A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR LEVERAGING ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN DYSGRAPHIA REMEDIATION LEARNING

Mary Nneka Nwikpo (PhD),
Department of Educational Foundations
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka
nm.nwikpo@unizik.edu.ng

Abstract

Dysgraphia is a learning difference that impairs students' capacity to produce legible and coherent written language and poses significant obstacles for their academic and personal growth. With the advent of Assistive Technologies (AT), however, new possibilities emerge for individuals with this learning disability to accomplish tasks that were previously difficult or unfeasible. AT tools target challenges pertaining to writing, spelling, and organizing ideas on paper or digital platforms. Nonetheless, the absence of a comprehensive theoretical framework impedes the systematic incorporation of AT into dysgraphia remediation practices. This paper, therefore, advances a theoretical framework intended to assist educators, researchers, and practitioners in the efficacious utilization of assistive technologies (AT) for the remediation of dysgraphia. This framework examines key components, such as assessment, technology selection, instructional strategies, and evaluation, to establish a coherent approach for dysgraphia remediation. By adhering to this framework, stakeholders of education can cooperate to assess, select, integrate, and evaluate AT solutions customized to individual needs. Ultimately, this approach will enable students with dysgraphia, promote autonomy and enhance their academic and personal development.

Keywords: Dysgraphia, remediation, written language, Assistive Technologies (AT), theoretical framework

Introduction

Dysgraphia is a neurological condition that manifests as a learning disability that affects the acquisition of written language skills, resulting in significant challenges in handwriting and written expression (Chung, Patel & Nizami, 2020). Individuals with dysgraphia often struggle with letter formation, spacing, legibility, and overall written fluency (McCloskey & Rapp, 2017; Asselborn, Chapatte & Dillenbourg, 2020). In the realm of education and cognitive development, this condition poses a significant challenge for the individuals as they struggle to communicate their thoughts and ideas through written expression. These difficulties can impair not just academic progress but hinder self-esteem and limit overall educational experiences. Globally, assistive

technologies (AT) have emerged as a promising avenue for addressing these challenges. By leveling the playing field in education and daily life, AT, effectively supports individuals with dysgraphia, enabling them to benefit from inclusivity in education. However, the lack of a comprehensive theoretical framework to guide educators, researchers, and practitioners in leveraging AT for dysgraphia remediation hinders the systematic integration of AT into dysgraphia remediation practices. By examining the existing literature and drawing upon established frameworks in the field of assistive technologies and disability studies, this paper addresses this growing need for a theoretical framework that can inform the strategic use of assistive technologies to enhance dysgraphia remediation.

The proposed theoretical framework will explore the multifaceted nature of dysgraphia, taking into account the complex interplay of cognitive, motor, and perceptual processes involved in writing. It examines the diverse range of assistive technologies available, including both traditional tools such as pencil grips, adaptive paper and digital solutions such as speech-to-text software, touchscreen devices), and explore their potential to address the specific challenges faced by individuals with dysgraphia.

Further, the socio-cultural and psychological dimensions of dysgraphia remediation will be considered in this research. It will explore the impact of assistive technologies on self-perception, motivation, and academic engagement, as well as the potential benefits and challenges associated with their implementation within educational settings. By understanding these contextual factors, the theoretical framework will provide insights into the broader implications of assistive technology integration and inform the development of inclusive educational practices for individuals with dysgraphia.

Ultimately, this research aspires to contribute to the existing body of knowledge surrounding dysgraphia remediation by offering a comprehensive theoretical framework that can guide educators, researchers, and practitioners in effectively leveraging assistive technologies. By bridging the gap between theory and practice, this framework aims to empower individuals with dysgraphia, enhance their writing abilities, and promote inclusive educational environments that foster their academic success and overall well-being.

Concept of Dysgrapia

Dysgraphia is a specific learning disorder characterized by difficulties in handwriting and written expression. It is considered a neurodevelopmental condition that affects the ability to produce written language accurately and fluently (Drotar & Dorbes, 2020). Individuals with dysgraphia often struggle with letter formation, spacing, sizing, and overall legibility of their writing. Moreover, they may experience challenges in

organizing their thoughts and translating them onto paper. It is closely related to developmental dyslexia, a disorder of the acquisition of reading skills, which has been more in the focus of investigation for the past years. Dysgraphia and dyslexia can co-exist as well as occur alone (World Health Organisation, 2018). Dysgraphia has a high rate of co-morbidity with other learning and psychiatric disorders, is poorly understood and is often undiagnosed (Chung, Patel & Nizami, 2020).

Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), categorized dysgraphia under the group of specific learning disorders, alongside other conditions such as dyslexia and dyscalculia (American Psychiatric Association, 2014). Dysgraphia is typically diagnosed when a child's writing skills are significantly below the expected level for their age and educational background, and when these difficulties persist despite adequate instruction and intervention. National Institute of Neurological Disorder (2023) observed that cause of the disorder is unknown, but in adults, it is usually associated with damage to the parietal lobe of the brain which occurs after some trauma. McCloskey and Rapp (2017) did not seem to go by "no-known cause view. He, drawing from an important distinction articulated by Coltheart (2015), between proximal and distal causes of a condition, where he noted that proximal causes are the deficiencies within a cognitive system that directly result in impaired performance, whereas distal causes are the causal factors that produced the deficiencies, McCloskey and Rapp averred that in the a case developmental dysgraphia, the proximal cause is the deficiency within the cognitive writing system that produces impaired writing performance (e.g., underdeveloped orthographic long-term memory, deficient knowledge of sound-spelling correspondence rules), while the distal cause, in contrast, is the deficit that caused the failure in acquisition of cognitive spelling or handwriting mechanisms. For example, a deficit in encoding or retaining information about ordering of elements (such as the letters in a word) could impair the learning of word spellings, resulting in deficient orthographic long-term memory representations. In this instance, the distal cause is the deficit in sequence representation, and the proximal cause is the deficient orthographic long-term memory system.

Further, recent research has shed light on the underlying causes and neural mechanisms associated with dysgraphia. Studies conducted by Kandel et al. (2019) and Finn, Poldrack & Shine (2023) utilized functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate brain activation patterns in children with dysgraphia. The findings revealed atypical activation in brain regions involved in motor planning and execution, as well as in areas associated with language processing. These results suggest that dysgraphia may stem from a combination of motor and linguistic impairments.

Furthermore, advancements in technology have allowed for the development of interventions and accommodations to support individuals with dysgraphia. For instance, the use of assistive technology, such as speech-to-text software and word prediction programs, can help alleviate the writing difficulties experienced by individuals with dysgraphia (Ramain-Hutchison, 2021). Additionally, occupational therapy interventions focusing on fine motor skills and handwriting instruction have shown promising results in improving handwriting performance (Nightingale, Sumner, Prunty, Barnettm, 2022).

In conclusion, dysgraphia is a specific learning disorder characterized by difficulties in handwriting and written expression. Recent research has provided insights into the neural mechanisms underlying dysgraphia, highlighting the involvement of both motor and linguistic processes. With the help of technological advancements and targeted interventions, individuals with dysgraphia can receive the necessary support to overcome their writing challenges and enhance their overall academic performance.

Concept of Assistive Technologies

Assistive technologies can be defined as tools, devices, or systems that are designed to assist individuals with disabilities in performing tasks, accessing information, or engaging in activities that may be challenging or impossible due to their impairments (World Health Organization, 2020). These technologies aim to enhance the independence, functionality, and overall quality of life for people with disabilities. They aid individuals, particularly seniors and those with disabilities or chronic conditions, in overcoming functional challenges or declines in ability (AT scale, 2021; Layton et al, 2020; Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency 2021).

Assistive technologies encompass a wide range of products and services, including mobility aids, hearing and vision aids, communication devices, cognitive aids, and environmental control systems. These technologies can be low-tech, such as a cane or a magnifying glass, or high-tech, such as a powered wheelchair or speech recognition software (WHO). They facilitate active engagement in various aspects of life, including work and education, promotes independence, reduces reliance on caregivers, and minimizes both social and healthcare expenditures (WHO, 2022).

The concept of assistive technologies has evolved to include both hardware and software solutions that cater to the specific needs of individuals with disabilities. With advancements in technology, there has been a proliferation of innovative assistive devices that are more user-friendly, portable, and customizable (Lancioni *et al*., 2021). For example, the development of smart devices and wearable technologies has opened up

new possibilities for individuals with disabilities to access information, communicate, and control their environment (Giusti *et al*., 2020).

The primary goal of using assistive technologies is to empower individuals with disabilities, enabling them to overcome barriers and participate fully in society (World Health Organization, 2020). By providing assistance and support in various aspects of life, assistive technologies can promote inclusion, improve functional abilities, and enhance overall well-being for individuals with disabilities.

In conclusion, assistive technologies are tools, devices, or systems that aim to enhance the independence, functionality, and quality of life of individuals with disabilities. These technologies encompass a wide range of products and services, and their development has been driven by advancements in technology. The ultimate aim of using assistive technologies is to empower individuals with disabilities and promote their inclusion in society.

The multifaceted nature of dysgraphia, types of ATs, and benefits

In this segment, the following are discussed:

- The multifaceted nature of dysgraphia will be explored, taking into account the complex interplay of cognitive, motor, and perceptual processes involved in writing.
- Diverse range of assistive technologies available and their potential to address the specific challenges faced by individuals with dysgraphia.

Dysgraphia is a learning disability characterized by difficulties in handwriting and written composition, and its nature is multifaceted, involving complex interplay among cognitive, motor, and perceptual processes associated with writing. Cognitive processes such as planning, organizing, and generating ideas for written expression can be challenging for individuals with dysgraphia (William and Wesley, 2019). Motor difficulties, including fine motor coordination and motor planning, can impede the execution of legible and fluent handwriting (Santangelo & Graham, 2016). Furthermore, perceptual challenges, such as visual-spatial processing deficits, may affect letter formation, spacing, and overall spatial organization on the page (Graham *et al*., 2012).

