PERCEIVED INFLUENCE OF JOB SECURITY ON LECTURERS' PRODUCTIVITY IN PUBLIC POLYTECHNICS IN SOUTH WEST, NIGERIA

BY

Dr. Taoheed Adewale OYEWOLE

Department of Educational Management, University of Ilesa, Ilesa, Osun State E-mail: Adewale_oyewole@unilesa.edu.ng
Phone Number: +2348038570197

Prof. Abiodun Olumide AYENI

Department of Educational Management, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria E-mail: biodunmide@gmail.com
Phone Number: +2348033970983

and

Dr. Bolaji Gabriel Popoola

Department of Educational Management, Library and Information Science E-mail: popoolabg@eauedoyo.edu.ng
Phone Number: +2348039171270

Abstract

This study was carried out to determine the influence of Job Security (JS) on LP in PPs in south west Nigeria. Descriptive design was used. Multi-stage sampling procedure was adopted. Four states (Ondo, Ogun, Osun and Lagos) that had federal and state polytechnics were purposively selected, while the four federal polytechnics were enumerated and nonproportional to size technique was used to select six state polytechnics. Proportionate to size was used to select 540 Lecturers, seventy (70) Heads of Department(HoDs) and nine hundred and twenty-three (923) students. The instrument used were LP and JS questionnaires for Lecturers, HoDs and students. The study's reliability of the instrument on LP and JS was r=0.68. TQ and Students Project Supervision's reliability result were r=0.73 & r=0.70 for lecturers and students questionnaires respectively. Descriptive statistics of frequency counts, simple percentages, mean and standard deviation was used to analyse the three research questions raised. The CS (\bar{x} =2.34) was low, 74.1% of lecturers did not publish in textbooks, while TQ (\bar{x} =2.89; \bar{x} = 2.89; \bar{x} = 2.93) and lecturers' commitment to SsPS $(\bar{x}=2.85; \bar{x}=2.89; \bar{x}=2.77)$ as rated by lecturers, HoDs and students respectively were moderate in PPs. Salary ($\bar{x} = 2.15$) implies that lecturers are not satisfied with their salary payment; CsS (\bar{x} = 2.30), this result implies that lecturers are not satisfied with the conditions of service in their various polytechnics. Job security enhanced lecturers' productivity in public polytechnics in southwestern Nigeria. Therefore, government should increase subvention, research grant and conditions of service to public polytechnics' lecturers for improved productivity.

Introduction

Lecturer productivity is measured in terms of teaching output, research output, community service and students project supervision. It appears that polytechnic education which is not only an instrument of change but also an important tool for economic growth and national development has not been given proper attention by the government of the

Federal Republic of Nigeria (Audu, Kamin, and Balash, 2013). Hence, this appears to have resulted in low lecturer productivity in these institutions.

The Federal Republic of Nigeria (2013) stated clearly in its National Policy on Education that goals of polytechnics shall be to provide the technical knowledge and skills necessary for agricultural, industrial, commercial and economic development of Nigeria and give training that impart the necessary skills for the production of technicians, technologists, and other skilled personnel who shall be enterprising and self-reliant. However, it seems that low lecturer productivity has made it difficult for the objectives to be met. This statement is in line with submission of Ayeni (2003) who revealed that the neglect of scientific and technical aspects of education have greatly affected the production of both middle and high level manpower vis-à-vis the industrial development in Nigeria.

Therefore, the main expectation of lecturers engaged in polytechnic education should revolve around teaching, research, community service and project supervision which are the main indices for measuring lecturer productivity in Polytechnics, but these indices of productivity appear to be under threat in the public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria in particular and Nigeria in general. This statement was in support of Halilu and Wilson (2018) who revealed that lecturers in polytechnics are not doing well in the area of teaching, research, community service and supervision of students' projects.

In recent times, there has been diverse opinion on the products of polytechnics looking for white collar jobs in Nigeria but graduates of polytechnics are not supposed to be looking for white collar jobs, rather these graduates are expected to be trained to become employers of labour as well as technologists who are capable of operating machines and equipments in the various companies in Nigeria. Therefore, this is an evidence that lecturers in polytechnics are perceived not to be productive enough for the objectives of establishing polytechnics to be achieved (Okwelle and Wordu, 2016).

