using predefined criteria, thereby deepening their understanding of quality standards and disciplinary expectations. Research suggests that peer assessment enhances feedback literacy, metacognitive awareness, and self-efficacy, particularly in complex STEM tasks where multiple solution pathways are possible (Yan & Pastore, 2022).

In authentic STEM learning environments, peer assessment is often embedded within project-based or performance-based tasks, such as reviewing experimental designs, evaluating prototypes, or critiquing data interpretations. When carefully designed, peer feedback supports formative

learning while reducing overreliance on instructor feedback (Eduwem & Tommy, 2021b; Kasimatis & Papageorgiou, 2021). However, the success of peer assessment depends on clear guidelines, training in constructive feedback, and a supportive assessment culture grounded in trust and academic integrity (International Center for Academic Integrity, 2021). Digital platforms further enhance peer assessment by enabling anonymity, iterative feedback, and documentation of learning processes.

4. **Technology-Enhanced Assessments: Leveraging Digital Tools for Authenticity:** Technology-enhanced assessment plays a pivotal role in supporting authentic assessment in STEM, particularly in online and blended learning environments. Digital tools enable the design of simulations, virtual laboratories, e-portfolios, collaborative workspaces, and gamified assessment environments that align with STEM practices (Udofia & Tommy, 2021; Huber et al., 2024). These tools expand the range of assessable outcomes, allowing educators to capture process-oriented evidence such as problem-solving strategies, collaboration patterns, and reflective thinking.

Recent studies highlight the growing influence of artificial intelligence (AI) and learning analytics in shaping authentic assessment practices. Hua (2023) notes that AI-supported assessment can influence student attitudes and ethical awareness, underscoring the need for thoughtful integration that prioritizes learning over surveillance. Similarly, Huertas et al. (2018) emphasize the importance of quality assurance frameworks to guide the design and evaluation of e-learning assessments. Technology-enhanced authentic assessments, when aligned with integrity principles, can reduce academic dishonesty by emphasizing unique, contextualized tasks rather than standardized responses (Ibrahim et al., 2023; International Center for Academic Integrity, 2021).

In STEM education, technology-enhanced assessments may include virtual experiments, data modeling tasks, collaborative coding projects, or digital portfolios that document learning over time. These approaches not only enhance authenticity but also support flexibility, accessibility, and scalability, making them particularly relevant in post-pandemic higher education contexts (Kaisar, 2023; Huber et al., 2024).

Overall, the strategic use of performance tasks, project-based assessments, peer assessment, and technology-enhanced approaches provides a comprehensive framework for authentic assessment in STEM. When grounded in sound assessment literacy, ethical principles, and quality assurance practices, these strategies support

meaningful learning, foster professional competencies, and align assessment with the complex demands of contemporary STEM education.

Implementing Authentic Assessment in STEM Classrooms

Implementing authentic assessment in STEM classrooms represents a shift from traditional, summative testing toward performance-based, contextualized evaluation that reflects how knowledge and skills are used in real-world STEM practice. While the pedagogical benefits are well documented increased engagement, deeper conceptual understanding, and improved transfer of learning educators encounter significant implementation challenges related to scalability, feasibility, teacher preparation, and institutional constraints. Despite these barriers, diverse case studies demonstrate that intentional design, supportive infrastructure, and collaborative cultures can make authentic assessment effective across educational levels and settings (Udofia & Tommy, 2021).

Overcoming Challenges in Implementation

- 1. Scalability and Workload:** One of the most cited challenges in implementing authentic assessment is scalability, especially in large STEM cohorts where individualized, performance-based tasks can be difficult to manage (Kaisar, 2023). Project-based and performance tasks often require extensive teacher time for evaluation, feedback, and moderation. To address this, educators can leverage structured rubrics and peer assessment frameworks that distribute evaluative responsibilities while maintaining consistency and rigor (Yan & Pastore, 2022). When students are trained in providing constructive peer feedback, peer assessment not only supports workload distribution but also enhances metacognitive engagement and disciplinary discourse.
- 2. Feasibility and Resource Constraints:** Authentic assessments can be resource-intensive, demanding access to laboratories, equipment, field data, and digital platforms. In contexts with limited infrastructure, alternative strategies such as simulation tools, open-source software, and project design tasks grounded in readily accessible datasets can provide viable pathways (Islam & Ahmed, 2018). Hussain and Al Saaidi's (2019) study on students as designers of e-books in Malaysian higher education highlights how digital artifact creation using accessible tools can serve as a meaningful authentic assessment without requiring expensive lab resources.
- 3. Teacher Preparedness and Assessment Literacy:** Teachers' confidence and competence in designing and scoring authentic assessments are critical determinants of successful implementation. Many instructors receive

limited training in performance-based assessment design, rubric creation, and formative feedback practices (Yan & Pastore, 2022). Professional development focusing on assessment literacy, especially formative assessment principles, can empower STEM educators to craft and interpret complex tasks while aligning them with learning outcomes.