To address these challenges, a diverse range of assistive technologies has been developed, encompassing both traditional tools and digital solutions otherwise referred to as low-tech and high-tech assistive technologies respectively. Traditional tools include pencil grips and adaptive paper, which provide physical support and modifications to the

writing utensils and paper to enhance grip and control (Graham *et al*., 2012). These tools aim to alleviate motor difficulties and improve handwriting legibility.

In recent years, digital solutions have gained prominence in dysgraphia remediation. Speech-to-text software, for example, allows individuals to dictate their thoughts orally, which are then converted into written text (Santangelo & Graham, 2016). This technology enables students with dysgraphia to bypass their handwriting difficulties and focus on expressing their ideas more fluently. Touchscreen devices, such as tablets, offer a variety of writing and drawing apps that provide a more interactive and engaging platforms for practising writing skills (Skogly, Kellems, Kuyini, Bussey, Daae (2020). These digital tools also often incorporate features such as predictive text and auto-correction, which can assist individuals with spelling and grammar errors (Santangelo & Graham, 2016).

The potential of assistive technologies to address the specific challenges faced by individuals with dysgraphia is significant. Traditional tools offer practical modifications that can enhance handwriting mechanics and legibility, while digital solutions provide alternative means of written expression that bypass or compensate for motor and cognitive difficulties. These technologies can reduce frustration, increase writing productivity, and improve the overall quality of written work for individuals with dysgraphia (Berninger, 2015; Santangelo & Graham, 2016; William & Wesley, 2019).

However, it is important to note that the effectiveness of assistive technologies in dysgraphia remediation may vary depending on individual needs and preferences. Some individuals may benefit more from traditional tools, while others may find digital solutions better suited to their strengths and learning styles. Therefore, a personalized approach to assistive technology selection and implementation is crucial to ensure optimal outcomes for individuals with dysgraphia (Graham *et al*., 2012).

In conclusion, the multifaceted nature of dysgraphia necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay among cognitive, motor, and perceptual processes involved in writing. The diverse range of assistive technologies, including traditional tools and digital solutions, holds great potential in addressing the specific challenges faced by individuals with dysgraphia. These technologies can provide practical support, alternative means of expression, and opportunities for skill development. However, individualized consideration and careful selection of assistive technologies are essential to ensure the most effective and appropriate support for each individual with dysgraphia.

The socio-cultural and psychological dimensions of dysgraphia remediation, potential benefits and challenges associated with their implementation within educational settings.

The remediation of dysgraphia transcends the mere consideration of cognitive and motoric dimensions associated with writing, encompassing the intricate socio-cultural and psychological facets that profoundly shape the experiences of individuals grappling with dysgraphia. The incorporation of assistive technologies into dysgraphia remediation holds the potential to exert a profound influence on self-perception, motivation, and academic engagement. Nevertheless, a judicious evaluation of both the potential advantages and challenges inherent in deploying these technologies within educational settings is paramount.

From a socio-cultural perspective, dysgraphia can significantly impact an individual's sense of identity and belonging. Students contending with dysgraphia may cultivate a perception of distinctiveness or inadequacy vis-à-vis their peers, owing to the challenges they encounter in the realm of writing (Graham *et al*., 2012). The use of assistive technologies can help mitigate these negative self-perceptions by providing alternative means of written expression that align with their abilities. By utilizing assistive technologies, individuals with dysgraphia can feel empowered and more included in the writing process, fostering a sense of belonging and promoting positive self-perception (Berninger, 2015; Smith, 2022).

The remediation of dysgraphia hinges upon the pivotal interplay of motivation and academic engagement. Conventional writing exigencies often elicit frustration and demotivation among individuals grappling with dysgraphia, culminating in diminished participation and a proclivity to eschew written assignments (Santangelo & Graham, 2016). The integration of assistive technologies assumes a paramount role in augmenting motivation, proffering more accessible and efficacious modalities for articulating ideas. A case in point is the deployment of speech-to-text software, affording students the luxury of concentrating on content generation without the physical demands of handwriting, potentially increasing their motivation to engage in writing tasks (Graham *et al*., 2012).

Furthermore, the advantageous implications of assistive technologies transcend the realms of mere motivation and engagement. Their utility extends to enhancing productivity and efficiency in written endeavours, affording individuals grappling with dysgraphia the capacity to generate compositions of elevated quality (Berninger, 2015). Through mitigating the impediments inherent in challenges related to handwriting, assistive technologies present avenues for students to manifest their cognitive acumen and capabilities more adeptly, thereby culminating in improved academic outcomes.

However, challenges exist in the incoporation of assistive technologies in educational context. The accessibility and availability of suitable technologies emerge as formidable barriers, particularly in resource-constrained environments (Santangelo & Graham, 2016). Additionally, familiarity with assistive technologies and the need for training among educators and students can pose challenges to their effective use (Graham et al., 2012). It is challenging to accurately diagnose any learning disorder since the assessment procedure has to consider or take into account multiple cues (Kunhoth *et al*, 2023). It becomes imperative to guarantee that students not only receive requisite support but also undergo training to harness these technologies optimally.