Polytechnic lecturer productivity could be ascertained in terms of teaching, research and community service including supervision of students' projects which seem to be declining in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria. Personal investigations have revealed that not all the lecturers in public polytechnics are doing what is right always when it comes to teaching to achieve the polytechnic education's objectives. According to Ogunkoya, Enyi and Aremu (2020) some lecturers in polytechnics were not teaching as expected of them. Therefore, this act might result to poor contributions of the students to economic growth of the country after they graduated from the polytechnics.

Another measurement of productivity centres around research and publications, which appear to be handled with levity by some lecturers in the public polytechnics and this, might result to academic irregularities in these institutions. It is a known fact, that academic institutions primarily measure research productivity based on published work, externally funded grants, and the number of citations the published work received. However, it has been observed that some lecturers do not engage in writings and publications, instead, they seek the assistance of other colleagues in other institutions to include their names while they pay the bills. The aftermath effect of this is that such lecturers would not be productive in their areas of specialisations (Ozengbe and Omonkalo, 2014 and Ogunkoya, Enyi and Aremu, 2020).

Also productivity is measured by community service, though lecturers' services to their community may be undervalued in comparison to research and teaching. Community engagement which is perceived as additional activities undertaken by lecturers to contribute positively to the community well-being appears to be lacking in polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria. It was observed that lecturers' participation in community service is very low.

Azila-Gbettor, Mensah and Avorgah (2015) revealed in their study, perceptions of students and supervisors on the interplay of institutional-student-supervisor related factors that militate against the writing and completions of quality project in polytechnics in which it was shown that student-supervisor relationship was not cordial and it would affect the objective of writing the project in particular and objective of polytechnic education in general.

Personal observation has shown that some lecturers in the polytechnics are working under the fear that their appointment could be terminated without prior notice, especially the temporary ones among them. Therefore, these lecturers do not work up to full capacity, consequently, their productivities in terms of teaching and research, project supervision and contribution to the institutions are likely to be affected. It also appears that many lecturers are not working in full capacity because their appointment is on contract. The contract lecturers are not guaranteed job security, and this problem could result to poor or low productivity with respect to teaching, research publications, community service and students' project supervision. Thus, in elaborating on staff discontent, Akpan (2013) noted that, if academic staff experience job dissatisfaction they would become frustrated, apathetic and their morale would be killed and this could affect their commitment.

The empirical studies conducted by Halilu and Wilson (2018) on productivity of academic staff in polytechnics in North West Geo- Political Zone in Nigeria revealed that productivity of lecturers was low. Also, this finding corroborates the findings of Abba, Anumaka and Gaite (2016) on leadership practices and productivity of academic staff in polytechnics in Nigeria. The results of the findings showed that the two leadership practices explained 3.8% of the variation in lecturer productivity. Also, Onuegbu and Ngige (2018) revealed that employees rewards policies gain significantly affect organisational productivity. Bigirimana (2016) who revealed that top management has provided most of the physical facilities for the lecturers. However, office spaces for students mentoring and guidance as well as lecturers 'office sharing were not adequate. The same applied for lecturers' research/study room. In addition, the findings showed that the majority of lecturers (65.8%) disagreed on existence of progression periodic salary increment and a majority of them (56%) did not agree to availability of in-house skills training.

The result of the analysis of hypothesis of this study was in support of Akande (2014) revealed that prompt payment of salaries, regular promotion, attending regular training programme had significant influence on teachers' productivity. In the same vain, the result of the analysis of this study was in support of that of Issah, Abubakari and Wuptiga (2016) who revealed a significant relationship of variable for status of school facilities and lecturers academic job stress sources. Results of hypothesis tested that status of school facilities influence lecturer job stress significantly. It was concluded that adequate or complete lack of academic facilities for state of the time would not only impair academic productivity but rather exert undue stress on lecturers and available facilities. The result of this study also corroborated Ayeni, Jaiyeoba and Atanda (2008) who revealed there was a significant relationship between office space and productivity. Also, the study supported that of Daniel et al (2019) who revead in the result of their findings that there was strong positive relationship which was significant, This implies that, work environment had significant relationship with lecturer productivity in higher education institution.