4. **Academic Integrity and Ethical Assessment Practices:** In online and blended environments, concerns about academic integrity can challenge the credibility of authentic assessments (International Center for Academic Integrity, 2021). Rather than reverting to closed-book exams, educators can reframe assessment design to include process documentation, reflective components, and evidence of reasoning, making dishonesty more difficult and less meaningful (Hua, 2023). Including authentic ethical dilemmas within assessment tasks also provides a dual opportunity: evaluating STEM competence and reinforcing professional standards.

Case Studies of Successful Implementation

Higher Education: Integrating Authentic Assessments in Pharmacy Education

In a study of authentic assessment in a pharmacy elective, Ivy, Hay, and Ritenour (2023) documented how integrating the pharmacist patient care process as an authentic task enhanced students' self-efficacy. By situating assessment within a genuine professional workflow rather than abstract examinations, students demonstrated improved clinical reasoning, decision-making, and reflective practice. The use of real case scenarios and professional standards in assessment design made learning visible and directly transferable to industry expectations.

Malaysian University: E-Book Design as Authentic Task

Hussain and Al Saaidi's (2019) implementation of e-book design projects in Malaysian higher education illustrates a classroom adaptation of authentic assessment in resource-constrained settings. Students were tasked with creating e-books that integrated theory, practice, and digital communication skills. This approach not only aligned assessment with real-world digital literacy demands but also fostered learner autonomy, creativity, and technological competence. The study demonstrated that authentic tasks could be successfully implemented even when traditional STEM infrastructure (like labs) was limited.

Business and STEM Cross-Context: Authentic Assessment Culture

Studies in business education, such as those by James and Casidy (2018) and Kashef and Townsley (2023), indicate that authentic assessment promotes student engagement and satisfaction when problems are grounded in real market or industry issues. While not strictly STEM, these findings transfer readily to STEM contexts where interdisciplinary projects and industry collaboration mirror professional

workflows. For instance, collaborative capstone projects that require data analysis, modeling, or system design provide contexts where learners must apply STEM principles within authentic problem spaces.

STEM in Resource-Variied Contexts: Alternative Assessment Practices during COVID-19

The COVID-19 pandemic acted as a catalyst for rethinking assessment practices globally. Research reflects a shift toward alternative assessments that prioritize student agency and contextualized performance (Kaisar, 2023). In Indonesia, lecturers' perceptions of authentic assessment during pandemic-induced online learning revealed that flexibility and task relevance were key to maintaining academic rigor in STEM and allied disciplines (Ibrahim, Malik, & Avianti, 2023). Educators adapted by incorporating project portfolios, open-ended problem sets, and iterative peer review, demonstrating that authentic assessment can thrive even in remote learning contexts.

Lessons for Sustainable Implementation

Successful implementation of authentic assessment in STEM classrooms hinges on several cross-cutting principles derived from diverse case studies:

- i. **Align Authentic Tasks with Real-World Contexts:** Tasks should mirror professional STEM practices and local relevance, fostering transfer of learning (Ivy et al., 2023; Islam & Ahmed, 2018).
- ii. **Embed Structured Peer Assessment:** Well scaffolded peer review supports workload management and builds students' evaluative capacities (Yan & Pastore, 2022).
- iii. **Invest in Assessment Literacy:** Professional development for educators enhances their ability to design, implement, and interpret complex assessment tasks.
- iv. **Leverage Accessible Technologies:** Digital portfolios, simulations, and collaborative platforms can expand assessment possibilities without prohibitive costs (Hussain & Al Saaidi, 2019; Huber et al., 2024).
- v. **Maintain Integrity Through Design:** Embedding reflective and process-oriented components in assessments reinforces academic integrity and ethical practice (International Center for Academic Integrity, 2021; Hua, 2023).

In summary, implementing authentic assessment in STEM classrooms is both a challenge and an opportunity. It requires thoughtfully addressing barriers related to scalability, resources, and teacher preparedness and by drawing on evidence from successful implementations (teachers) can design assessment practices that are

equitable, meaningful, and aligned with the complex demands of contemporary STEM learning and practice.