Another nuanced consideration pertains to the potential stigma attached to the utilization of assistive technologies. Students may encounter social and emotional hurdles should they perceive reliance on such technologies as indicative of weakness or divergence from the norm (Berninger, 2015). Consequently, establishing a nurturing and inclusive classroom milieu, wherein the integration of assistive technologies is normalized and celebrated as a tool fostering individual success, becomes indispensable for mitigating these potential challenges.

In summary, the remediation of dysgraphia transcends the mere technicalities of assistive technologies, delving into profound socio-cultural and psychological dimensions that significantly shape the experiences of those with dysgraphia. The incorporation of assistive technologies, while offering alternative avenues for written expression, exerts a positive influence on self-perception, motivation, and academic engagement. Nevertheless, challenges encompassing access, training, and the specter of potential stigma necessitate strategic interventions for the seamless integration of these technologies within educational settings. Through the cultivation of inclusive environments that endorse the judicious use of these tools, educators possess the means to empower individuals with dysgraphia, elevate their writing proficiencies, and foster holistic well-being and academic triumph.

Review of the literature and established frameworks from the field of dysgraphia remediation and assistive technologies.

Asselborn, Chapatte, and Dillenbourg (2020) employed Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to illuminate the expansive nature of dysgraphia as an overarching concept encapsulating diverse handwriting challenges. Their study underscored the nuanced nature of these difficulties by demonstrating that two children with identical global scores could exhibit entirely distinct types of handwriting issues. For example, one child might manifest uneven pen pressure, while another might grapple with challenges in controlling writing speed. In lieu of a singular global score, their assessment method furnishes a

comprehensive profile encompassing four specific scores related to kinematics, pressure, pen tilt, and static features (letter shape). This refined profiling not only yields a global assessment but also facilitates the generation of distinct profiles, enabling the selection of targeted remediation games tailored to the specific nature of each individual's handwriting difficulties.

Prunty and Barnett (2017) contrasted children who have handwriting difficulties as a consequence of a general motor coordination deficit (developmental coordination deficit, or DCD) with children who struggle with handwriting in the absence of general motor impairment (referred to as dysgraphic children). DCD and dysgraphic groups were compared with typically developing control children on a variety of handwriting measures, including writing speed, pauses during writing, and quality of letter formation. Although the DCD and dysgraphic children performed more poorly than the typically developing children on most measures, few differences were found between the DCD and dysgraphic groups. However, considerable variation was observed within groups. These results highlight the importance—for diagnosis, remediation, and research—of considering children as individuals, and not just as members of a category such as DCD.

Diamond and Olszewwski (2023) in their study on dysgraphia and dyslexia indicators highlighted that dysgraphia and dyslexia can be identified during the first two years of school by analyzing children's writing. This underscores the importance of early intervention. Children indicating signs of dysgraphia should be helped, with the use of diverse assistive technologies that address their specific problem types, to work on skills while they are learning to read and write rather than waiting until later in their academic career in Grade 3 or 4 when they are transitioning from learning to read and write to reading and writing to learn.

Drotár and Dobeš (2020) explored the use of automated procedures for dysgraphia testing available to larger populations, thereby facilitating early intervention for those who need it. Employing a machine learning approach to identify handwriting deteriorated by dysgraphia, they proved that machine learning can be used to detect dysgraphia with almost 80% accuracy, even when dealing with a heterogeneous set of subjects differing in age, sex and handedness Kelly and Kelly (2018) in a study designed to understand the condition of dysgraphia and its barriers to STEM education, found out that through assistive technologies, self-advocacy, and teacher awareness, successful mitigation of these barriers is achievable.

Bublin *et al*. (2023) delved into a meticulous grading of handwriting capabilities, predicting the SEMS score within a range of 0 to 12 through the application of deep learning. Their approach achieved a notable root-mean-square error of less than 1,

employing automated feature extraction and selection instead of manual processes. Importantly, their work recognizes the significance of early dysgraphia detection, advocating for the timely initiation of targeted interventions. To this end, they utilized the SensoGrip smart pen, endowed with sensors capturing handwriting dynamics, in lieu of a conventional tablet. This shift toward real-world scenarios ensures a more authentic evaluation of writing capabilities.

The Co-writer Scenario

The Co-writer Scenario, an innovation arising from child-robot interaction, stands as a framework meticulously crafted by researchers hailing from the Computer-Human Interaction in Learning and Instruction (CHILI) Lab at the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) in Switzerland. Incepted in 2014 and culminating in 2018, this framework represents a pioneering endeavour to facilitate the enhancement of writing skills in children with dysgraphia through a novel pedagogical approach: learning by teaching. Within this paradigm, the child assumes the role of a tutor for a robot aspiring to acquire writing proficiency, engaging in error correction and feedback provision. The robot, in turn, tailors its interactions to the child's writing proficiency and challenges, delivering interactive and personalized support, instruction, and motivation. The underpinning cognitive foundations of learning to read and write, coupled with the principles of universal design for learning, form the bedrock of this innovative framework.

