

SOIL EXPLORATION AND GROUNDWATER PROSPECT IN ETUA, DELTA STATE NIGERIA

Chukwunwike Ossai¹ Ogheneovo Akpoyibo² Oruaode Jude Vwawware³ Raymond Eyituoyo Ogholaja⁴

^{1,2,3&4}*Physics Department, Dennis Osadebay University, AsabaDelta State, Nigeria.*

Emails: chukwunwike.ossai@gmail.com; akpofavo@gmail.com; judevawware@yahoo.com; & raymondogholaja@gmail.com

CITATION: Ossai, C., Akpoyibo, O., Vwawware, O.J. & Ogholaja, R.E. (2024). Soil exploration and groundwater prospect in Etua, Delta State Nigeria, *UBS Journal of Business and Economic Policy*, 2(1), 122 - 131.

Paper Type: Original Research Paper; **Correspondence:** akpofavo@gmail.com

Abstract

A soil test was used to categorize different types of soil in Etua town. The results of the atomic absorption spectrophotometer (A.A.S.) and X-ray fluorescent machine (XRFM) methods indicate that the soil is composed of SiO₂, Fe₂O₃, FeO, Al₂O₃, CaO, Na₂O, and K₂O in nature. SiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ ranked highest in the amount of oxide metals found in the soil sample. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that tests on groundwater samples with ions showed that certain parameters had levels that were higher than allowed. As a result, the kind of soil and the characteristics of the water samples aid in determining the appropriate and practical groundwater treatment methods to be applied. Etua is contained within the Benin Formation of the Niger Delta sedimentary base of Nigeria and is bordered to the east by Ossissa in the Ndokwa East Local Government Area, to the west by Onicha-Ukwuani, and to the north by Nsukwa in the Aniocha South Local Government Area. Samples of soil and water were taken, and their respective ionic concentrations and elemental oxide compositions were examined. Lateritic soil, clay soil, and sandy soil are all observed in the research area. The studied area show similar relationship between the water and soil compositions. It shows similar conductivity for both soil and water in the areas of study. Finally, it is observed from the research that the area of investigation has great groundwater potential due to the medium-coarse soil layers, which can act as an aquifer.

Key Words: Delta State, Etua, Geochemical Analysis, Impact, Water Samples.

Introduction

Soil science refers to soil material or parent material to describe rock and every soil that was originally formed from parent material, a deposit at the earth's surface. Soil formation is defined as the transformation of rock into soil. The rock may be gneiss, shale, sand, limestone, or peat. Soil science uses these terms interchangeably. Soil changes are caused by chemical, physical, and biological processes (Sanjay, 2014, Vwawware et al. 2024). These changes can be intensified by the action of parent material, relief, living organisms, climate, and time, which are the main factors in soil formation (Vladychenskiy, 2014). Soil formation is defined as the process by which rock turns into soil. The rock could be peat, sand, gneiss, limestone, or shale. Parent material, sometimes

referred to as soil material, is the term used by soil scientists to characterize rock and other soils that originated from deposits at the earth's surface. Due to effects on the sun, water, wind, ice, and living things, the material weathers to produce smaller materials that are carried by moving glaciers, overflowing rivers, or blowing winds, resulting in soil from the changing parent material (Sanjay, 2014). There are three main horizons in the soil profile: A, B, and C. The top, dark-colored zone of organic buildup known as the "horizon" is made up of decomposing leaf litter that is combining with mineral soil. Minerals with very little organic matter make up the B horizon (Bogg, 2016). This is the amount of water that can be gradually extracted from an aquifer without having a negative impact on the quantity, quality, or environment above the aquifer. Rainfall, soil type, lineament density, and geological formations all influence the presence and movement of groundwater. According to Arabameri et al. (2019), ground water is the best resource for surface water-related commercial and human development, and it is the second-largest intermittent freshwater supply on Earth.