Conclusion

This chapter has examined authentic assessment as a transformative approach to STEM education, emphasizing its capacity to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and real-world application. By situating assessment within meaningful, discipline-specific contexts, authentic assessment promotes deeper learning, critical thinking, problem-solving, and learner autonomy. The discussion highlighted key strategies for designing and implementing authentic assessments, addressed persistent challenges such as scalability, feasibility, and academic integrity, and illustrated successful practices through diverse case studies across educational levels and contexts. Overall, the chapter underscores that when supported by sound assessment literacy, appropriate use of technology, and institutional commitment, authentic assessment can enhance the quality, relevance, and equity of STEM teaching and learning.

Recommendations

1. Institutions should strengthen teacher assessment literacy by embedding sustained professional development on authentic and formative assessment design, rubric development, and feedback practices in STEM education.
2. Curriculum designers should integrate authentic assessment systematically into STEM curricula to ensure alignment between learning outcomes, instructional activities, and real-world disciplinary practices.
3. Teachers should leverage technology strategically to support scalable and feasible authentic assessments through digital portfolios, simulations, collaborative platforms, and analytics-informed feedback.
4. Institutions of learning should promote collaborative assessment practices, including peer assessment and reflective learning, to reduce teacher workload while enhancing student engagement and metacognitive skills.
5. Government and well spirited individuals should ensure institutional and policy support by providing adequate infrastructure, clear assessment guidelines, and quality assurance frameworks that sustain authentic assessment practices across diverse STEM learning environments.

References

- Adama, E. A., Graf, A., Adusei-Asante, K., & Afrifa-Yamoah, E. (2023). COVID-19 and alternative assessments in higher education: Implications for academic integrity among nursing and social science students. *International Journal for Educational Integrity*, 19(1), 1–19.
- Ajjawi, R., Bearman, M., Dawson, P., & Tai, J. (2020). Supporting assessment redesign in pandemic times: The need for authentic assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 45(7), 1007–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2020.1773215>
- Ajjawi, R., Tai, J., Dawson, P., & Boud, D. (2023). Assessment for learning in higher education: Framing feedback for impact. *Studies in Higher Education*, 48(3), 456–469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2021.1980958>
- Akbari, M., Nguyen, H. M., McClelland, R., & Van Houdt, K. (2022). Design, implementation and academic perspectives on authentic assessment for applied business higher education in a top performing Asian economy. *Education+Training*, 64(1), 69–88.
- Archer, W., Chiappe, D., & Latham, G. (2021). Authentic assessment in work-integrated learning: Aligning assessment with professional practice. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 40(5), 1013–1027. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2020.1815426>
- Asgarova, R., Macaskill, A., & Abrahamse, W. (2023). Authentic assessment targeting sustainability outcomes: A case study exploring student perceptions. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 24(1), 28–45.
- Ashford-Rowe, K., Herrington, J., & Brown, C. (2014). Establishing the critical elements that determine authentic assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 39(2), 205–222. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2013.819566>
- Aziz, M. N. A., Yusoff, N. M., & Yaakob, M. F. M. (2020). Challenges in using authentic assessment in 21st century ESL classrooms. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 9(3), 759–768.
- Bearman, M., Dawson, P., Ajjawi, R., Tai, J., & Boud, D. (2023). Reframing assessment in higher education: Authenticity, integrity, and trust. *Higher Education*, 85(2), 345–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-022-00824-3>
- Brown, G. T. L., Harris, L. R., & Harnett, J. A. (2022). Teacher beliefs about authentic assessment: Implications for classroom practice. *Educational Assessment*, 27(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10627197.2021.2018769>
- Butler-Henderson, K., & Crawford, J. (2020). A systematic review of online examinations: A pedagogical innovation for scalable authentic assessment. *Computers & Education*, 159, 104024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104024>
- Eden, M. I., Akpan, I. F. & Umanah, F. I. (2023). Practical approaches on students' acquisition of entrepreneurial skills in soap production in Akwa Ibom State,