This Co-writer Scenario aligns seamlessly with the proposed four-tiered theoretical framework for dysgraphia remediation through assistive technologies, encompassing assessment, selection, implementation, and evaluation of the most suitable assistive technology for the child. Specifically, the Co-writer Scenario lends itself adeptly to the processes of assessing, selecting, integrating, and evaluating the robot as an assistive technology for dysgraphia remediation. Furthermore, it serves as a potent instrument for quantifying and enhancing the child's writing performance and outcomes.

Universal Design for Learning (UDL)

Universal Design for Learning (UDL), conceived by the Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST), constitutes a foundational framework that transcends the traditional confines of one-size-fits-all pedagogy. UDL provides a comprehensive blueprint for designing instructional goals, methods, materials, and assessments that are inherently inclusive and adaptable to diverse individual needs. The essence of UDL lies in its three core principles addressing the "why," "what," and "how" of learning:

1. Provide multiple means of engagement: Motivate and captivate learners by offering choices, challenges, and relevance tailored to their goals and interests.

2. Provide multiple means of representation: Present information and content in varied formats to accommodate diverse learning preferences and needs.
3. Provide multiple means of action and expression: Enable learners to showcase their knowledge and skills through diverse modes aligned with their strengths and abilities.

UDL, by design, benefits all learners, particularly those with distinct learning styles and cognitive profiles, by dismantling barriers and infusing flexibility into the learning environment. Beyond inclusivity, UDL fosters the development of essential cognitive skills such as self-regulation, metacognition, and strategic learning.

Assistive Technology (AT) Continuum

Assistive Technology (AT) Continuum represents a systematic framework for classifying assistive technology devices based on their sophistication, requisite training, and relative cost. Conceived by Joy Zabala in 1995 within the SETT (Student, Environment, Tasks, and Tools) framework, the AT continuum spans from no-tech solutions (pertaining to environmental adaptations and modifications) to low-tech implementations (encompassing simple devices like magnifiers, large print materials, and audio books), progressing through mid-tech devices (requiring batteries or electricity, such as calculators and tape recorders), and culminating in high-tech solutions (comprising complex devices necessitating computer systems or software, like speech synthesizers and refreshable braille displays). The selection of appropriate assistive technology is contingent upon the individual needs of the student and serves as a guiding principle in the selection and implementation of assistive technology for those with dysgraphia.

The Socio-Technical Model of Disability

The Socio-Technical Model of Disability, developed by Olkin in 2002, constitutes a comprehensive framework that accentuates the dynamic interplay between social and technical factors in the design and utilization of assistive technologies (ATs) for individuals with disabilities. Contrary to solely addressing functional limitations, this model underscores the importance of considering the social context, preferences, needs, and values of users. This model finds practical application in the domain of dysgraphia remediation, a learning disability impacting writing, spelling, and written expression. ATs play a pivotal role in ameliorating challenges associated with dysgraphia, including handwriting difficulties, spelling errors, organizational issues, and diminished self-esteem.

Various ATs designed for dysgraphia include:

(1). Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) devices, incorporating speech-to-text software, word prediction, and text-to-speech software to facilitate communication without reliance on handwriting.

(2). Machine and deep learning (ML and DL) techniques, such as handwriting recognition, gesture recognition, and adaptive learning, analyze writing patterns, offer personalized feedback, and tailor instruction to individual needs and goals.
(3). Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools, such as grammar and spell checkers, synonyms and antonyms, and text summarizers, assist users in enhancing the quality and clarity of their written output.

For individuals with dysgraphia, AT yields manifold benefits, such as improving spelling, word choice, and grammar through word prediction tools, alleviating the physical strain of writing with voice recognition programs, enhancing organization and planning with graphic organizers and note-taking applications, and augmenting the speed and accuracy of writing through digital typewriters, laptops, or tablets.

The utilization of Assistive Technology (AT) emerges as a transformative mechanism empowering individuals with dysgraphia to surmount myriad barriers that impede their active engagement and performance across academic, professional, and social domains. AT, beyond its remedial function, assumes a pivotal role in the augmentation of writing proficiency and self-assurance, concurrently nurturing creativity and self-expression. In this regard, the application of AT manifests as an operationalization of the socio-technical model of disability within the realm of dysgraphia remediation.

Socio-cultural theory

Socio-cultural theory, as advanced by Lev Vygotsky, provides a sophisticated psychological framework that accentuates the influence of social interaction and culture in shaping cognitive development. Vygotsky posits learning as an inherently social process, entailing guidance and collaboration from more knowledgeable entities, such as educators, parents, or peers. Central to his theory is the notion of the zone of proximal development, delineating the disparity between a learner's independent capabilities and their potential achievements with appropriate assistance.