Due to increased development and intensive agriculture worldwide to meet rising food and energy demands, over-exploitation of ground water has resulted in declining ground water levels, ever-increasing stress, and dwindling ground water resources in various parts of the world (Fathi et al., 2021; Priyan, 2021). It is very valuable to have information on the soil exposed to the ground surface. Additionally, geotechnical engineers must assess the subsurface conditions by excavating or boring exploratory pits to get samples. We refer to these pursuits as subsurface exploration. The significance of the building, the intricacy of the soil conditions, and the amount of money allocated for exploration all influence how far exploration can go. Determining, the state of groundwater, identifying the fundamental characteristics of soil that influence the design and safety of structures in terms of compressibility, strength, and hydrological conditions, and analyzing the reasons behind previous work's failures are all part of the goal of soil exploration. A soil study is required to ascertain the soil's carrying capacity, the pace of settling, and the location of the water table. A soil profile is a vertical cross-section of the soil made up of layers that are parallel to the surface. Soil is the topmost layer of the earth's crust and is mostly formed of organic materials and rock particles that support life. We refer to these strata as "soil horizons." During its formation, the soil is structured into layers or horizons. The soil profile is made up of these horizons, or layers. It is the portion of the soil that is exposed vertically by a soil pit. The size of the soil profile and the color of the soil make it easy to identify the soil layer. Top soil, subsoil, and parent rock are the various soil layers. Every soil layer has unique qualities, and the soil profile aids in understanding the function of the soil. It aids in distinguishing the donor soil sample from other soil samples according to characteristics such as color, texture, thickness, structure, and chemical makeup.

A sequence of horizons, or soil layers layered on top of one another, make up the soil profile. These layers or horizons are represented by the letters O, A, C, B, and R. The top soil, or A-horizon, is referred to as the "human layer" and is abundant in organic minerals. Both minerals are present in the stratum. To hold enough water and air, the top soil is porous and soft. Nutrients that have leached from the O and A horizons make up the E horizon. This stratum is more prevalent in wooded areas and contains less clay. The

uppermost layer of the topsoil, known as the O-horizon, is primarily made up of organic elements such as dead leaves, dried grass, twigs, small boulders, and dried leaves. Because of the presence of organic matter, this soil horizon has a color that is darker than dark brown. The B-horizon, or subsurface, is located immediately below. Under the topsoil and over the bedrock is the B-horizon, or subsoil. In comparison to topsoil, it is more compact and tougher. It has less organic content, soluble minerals and humus in it. It is a byproduct of the deposition of some metals and minerals, like iron oxide. Because clay soil is present, the layer is lighter brown and retains more water than the top soil. The saprolite, also known as the C-horizon, is composed of fractured bedrock and contains no organic material. Saprolite is another name for this stratum. This zone contains cemented geological material. A stratum that is compacted and cemented is the R-horizon. This area contains a variety of rocks, including limestone, granite, and basalt.

Depending on the method, a few key components appear in the development of the soil. These elements, which are primarily released from parent soils that are primarily covered in igneous or sedimentary rocks, include calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), sodium (Na), potassium (K), chlorine (CL), and phosphorus (P) (Zakir, 2013). According to Ayandiran et al. (2018) and Ogunseye et al. (2022), groundwater has a specific and unique significance in human life. It should be drinkable, devoid of contaminants, minerals, and other organic materials that could harm human health, and suitable for human consumption (Shabanda and Shabanda, 2015). The subterranean water that exists in the voids and fissures of rock, sand, and soil is known as groundwater. Aquifers are sand, soil, and rock geologic formations where water is held and moved slowly (Frank and Spellman, 2012). Over the past few years, groundwater and soil contamination in Etua have steadily grown in importance as environmental issues, which has had an impact on local residents' health. Groundwater and soil vulnerability have become critical environmental and public health issues as a result of the growing demand for drinking water and agricultural products (Mohamed et al., 2009). The drinking water supply in Etua can be substituted by groundwater sources. According to Malik and Khan (2016), there are high concentrations of heavy metals dissolved in water as groundwater flows beneath the surface due to a variety of sources, including animal dung, car emissions, excessive fertilizer application, sewage sludge, petrochemical spills from rapidly expanding industrial areas, pesticides, residue from coal combustion, high metal waste disposal, contaminated paints, and contaminated lead-acid batteries (Harvey et al., 2015). To prolong human and animal life and to fend off disease, clean drinking water is crucial. By understanding the type of soil and the elements or heavy metals present in both the soil and the groundwater, one may anticipate the quality of the groundwater. Therefore, the purpose of this research is to examine the components of soil and groundwater and their detrimental effects on the residents of the area under investigation in order to provide insight into appropriate and sufficient groundwater treatment. In order to determine the soil profile and potential for groundwater, this study project involves mapping the Etua region of Ndokwa, West L.G.A. of Delta State.