Agba (2007) who revealed among other things that although the policy is partially implemented, it has boosted the morale of works and enhance productivity through positive change in work attitude. Furthermore, the study shows that increase in salaries through monetized benefits is not sufficient to meet the basic needs of workers in the face of rising cost of living. The study also discovered that the incidence of frustration, fear and anxiety in the polytechnic work force is due to the retrenchment component of the policy and this is

bound to have a negative effect on workers' productivity. Despite the fact that scholars had worked on each variables of job security, there is deart of research conducted on polytechnics that connected job security and lecturer productivity in polytechnics. Therefore, this research is focused on relationship between job security and lecturer productivity in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria.

Statement of the Problem

Low lecturer productivity is a strong factor that could hinder the achievement of the goals of polytechnic education in Nigeria on imparting technological skills for technicians, technologists and other skilled personnel that could decide to be self-reliant, especially the middle level technical manpower. The level productivity of lecturers in polytechnics in terms of teaching, research, community service and supervision of students' projects has been perceived to be generally low. It is suspected that the teaching methods adopted by polytechnic lecturers are not appreciated and could make it difficult for students to acquire the necessary skills.

Furthermore, not much has been done to investigate job security and productivity of lecturers in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria. The perceived aspect that has affected productivity of lecturers in public polytechnics is the job insecurity which is low when compared to other sectors of the Nigerian economy. If the identified problems are not given due consideration, it may lead to brain drain among lecturers. Thus, this research investigated the the relationship between job security and lecturer productivity in public polytechnics in southwestern Nigeria.

Objectives

The objectives of the study were to:

- 1. examine the level of productivity of lecturer in the public polytechnics in southwestern Nigeria;
- 2. investigate the extent of implimentation of conditions of service in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria; and
- 3. find out the regularity of payment in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria.

Research Questions

The following research questions were answered to guide the study.

- 1) What is the level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics in southwestern Nigeria?
- 2) What is the extent of implimentation of conditions of service in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria?
- 3) How regular is the payment of salary in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria?

Methodology

This study adopted descriptive design. The population of this study comprised the entire lecturers in the seventeen (17) public polytechnics in the six states in Southwestern Nigeria. There were four thousand five hundred and twenty-two (4522) Lecturers in the seventeen (17) public polytechnics which comprises five federal and twelve (12) state polytechnics in the Southwestern Nigeria. The study adopted the use of multi-stage sampling procedure of which five hundred and forty (540) lecturers were used for the research. The data obtained from the field were analysed using descriptive statistics of frequency counts, simple percentages, mean and standard deviation for the research questions. The mean score of 2.5 was regarded as low/less while mean score above 2.5 was regarded as moderate/ high.

Results

Research Question 1. What is the level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria?

Table 1: Level of lecturer productivity in terms of teaching quality in public polytechnics as Rated by HoD