Dysgraphia, as a neurological condition impacting writing skills, including handwriting, spelling, and organizational aspects of written expression, can be effectively addressed through a multifaceted approach involving various teaching strategies and AT tools tailored to the specific challenges faced by learners. Strategies encompass explicit instruction on writing conventions, multisensory techniques to enhance fine motor skills, and scaffolding methods, including reciprocal teaching. Meanwhile, AT tools, such as voice recognition software, word prediction software, spell checkers, and digital notebooks, serve as indispensable aids for bypassing or compensating for writing difficulties.

Drawing upon Vygotsky's sociocultural theory as a foundational framework for leveraging AT in the remediation of dysgraphia, educators can cultivate a supportive and collaborative learning milieu. This approach facilitates the development of writing skills and instills self-confidence in learners grappling with dysgraphia. The AT tools function as instruments bridging the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), providing tailored support from educators to learners, thus enabling them to undertake tasks that surpass their current independent capacities.

The proposed Theoretical Framework

Based on the insights from the reviewed literature and frameworks, the current researcher proposes the following theoretical framework for the effective integration and utilization of assistive technologies in dysgraphia remediation.

A Four-Tiered Theoretical Framework for Dysgraphia Remediation Using Assistive Technologies

Dysgraphia, a cognitive variance, intricately disrupts an individual's proficiency in generating written language. Its multifaceted etiology results in diverse manifestations, including impediments in handwriting, spelling, organization, and composition. The ramifications of dysgraphia extend beyond the academic realm, significantly influencing social dynamics and emotional well-being, thereby impacting self-esteem and motivation.

Assistive Technologies (AT) emerge as pivotal tools in ameliorating the challenges posed by dysgraphia, thereby augmenting learning outcomes. Constituting a spectrum of hardware, software, and devices, AT provides multifaceted support for various writing-related skills encompassing transcription, planning, editing, and communication. Facilitating access to the curriculum, expression of ideas, and active participation in classroom activities, AT serves as an invaluable aid for individuals grappling with dysgraphia.

Nevertheless, the efficacy and appropriateness of AT vary considerably across individuals with dysgraphia, necessitating the establishment of a comprehensive theoretical framework. Drawing upon the cognitive underpinnings of literacy acquisition, the Co-writer scenario employing child-robot interaction, the Social Model of Disability, and the principles of universal design for learning, the researcher proffers a sophisticated four-tiered framework. This framework is designed to intricately guide the discerning selection, meticulous implementation, and rigorous evaluation of AT interventions tailored to the unique needs of individuals undergoing dysgraphia remediation.

Tier 1: Assessment and Diagnosis

The first tier of the framework involves assessing and diagnosing the type, severity, and impact of dysgraphia on the person's writing performance and learning needs. This can be done by using standardized tests, informal measures, observations, interviews, or portfolios.

The assessment should cover the following domains:

- **Orthographic processing:** the ability to recognize, store, and retrieve the visual patterns of letters and words.
- **Phonological processing:** the ability to manipulate the sounds and structures of oral language.
- **Morphological processing:** the ability to understand and use the smallest units of meaning in words, such as prefixes, suffixes, and roots.
- **Syntactic processing:** the ability to construct and comprehend sentences according to the rules of grammar and punctuation.
- **Semantic processing:** the ability to understand and use the meanings and relationships of words and concepts.
- **Discourse processing:** the ability to organize and communicate ideas in coherent and cohesive texts, such as paragraphs, essays, or stories.
- **Metacognitive processing:** the ability to monitor, regulate, and evaluate one's own writing processes and strategies.

The diagnosis should identify the strengths and weaknesses of the person with dysgraphia in each domain, as well as the underlying causes and contributing factors of their writing difficulties. The diagnosis should also consider the person's preferences, interests, goals, and expectations regarding writing and AT.

Tier 2: Selection and Customization

The second tier of the framework involves selecting and customizing the most suitable AT for the individual with dysgraphia, based on the results of the assessment and diagnosis.

The selection and customization should follow these criteria:

- Effectiveness: the AT should have evidence of improving the person's writing skills and outcomes in the domains that they need support.
- Appropriateness: the AT should match the individual's age, developmental level, learning style, and curriculum demands.
- Affordability: the AT should be within the person's or the institution's budget and resources.

- Availability: t- Pencil grips, wide ruled notebooks, white boards, or slant boards to improve handwriting quality and posture.
- Dictation or speech-to-text software to transcribe oral language into written text.
- Word prediction software to suggest words or phrases based on the person's input or context.
- Digital typewriters or keyboards to produce typed text with minimal errors and corrections.
- Note taking applications to record, organize, and review information from lectures or sources.
- Spelling and grammar checkers to identify and correct errors in orthography, syntax, or punctuation.
- Concept mapping or outlining tools to support planning, brainstorming, and structuring of ideas.
- Text-to-speech software to read aloud written text or provide auditory feedback.
- Writing templates or scaffolds to guide the person through the steps and elements of different genres or formats of writing.
- Social robots or virtual agents to provide interactive and personalized feedback, instruction, or motivation for writing.
- The AT should be accessible and easy to obtain, install, and maintain.
- Compatibility: the AT should be compatible with the person's existing devices, software, or platforms, as well as with the classroom or learning environment.
- Usability: the AT should be user-friendly, intuitive, and easy to learn and use, with clear instructions and feedback.
- Adaptability: the AT should be flexible and adjustable to the person's changing needs, preferences, and goals, as well as to the different writing tasks and contexts.
- Acceptability: the AT should be acceptable and appealing to the person, their peers, their teachers, and their families, without causing stigma or embarrassment.