Location/Geology of Study Area

Etua town, located in the northern part of Delta State, Nigeria, is the study area (Fig. 1). It is composed of soil layers, some of which might be good aquifers. In the studied region,

confined and unconfined aquifers can be identified. The study region is characterized by the Benin, Agbada, and Akata Formations, which are part of the Niger Delta formation sequence (Esi et al. 2023, Esi and Akpoyibo 2024). The sands of the Somebreiro-Warri Deltaic Plain, the uppermost portion of the Benin Formation, immediately underlie Etua. Numerous authors (Short and Stauble, 1967; Okolie and Akpoyibo, 2012; Ofomola et al., 2017; Akpoyibo et al., 2022; Esi and Akpoyibo 2023, Anomohanran et al., 2023, Akpoyibo et al. 2023) have thoroughly examined and documented the Niger Delta's geology. According to studies, the sand in the Somebreiro-Warri Deltaic Plain is 120 m thick and is quaternary to recent (Wiber and Daukuro, 1975). The sediments have an uneven texture and range in size from medium-grain sand with occasional pebbles to thin plastic clay. The Benin Formation is mostly composed of loose sand, gravel, and sporadically intercalated shale. It is the primary freshwater supply for the Niger Delta region and is approximately 2000 meters thick, with ages spanning from the Oligocene to the Pleistocene.




Figure 1: Map of Ndokwa West unveiling Etua Region (Ndokwa West Local Government Council, 2015).

Materials and Methods

In the vicinity of Etua, Nigeria, ten sites near oil companies, gas stations, local soap industries, palm oil factories, and mechanic workshops provided soil samples and fresh boreholes that were labeled and collected for laboratory studies at the Federal University of Technology Akure (FUTA) laboratory. There, different metals and compounds were

examined to ascertain their concentrations and assess their potential effects on the groundwater in the region. When a crucial area is being researched, the investigation exercise is conducted using the random sample method. This is accomplished by physically surveying the type, color, and structure of the soil. The following basic equipments were used: measuring tape, pen, pencil, empty bags, hammer, masking tape, note book, and jerry cans. The sampling places in Etua, Delta State, include Etua-Uno (EUn), Etua-Oliogo (EOI), Etua-Etiti (EEt), and Etua-Ukpo (EUK), with locations designated as EUn1, EUn 2, EUn 3, EO_L1, EO_L2, EO_L 3, EEt 1, EEt 2, EEt 3, and EUk1. The samples come from several soil horizons in various locations within the investigation region's soil profile. The primary component concentrations that determine them include SiO₂, Fe₂O₃, FeO, Al₂O₃, CaO, Na₂O, and K₂O, and the outcomes were contrasted with the WHO-recommended benchmark. The atomic absorption spectrophotometer (A.A.S.) method was used to determine these. Eight (8) samples from various locations within the study region were used for the water analysis. The samples include WEUn 1, WEUn 2, WEET1, WEET2, WEO_L1, WEO_L 2, WEU_k 1, and WEUk 2. The ionic concentrations of both soluble and insoluble compounds in water were measured as part of the analysis. Among the determined compositions are Ca, K, Na, HCO₃, SO₄, CL, and Mg.




Figure 2: Map of Delta States displaying Ndokwa West (the study area). Source: Ministry of Land and Survey Asaba, Delta State, (2015).

Results and Discussion

The table below (Table 1) displays the data that was analyzed for the soil sample. The process was carried out based on composition by percentage.