S/N	Items	VH	Н	M	NH	Mean	S.D
1	Lecturers in my department go to class with lecture	40	17	1	1	3.63	0.613
	notes	(67.8)	(28.8)	(1.7)	(1.7)		
2	Courses are taught by lecturers with different lecture	40	17	1	1	3.63	0.613
	materials in accordance with lecture plan	(67.8)	(28.8)	(1.7)	(1.7)		
3	Lecturers offer simple, clear and concise explanation	28	27	1	3	3.63	0.760
	during lectures	(47.5)	(45.8)	(1.7)	(5.1)		
4	Lecturers in my department attend to students	21	23	10	5	3.02	0.938
	according to their requests	(35.6)	(39.0)	(16.9)	(8.5)		
5	Lecturers in my department make sure that	26	29	2	2	3.34	0.710
	examination results are always ready within a very short time	(44.1)	(49.2)	(3.4)	(3.4)		
6	Related resources are used in large quantity by	29	24	4	2	3.36	0.760
	lecturers	(49.2)	(40.7)	(6.8)	(3.4)		
7	Lecturers attend to students based on their consultation	12	23	19	5	2.71	0.892
	period	(20.3)	(39.0)	(32.2)	(8.5)		
8	Some of the lecturers are not friendly	7	16	16	20	2.71	0.892
		(11.9)	(27.1)	(27.1)	(33.9)		
9	Lecturers do extra teaching if it is necessary	13	32	12	2	2.95	0.753
		(22.0)	(54.2)	(20.3)	(3.4)		
10	Lecturer cover syllabus on time	17	34	8	_	3.15	0.638
		(28.8)	(57.6)	(13.6)	(0.0)		
11	Some lecturers do not give adequate time to students'	5	9	16	29	1.83	0.985
	project supervision	(8.5)	(15.3)	(27.1)	(49.2)		
12	Lecturers allow students to consult them regularly	14	38	4	3	3.07	0.716
		(23.7)	(64.4)	(6.8)	(5.1)		
13	Some lecturers do not come to work regularly and it is	3	8	27	21	1.88	0.832
	always difficult for their supervisees to have direct contact with them at a regular interval	(5.1)	(13.6)	(45.8)	(35.6)		
14	Lecturers are not friendly with their students on project	6	4	19	30	1.76	0.971
	supervision	(10.2)	(6.8)	(32.2)	(50.8)		
15	My lecturers do motivate diligent	24	27	6	2	3.24	0.773
		(40.7)	(45.8)	(10.2)	(3.4)		
16	Lecturers hardly help students to publish their work	5	9	26	19	2.00	0.910
		(8.5)	(15.3)	(44.1)	(32.2)		
17	Benchmarks are established by the lecturers for	21	27	10	1	3.15	0.761
	students to achieve within a specific period of time by lecturers	(35.6)	(45.8)	(16.9)	(1.7)		
	Average	Mean = 2.	.89				
	. D						

Note: Percentages in parentheses

Key: VH = Very High; H= High; Moderate = M; NH = Not High

Note: Mean response ranges from Note: Not High= 0 -2.4; Moderate =2.5-3.0;

High=3.1-3.4; Very High=3.5-5.0

The level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria as rated by lecturers, this was revealed under three indicators, and these are teaching, community service and project supervision. On the teaching, the average mean of teaching as an indicator of level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics was given as $\bar{x} = 2.68$, which implies that the respondents submitted it was moderate.

On the supervision of final year project, the average mean (\bar{x}) of project supervision as an indicator of level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics was given as $\bar{x} = 2.85$, which implies that the respondents submitted that project supervision was moderate.

.______

On the community service of lecturers, the average mean (\bar{x}) of community service as an indicator of level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics was given as $\bar{x} = 2.34$, which implies that the respondents submitted that community service was not high in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria.

Table 2: Level of lecturer productivity in terms of teaching quality and supervision of project as rated by Students