Tier 3: Implementation and Integration

The third tier of the framework involves implementing and integrating the AT into the person's writing instruction and practice.

The implementation and integration should follow these steps:

- **Introduction:** the person should be introduced to the AT, its features, functions, and benefits, and how to use it for writing.
- **Demonstration:** the person should be shown how to use the AT for different writing tasks and contexts, with examples and models.

- **Guided practice:** the person should practice using the AT for writing, with guidance, support, and feedback from the teacher, the therapist, the robot, or the peer.
- **Independent practice:** the person should practice using the AT for writing, with minimal or no assistance, and self-monitoring and self-evaluation of their performance and progress.
- **Generalization:** the person should apply the AT for writing in various settings, situations, and purposes, and transfer the skills and strategies they learned to other domains or tasks.

The implementation and integration should also involve:

- **Collaboration:** the person should collaborate with their peers, teachers, therapists, or families, to share their experiences, challenges, and achievements with the AT, and to learn from each other.
- **Differentiation:** the person should receive differentiated instruction and practice with the AT, according to their individual needs, preferences, and goals, and the level of difficulty and complexity of the writing task.
- **Evaluation:** the person should be evaluated on their writing performance and outcomes with the AT, using multiple measures and indicators, such as accuracy, fluency, quality, quantity, or satisfaction.

Tier 4: Review and Revision

The fourth tier of the framework involves reviewing and revising the AT for the individual with dysgraphia, based on the results of the evaluation and the feedback from the person and other stakeholders.

The review and revision should address these questions:-

- Is the AT effective in improving the person's writing skills and outcomes in the domains that they need support?
- Is the AT appropriate for the person's age, developmental level, learning style, and curriculum demands?
- Is the AT affordable, available, compatible, usable, adaptable, and acceptable for the person and their context?
- Is the AT integrated into the person's writing instruction and practice, and does it facilitate their writing performance and progress?
- Is the AT meeting the person's expectations, preferences, and goals, and does it enhance their motivation, confidence, and enjoyment of writing?

The review and revision should also involve:

- Feedback: the person should provide feedback on their experience, satisfaction, and suggestions for improvement with the AT, and receive feedback from their

peers, teachers, therapists, or families, on their writing performance and outcomes with the AT.

- Modification: the person should make changes or adjustments to the AT, its features, functions, or settings, to better suit their needs, preferences, or goals, or to address any issues or problems with the AT.
- Replacement: the person should replace the AT with another one, if the current one is no longer effective, appropriate, or acceptable for them, or if there is a better alternative available.
- Discontinuation: the person should discontinue the use of the AT, if they no longer need it, or if they have achieved their desired level of writing proficiency and independence.

Conclusion

This framework represents a provisional proposition designed to offer a comprehensive and systematic approach for addressing dysgraphia through the utilization of Assistive Technology (AT). It is rooted in an extensive examination of existing literature and established frameworks pertaining to the intersection of AT and dysgraphia remediation. However, it is crucial to note that the framework is not intended to be prescriptive or conclusively definitive. Rather, it is conceived as a pliable and adaptable guide that can undergo modification or refinement based on the specific needs, preferences, and objectives of each individual grappling with dysgraphia. Additionally, it is designed to accommodate the distinctive characteristics and constraints of their respective contexts.

The researcher envisions this framework as a resource that is not only conducive to a nuanced understanding of AT for dysgraphia remediation but also serves as a practical tool for the selection, implementation, and evaluation of AT strategies. Ultimately, the goal is to enhance the writing skills and outcomes of individuals coping with dysgraphia. This framework aspires to be a valuable asset for researchers, practitioners, educators, and learners alike, fostering an improved comprehension and application of AT in the realm of dysgraphia remediation.

References

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

At scale (2021). The case for investing in Assistive Technology. https://static1.squarespace.com

Asselborn, T., Chapatte, M., & Dillenbourg, P. (2020). Extending the Spectrum of Dysgraphia: A Data Driven Strategy to Estimate Handwriting Quality. *Scientific Reports, 10*.

Berninger, V. W., Nagy, W., Tanimoto, S., Thompson, R., & Abbott, R. (2017). Computer instruction in handwriting, spelling, and composing for students with specific learning disabilities in grades 4 to 9. Computers & Education, 113, 228-243.

Bublin, M., Werner, F., Kerschbaumer, A., Korak, G., Geyer, S., Rettinger, L., Schönthaler, E., & Schmid-Kietreiber, M. (2023). Handwriting Evaluation Using Deep Learning with SensoGrip. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland), 23*.