Table 1: Geochemical analysis of soil samples based on percentage composition

location	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	MgO	CaO	K ₂ O	Na ₂ O	Cr	Ni	CL	Sum	S/R
EU _n 1	61.40	17.01	44.0	37.0	17.0	24.0	33.0	50.0	01.0	10.1	294.5	1.01
EU _n 2	60.20	18.40	30.0	41.0	24.0	31.1	45.0	45.0	11.1	20.0	325.8	1.24
EU _n 3	63.00	16.10	22.2	45.0	30.0	10.0	24.0	31.0	11.2	10.0	262.5	1.65
EO _L 1	58.12	17.11	50.0	92.0	18.0	25.0	34.0	1.0	02.0	11.1	308.3	0.87
EO _L 2	60.10	17.70	34.0	47.0	10.5	11.0	21.2	3.0	05.0	13.4	222.9	1.16
EO _L 3	48.40	19.00	25.0	66.0	10.0	67.0	24.5	20.0	01.0	12.5	293.4	1.10
EE _t 1	53.30	17.10	34.0	70.0	23.0	11.7	31.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	273.1	1.04
EE _t 2	57.90	18.70	28.1	20.0	81.0	21.1	20.8	10.0	12.0	01.0	270.6	1.24
EE _t 3	51.10	20.40	29.0	14.0	11.0	25.0	20.0	01.0	01.0	10.3	182.8	1.03
EU _k 1	52.00	22.10	22.0	10.0	21.0	28.0	11.0	21.0	11.0	12.0	210.1	1.18

The geochemical analysis result is displayed in Table 1. The main total chemical elements found in the examined soils in decreasing order are SiO₂, Fe₂O₃, and Al₂O₃, with averages of 56.55%, 31.82%, and 18.36%, respectively. The investigated soil samples are described by a high quantity (levels) of silica (48.4–63.0%), a reasonable amount of sesquioxides (Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃), in the range of 16.10–22.10% for Al₂O₃, 22.0–50.0% for Fe₂O₃, and a significant amount of bases (MgO, K₂O and Na₂O). The soil sample is enriched with a high concentration of SiO₂ (43.90%) and Al₂O₃ (12.10%). The silica/sesquioxide ratios (S/R) (Table 1) range from 0.87 to 1.65, and according to Onana et al. (2017), soils are classified as laterite if their ratio of silica to sesquioxides is not greater than 1.33, lateritic if the ratio varies from 1.33 to 2, and also non-lateritic if it exceeds 2.0. This categorization indicates that silicates leak into iron and aluminum oxides since nearly all of the investigated soil samples are categorized as both lateritic and laterite soils. The soil's other geochemical components range in CaO from 10 to 81.0%, K₂O from 10 to 67.0%, and Na₂O from 11 to 45.0%. The minor component comprises chromium (Cr), nickel (Ni), and chlorine (CL), with Table 1 displaying their corresponding percentages.

Table 2: Data analyzes for water sample (expressed in mg/l)

Location	Ca	Mg	Na	K	HCO ₃	SO ₄	CL	NO ₃
WEUn 1	310.0	1.40	7.10	1.51	78.00	84.00	107.00	4.6
WEUn 2	286.0	12.61	6.22	20.1	61.40	76.31	130.41	8.6
WEEt 1	236.0	10.21	7.81	24.0	51.22	80.44	125.61	13.0
WEEt 2	165.9	11.80	8.00	25.0	45.33	161.11	12.00	9.6
WEOL 1	262.7	13.00	6.31	31.1	76.00	50.43	10.11	10.1
WEOL 2	211.1	10.20	5.44	27.6	28.11	80.00	14.00	8.5
WEU _k 1	102.4	14.61	6.66	21.1	34.66	565.03	285.61	11.2
WEU _k 2	240.0	28.2	8.11	10.2	76.45	555.41	133.00	10.3
WHO acceptable limit (mg/L)	200.0	50.0	200.0	12.0	120.0	500.0	250.0	50.0