- Interdisciplinary Journal of Science Education*, 4 (2), 153-165
- Eduwem, J. D. & Tommy, U. E. (2021a). Effect of Test Accessibility on Biology Students' Test Scores in Secondary Schools in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *British Journal of Education*, 9 (6), 1-13.
- Eduwem, J. D. & Tommy, U. E. (2021b). School Type and Compliance with Continuous Assessment Modalities in Secondary Schools in South-South Nigeria. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research Methods*, 8 (1), 23-35.
- Gaikwad, A., Joshi, K., & Kulkarni, S. (2023). Enhancing assessment literacy in STEM education through authentic assessment practices. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 10(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00389-1>
- Hua, J. H. (2023). Beyond exams: Investigating AI tool impact on student attitudes, ethical awareness, and academic dishonesty in online college assessments. *International Journal of Educational Management and Development Studies*, 4(4), 160–185. <https://doi.org/10.53378/353030>
- Huber, E., Harris, L., Wright, S., White, A., Radulescu, C., Zeivots, S., & Brodzeli, A. (2024). Towards a framework for designing and evaluating online assessments in business education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 49(1), 102–116. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2022.2136011>
- Huertas, E., Biscan, I., Ejsing, C., Kerber, L., Kozłowska, L., Ortega, S. M., Lauri, L., Risse, M., Schörg, K., & Seppmann, G. (2018). *Considerations for quality assurance of e-learning provision*. European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education. <https://www.engq.eu>
- Hussain, R. M. R., & Al Saaiddi, K. K. (2019). Students as designers of e-books for authentic assessment. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, 16(1), 23–48.
- Ibrahim, M. M., Malik, M., & Avianti, R. A. (2023). Lecturers' perceptions of authentic assessment during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 15(5), 1514–1529. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-02-2022-0041>
- International Center for Academic Integrity. (2021). *The fundamental values of academic integrity*. <https://academicintegrity.org>
- Islam, N. N., & Ahmed, I. (2018). Thinking unconventional: Alternative assessment at tertiary education level. *International Journal of New Technology and Research*, 4(1), 18–23.
- Ivy, D. R., Hay, H., & Ritenour, A. (2023). Students' self-efficacy completing the pharmacist patient care process: Integrating authentic assessment into a pharmacy elective. *Pharmacy Education*, 23(1), 223–229. <https://doi.org/10.46542/pe.2023.231.223229>
- James, L. T., & Casidy, R. (2018). Authentic assessment in higher education: Effects on student satisfaction and engagement. *Studies in Higher Education*, 43(3), 401–415. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2016.1165659>

- Juanda, A. (2022). Authentic assessment of 21st-century skills in biology education. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 8(1), 188–194. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v8i1.1206>
- Kaisar, S. (2023). Alternative assessment practices in higher education during COVID-19. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy*, 3(5), 37–43. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.35.37.43>
- Kashef, F. L., & Townsley, M. (2023). Business faculty perspectives on authentic assessment. *Journal of Research Initiatives*, 7(2).
- Kasimatis, K., & Papageorgiou, T. (2021). Education executives views about the development of authentic learning and assessment environments. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 8(7).
- Tan, A.-L., & Kidman, G. (2021). Authentic assessment in STEM education: Connecting classroom learning to professional practice. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 8(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-021-00268-5>
- Tommy, U. E. & Orok, M. E. (2019). Test Alignment of Entry Qualifications as Predictors of Final Grades of Students in Tertiary Institutions in Nigeria. *International Journal of Education, Learning and Development*, 7(7), 106–119.
- Udofia, N. A. & Tommy, U. E. (2021). Effect of Asynchronous Instructional Strategy on Learning Motivation and Scores of Postgraduate Students in Advanced Educational Research in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *British Journal of Education*, 9(7), 40–50.
- Udoh, A. O. & Tommy, U. E. (2019). External Examiners' Characteristics and Examinees' Performance in West African Examinations Council's Biology Examinations in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 9(6), 43–49.
- Wu, X. V., Heng, M. A., & Wang, W. (2015). Nursing students' experiences with the use of authentic assessment rubric and case approach in the clinical laboratories. *Nurse Education Today*, 35(4), 549–555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2014.12.009>
- Yan, Z., & Pastore, S. (2022). Teacher formative assessment literacy scale development and validation. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 74, Article 101183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2022.101183>
- Yustitia, V., & Wardani, I. S. (2017). Authentic assessment analysis based on the KKN curriculum in applied statistics learning. *Ideas for 21st Century Education: Proceedings of the Asian Education Symposium (AES 2016), November 22–23, 2016, Bandung, Indonesia* (p. 55). Routledge.
- Zhang, Q., Zhang, X., & Liu, J. (2021). A holistic review of authentic assessment in mathematics education. In *Authentic assessment and evaluation approaches in a digital era* (pp. 95–115). Springer.