Chung, Peter & Patel, Dilipkumar & Nizami, Iman. (2020). Disorder of written expression and dysgraphia: definition, diagnosis, and management. Translational Pediatrics. 9. S46-S54. 10.21037/tp.2019.11.01.

Deidre Kelly and Daniel P Kelly (2018). Toward an understanding of dysgraphia as a barrier to STEM-related careers. Available from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328415164

Drotár, P., Dobeš, M. Dysgraphia detection through machine learning. Sci Rep 10, 21541 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78611-9

Finn, E.S., Poldrack, R.A. & Shine, J.M. Functional neuroimaging as a catalyst for integrated

Gargot, T., Asselborn, T., Zammouri, I., Brunelle, J., Johal, W., Dillenbourg, P., Archambault, D., Chetouani, M., Cohen, D., & Anzalone, S. M. (2021). "It Is Not the Robot Who Learns, It Is Me." Treating Severe Dysgraphia Using Child–Robot Interaction. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 12, 596055.

Giusti, L., Masedu, F., Di Rosa, M., & Micarelli, A. (2020). Wearable Technologies for Supporting People with Disabilities: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Empirical Studies. Sensors, 20(14), 4041. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20144041

Kandel, S., Perret, C., & Demonet, J. F. (2019). Neural correlates of dysgraphia in children with developmental dyslexia. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 1561.

Kunhoth, J & Al-ma'adeed, S & Moutaz, S & Akbari, Y.. (2023). Exploration and analysis of On-Surface and In-Air handwriting attributes to improve dysgraphia disorder diagnosis in children based on machine learning methods. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control. 83. 104715. 10.1016/j.bspc.2023.104715.

Lancioni, G. E., Singh, N. N., O'Reilly, M. F., Sigafoos, J., Alberti, G., Perilli, V., & Lang, R. (2021). Technology-based interventions for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities: Recent advances and future directions. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 110, 103842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103842

Layton, N., Bell, D., Buning, M. E., Chen, S. C., Contepomi, S., Delgado Ramos, V., Hoogerwerf, E., Inoue, K., Moon, I., Syemour, N., Smith, R., & de Witte, L. (2020). Opening the GATE: Systems thinking from the global assistive technology alliance. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 15(5), 484–490. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2020.1738565

McCloskey M, Rapp B. (2017) Developmental dysgraphia: An overview and framework for research. Cogn Neuropsychol. 2017 May-Jun;34(3-4):65-82. doi: 10.1080/02643294.2017.1369016. Epub 2017 Sep 14. PMID: 28906176; PMCID: PMC6238209.

National Education Association (2017) https://www.ldonline.org/ld-topics/assistive-technology/assistive-technology-101

National Institute of Neurological Disorder (2023). https://www.ninds.nih.gov/healthinformation/disorders/dysgraphia#:~:text=Cases%20of%20dysgraphia%20in%20adults,memory%20or%20other%20neurological%20problems.

Nightingale R, Sumner E, Prunty M, Barnett AL. Handwriting and typing: Occupational therapy practice when supporting adolescents with handwriting difficulties. *British Journal of Occupational Therapy.* 2022;85(11):891-899. doi:10.1177/03080226221097314

Prunty M, & Barnett AL (2017). Understanding handwriting difficulties: A comparison of children with and without motor impairment. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*.

Ramain-Hutchison, S. (2021). Assistive Technology for Dysgraphia. https://www.dysgraphia.life/post/assistive-technology

Rosenblum, S., Goldstand, S., & Parush, S. (2013). Relationships between handwriting and drawing skills of children with developmental coordination disorder. *Human Movement Science*, 32(6), 1333-1344.

Santangelo and Graham (2016). A meta-analysis of writing instruction for students in the elementary grades. Random House

Smith E (2022) The Global Report on Assistive Technology: a new era in assistive technology, Assistive Technology, 34:3, 255, DOI: 10.1080/10400435.2022. 2077596

Sylvio R, Moritz D, and Niels P. (2022). Challenges of using auto-correction tools for language learning. In LAK22: *12th International Learning Analytics and Knowledge Conference (LAK22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 426–431. https://doi.org/10.1145/3506860.3506867*

Skogly Kversøy K, Kellems RO, Kuyini Alhassan A-R, Bussey HC, Daae Kversøy S (2020). The Emerging Promise of Touchscreen Devices for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*. 2020; 4(4):70. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti4040070

Tools and Methods for Diagnosing Developmental Dysgraphia in the Digital Age: A Brief State-of-Art. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/ 375828506_Tools_and_Methods_for_Diagnosing_Developmental_Dysgraphia_in _the_Digital_Age_A_Brief_State-of-Art [accessed Nov 30 2023].

William E. T & Wesley A. H (2019) The cognitive foundations of learning to read: a framework for preventing and remediating reading difficulties. *Australian Journal of Learning Difficulties*, 24:1, 75-93, DOI: 10.1080/19404158.2019.1614081

World Health Organization. (2020). Assistive technology. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/assistive-technology

World Health Organization (2022).Global Report on Assistive Technology. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049451