Chemical Analysis of Water Samples

Analysis yielded the following water contents: Ca, Mg, K, HCO₃, SO₄, CL, and NO₃. The calcium concentration in the Etua region was 226.76 mg/L on average, with a range of 102.40 mg/L to 310.0 mg/L. 200.0 mg/L is the highest amount that can be legally present in drinking water (WHO, 2017). The research area's calcium concentration is both within and beyond the allowable range. The area's high percentage of calcium in the drinking water may be caused by nearby cow bones. A calcium deficiency may result in intestinal, bone, and renal problems. The water sample had a mean magnesium value of 226.76 mg/L and a range of 1.40 mg/L to 28.2 mg/L. 200.0 mg/L is the highest amount that can be legally present in drinking water (WHO, 2017). The study area's magnesium concentration is within allowable bounds. Vegetables, chocolates, and coffee in the oil industry may be the cause of the magnesium concentration in drinking water. Hereditary heart attacks, diabetes, strokes, and even elevated blood pressure are linked to low magnesium levels.

The salt (sodium) concentration in the study area ranged from 5.44 mg/L to 8.11 mg/L, with a 6.96 mg/L mean. According to WHO (2017), the highest permissible amount in drinking water is 200.0 mg/L. The average salt concentration is less than the legal drinking limit. Sodium content in groundwater in low quantity results in underactive thyroid, diarrhea, and heart failure.

In the study area, potassium concentrations varied from 1.5 mg/L to 31.1 mg/L, with an average of 20.08 mg/L. 12.0 mg/L is the highest amount that can be legally added to drinking water (WHO, 2017). The average potassium concentration in the research region shows that the oil firm, local soap manufacturers, and fertilizers have all contributed to some minor soil contamination. Human consumption of potassium-contaminated water can lead to heart failure and irregular heartbeats (WHO, 2017). The research area's hydrogen bicarbonate (HCO₃) concentration ranged from 28.11 mg/L to 78.0 mg/L, with a mean of 56.39 mg/L. According to WHO (2017), the highest allowable amount in drinking water is 120 mg/L. The research area's HCO₃ use is within allowable bounds. The compound's rising value is a result of acid rain brought on by gas flaring in the area under investigation.

In the study region, sulfate concentrations range from 50.43 mg/L to 565.03 mg/L, with an average of 144.09 mg/L. 500.0 mg/L is the highest guideline level for drinking water (WHO, 2017). In certain cases, the research area's sulfate content is marginally higher than the uppermost allowable level. But the greater amount of tainted drinking. The concentration of chlorine ranged from 10.11 mg/L to 285.61 mg/L, with a mean strength of 102.22 mg/L. The maximum allowed limit in drinking water is 250.0 mg/L (WHO, 2017). The chlorine concentration in the study area falls within the permissible limit, except for a few cases of high amounts. The high content is due to the occurrence of gas fares in the research area. Deficiency of chlorine causes fluid loss, increases the blood sodium level, and induces asthma.

Concentrations of nitrate differ from 4.6 mg/L to 13.0 mg/L, with a mean concentration of 9.49 mg/L. The maximum permissible limit in drinking water is 50.0 mg/L (WHO, 2017). The concentration of nitrate in the study area is lower than the maximum permissible limit. Nitrate-contaminated drinking water causes methemoglobinemia, headaches, abdominal cramps, and nausea. These compounds determine many of the properties exhibited by the soil profile in the area. The properties include conductivity, porosity, permeability, and so on. The conductivity of the soil profile in the research area is determined mainly by the above chemical compounds. The mobility of the ions determines the physics of the research region. It is well known that porosity is the amount of empty pore space between the soil profile, while permeability is a measure of the extent to which the empty pore spaces are interconnected to permit water research. The permeability of the soil profile is determined by the soil profile (Hunt 1972). The ionic concentrations of Ca and Mg are higher than those of Na in the research region. Also, HCO₃ and SO₄ are less than CL. The conductivity of water is determined by its chemical component (Linsley, 2021, Ikegu et al. 2024). Water that contains sodium chloride (NaCL) is more conductive than water with a lower concentration of NaCL. Again, sea water is more salty than fresh water; aquifer recharge with sea water tends to conduct electricity more than recharged by fresh water. In the region of research, the aquifer is recharged with fresh water as a result; the conductivity of the ground water is low.

Relationship between Soil and Water Analysis

In the study area, there is a connection between groundwater and the soil profile. Given its ability to store and transfer water, the soil under study in this part of the profile may function as an aquifer. Because there may be lateritic layers above the soil in some places, which may limit the upper surface of saturation, the soil may be a restricted aquifer. Thus, there are similarities between the chemical composition of soil and water. There is a correlation between the chemical makeup of the soil and the groundwater in the research region, according to the analysis done there. Because it has the qualities of water storage and retention, the sandy soil in the profile functions as an aquifer.

Conclusion and Recommendations

In Etua, Ndokwa West, ten soil samples and eight water samples from various places were examined for a geochemical analysis to determine the groundwater quality suitable for human consumption. The study's findings showed that, in some places, the concentrations of calcium, potassium, sulfate, and chlorine in the boreholes exceeded the World Health Organization's (WHO, 2017) recommended standard for drinking water. Therefore, because it endangers consumers' health, the drinking water is not fit for human consumption. For every sampling location in Etua, the levels of nitrate, magnesium, sodium, and carbonate in water samples were all within WHO guidelines. Therefore, it is advised that groundwater development and additional water treatment be carried out before usage. It could be assumed that the soil in the Etua area contains principal and minor elements of metals. Significant metals are both present in soil and groundwater analyses. There should also be a well-engineered dumpsites to prevent groundwater contamination.

References

- Akpoyibo O., Anomohanran O. and Ossai, C. (2022): Application of 2-D Imaging Survey for Ascertaining The Cause(s) of Road Failures Along Sapele/Agbor Road In Delta State, Nigeria. *Scientia Africana*, 21(3): 215-232.
- Akpoyibo, O., Abriku, O.E., Ugbe, F. C. and Anomohanran, O. (2023): Engineering assessment of Lateritic soils of Obiaruku highway sections in South-southern, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Theoretical and Environmental Physics (NJTEP)*, 1(1): 72-79. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62292/njtep.v1i1.2023.16>
- Anomohanran O., Nakireru O.F, Ofomola M.O, Anomohanran E.E. and Abrika E.O. (2023): Assessment of groundwater potential of Somebreiro-Warri Plain sand of Ughelli, Nigeria, *Sustainable Water Resources Management* 9(1), 26.
- Arabameri, A., Rezaei, K., Cerda, A., Lombardo, L., & Rodrigo-Comino, J. (2019): GIS-based groundwater potential mapping in Shahroud plain, Iran. A comparison among statistical (bivariate and multivariate), data mining and MCDM approaches. *Science of the total environment*, 658,160-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.115>
- Ayandiran, T.A., Fawole O.O. and Dahunsi S.O. (2018): Water quality assessment of bitumen polluted Oluwa River, South-Western Nigeria. *Water Resource and Industry*, Vol. 19:13-24.
- Esi, E. O. and Akpoyibo, O. (2023): Estimation of aquifer transmissivity for typical oil producing communities of western Niger Delta using electrical resistivity survey. *COAST, Journal of the School of Science*, 5 (2): 893 – 908. <https://doi.org/10.61281/coastjss.v5i2.2>
- Esi, E.O., Akpoyibo, O., Nwankwo, R. C. and Vwavware, J.O. (2023): Estimation of the variability of sand deposits in chosen communities in parts of Niger delta, Southern-Nigeria using geophysical techniques. *Solid Earth Sciences*, 8:267–282. DOI: [10.1016/j.sesci.2023.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sesci.2023.10.001)
- Esi, O.E. and Akpoyibo, O. (2024): Geophysical Survey and Radiometric Assessment of Aquifer Strata and Vulnerable Groundwater Quality of Ukwuani Communities in Delta State. *Scientia Africana*, 23(2):88-103. DOI: [10.4314/sa.v23i2.9](https://doi.org/10.4314/sa.v23i2.9)
- Fathi, S., Sjøstad Hagen, J., Matano, A., & E. H. Nogueira, G. (2021). Review of GIS Multi Criteria Decision Analysis for Managed Aquifer Recharge in Semi-Arid Regions. In C. B. Pande, & K. N. Moharir (Eds.), *Groundwater Resources Development and Planning in the Semi-Arid Region* (pp. 19-52). Springer. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68124-12>
- Frank, R. and Spellman, J.E.D. (2012): *The drinking water handbook* (2nd ed.). USA: Taylor & Francis group.
- Harvey, P.J., Handley, H.K. and Taylor, M.P. (2015): Identification of the sources of metal (lead) contamination in drinking waters in north-eastern Tasmania using lead isotopic compositions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(16):12276-12288.
- Ikegu, O., Esi, E.O., Olumukoro, J.O and Akpoyibo, O. (2024): Assessment of Physicochemical Parameters and Heavy Metals in Okpare Creek Drinking Waters, Delta State, Nigeria *Academy Journal of Science and Engineering (AJSE)*, 18(1): 60-78.
- Linsley, R.K., Kohler, M.A., and Paulhus, J.L.H. (1982): *Hydrology for engineers*. Third Edition, New York, McGraw-Hill.

- Mohamed, A.F., Yaacob, W. Y. W., Taha, M.R, Samsudin, A.R. (2009): Groundwater and Soil Vulnerability in the Langat Basin Malaysia. *European Journal of Scientific and Research*, 27:628–635.
- Ofomola, M. O, Umayah, O.S. and Akpoyibo, O. (2017): Contamination Assessment of Dumpsites in Ughelli, Nigeria using the Leachate Pollution Index method. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*, 21(1):77-84.
- Ogunseye, T.T., Bello, A.K., Ozegin, K.O. and Akpotor, J.N. (2022): Geochemical Soil Analysis for Groundwater Quality at Mokola Area, Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Geology and Geophysics*, 10(4): 63-69.
- Okolie, E.C. and Akpoyibo, O. (2012): Investigation of subsurface lithology and prolific aquifer using VES in Edjekota, Delta State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Reviews in Applied Sciences*, 12(3): 468 – 476.
- Onana, V.L., Ngooze, A., MedjoEko, R., Ntouala, R.F.D., NangaBineli, M.T., Owoudou, B.N., Ekodeck, G.E. (2017): Geological identification, geotechnical and mechanical characterization of charnokite-derived lateritic gravels from southern Cameroon for road construction purposes. *Trans Geotech*, 10:35–46.
- Priyan, K. (2021). Issues and challenges of groundwater and surface water management in semi-arid regions. *Groundwater Resources Development and Planning in the Semi-Arid Region*, 1-17.
- Sanjay, S.K. (2014): *Core Principles of Soil mechanics* (1st ed.). London: ICE publishing.
- Shabanda, I. S. and Shabanda, J. I. (2015): Heavy Metal Concentrations in Ground Water from Northern Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Hydraulic Engineering*, 4(3):265-269.
- Short, K.C, and Stauble, A.J. (1967): Outline of Geology of Niger Delta: AAPG Bull., 51: 761-779.
- Vladychenskiy A.S. (2004): Genesis of Soils and Factors of the Soil Formation. *Environment Structure and Function: Earth System*, 23–33.
- Vwavware, O. J.; Ojobeagu, O. A.; Akpoyibo, O. and Omoyibo, S. E. (2024): Climate Change and Crop Adaptation: Geophysical Data on Soil Moisture Changes and Agricultural Strategies. *International Journal of Agriculture and Animal Production*, 4(2):1-13. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55529/ijaap.42.1.13>
- Weber, K.J. and Daukuro, E. (1975): Petroleum Geology of the Niger Delta. *Proceedings of 9th petroleum congress, Tokyo*, 209–229.
- WHO, (2017): Background document for the development of W.H.O. guideline for drinking water quality.
- Zakir, S.N., Ali, L., Khattak, S. A. (2013): Variation in major element oxide with time in the soils of Peshawar Basin: Their comparison with the normal agricultural soil. *Journal of Himalayan Earth Sciences*, 46(2), 35–48.