S/N	Items	VH	H	M	NH	Mean	S.D
1	Lecturers come to class with lecture notes	470 (55.2)	267 (31.4)	53 (6.2)	61 (7.2)	3.35	0.88
2	Courses are taught by lecturers with different	519	252	51	29	3.48	0.75
2	lecture materials in accordance with lecture	(61.0)	(29.6)	(6.0)	(3.4)	3.40	8
	plan	(01.0)	(2).0)	(0.0)	(3.4)		
3	Lecturers offer simple, clear and concise	403	305	90	53	3.24	0.87
3	explanations during lectures	(47.4)	(35.8)	(10.6)	(6.2)	3.21	8
4	Lecturers attend to students according to their	315	336	128	72	3.05	0.92
•	requests	(37.0)	(39.5)	(15.0)	(8.5)	3.03	6
5	Lecturers make sure that examination results	306	313	125	107	2.96	0.70
3	are always ready within a very short time	(36.0)	(36.7)	(14.7)	(12.6)	2.50	5
6	Related resources are used in large quantity by	294	329	143	85	2.98	0.95
O	lecturers	(34.5)	(39.7)	(16.8)	(10.0)	2.70	6
7	Lecturers attend to students based on their	299	287	187	78	2.95	0.96
,	consultation period	(35.1)	(33.7)	(22.0)	(9.2)	2.73	8
8	Some lecturers are not friendly	274	286	153	138	2.82	0.81
Ü		(32.2)	(33.6)	(18.0)	(16.2)		2
9	Some time, lecturers do arrange for extra	362	259	149	81	3.06	0.98
	lecture when necessary	(42.6)	(30.4)	(17.5)	(9.5)	5.00	9
10	My lecturers cover syllabus on time	324	346	120	61	3.10	0.89
		(38.1)	(40.6)	(14.1)	(7.2)		4
		()			Mean = 3	3.09	
	Project Supervision						
1	Some lecturers do not give adequate time to	236	231	220	164	2.63	0.81
	students' project supervision	(27.7)	(27.1)	(25.9)	(19.3)		7
2	Lecturers allow students to consult them	309	350	118	74	3.05	0.92
	regularly	(36.3)	(41.1)	(13.9)	(8.7)		1
3	Some lecturers do not come to work regularly	186	239	215	211	2.47	0.88
	and it is always difficult for their supervisees to	(21.9)	(28.1)	(25.2)	(24.8)		0
	have direct contact with them at a regular	` ´		, ,			
	interval						
4	Lecturers are not friendly with their students on	162	265	211	213	2.44	0.81
	project supervision	(19.0)	(31.2)	(24.8)	(25.0)		1
5	My lecturers do motivate diligent students	430	280	71	70	3.26	0.92
		(50.6)	(32.9)	(8.3)	(8.2)		3
6	Lecturers hardly help students to publish their	196	273	240	142	2.61	0.82
	work	(23.0)	(32.1)	(28.2)	(16.7)		3
7	Benchmarks are established for students to	284	299	174	94	2.91	0.98
	achieve within a specific period by lecturers	(33.5)	(35.1)	(20.4)	(11.0)		6
		ge Mean =		·			
	Gran	d Mean=2	2.93				

Percentages in parentheses

Table 2 showed level of lecturer productivity as related by students in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria. This was revealed under two indicators, these are teaching and project supervision. On teaching, students revealed that the average mean of teaching as an indicator of level of productivity in public polytechnics was given as \bar{x} =3.09, which implies that the respondents submitted teaching as one of the indices of productivity as rated by the students was very high.

On project supervision, students revealed that the average mean of project supervision as an indicator of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics was given as $\bar{x}=2.77$, which implies

Note:

that the respondents submitted that supervision of project was high in public polytechnics as rated by students. The grand mean showed that lecturer productivity as rated by students was 2.93, which implies that the respondents submitted that lecturer productivity was high.

Table3: Level of lecturer productivity in terms of teaching quality, community service and supervision of students' projects in public polytechnics as rated by Lecturers

	public polytecnnics as rated by Lecturers					I	
S/N	Items	VH	Н	M	NH	Mean	S.D
	Teaching						
1	Attendance of lectures as and when due	307	109	33	33	3.43	0.89
		(63.7)	(22.6)	(6.8)	(6.8)		1
2	Teaching in line with the content of the	204	179	66	33	3.15	0.90
	lecture plan	(42.3)	(37.1)	(13.7)	(6.8)		2
3	Teaching with appropriate teaching aids	234	149	33	66	3.14	0.84
	and/or device	(48.5)	(30.9)	(6.8)	(13.7)		1
4	Interest of students during lectures	209	174	66	33	3.16	0.90
		(43.4)	(36.1)	(13.7)	(6.8)		6
5	Students are allowed to ask questions	136	247	66	33	3.01	0.83
	during and after lectures on the topic delivered	(28.2)	(51.2)	(13.7)	(6.8)		3
6	Students participation in lectures	203	170	76	33	3.13	0.91
	Students participation in Tectures	(42.1)	(35.3)	(15.8)	(6.8)	3.13	6
7	Given attention to students during	20	120	182	160	2.00	0.86
'	consultation time	(4.1)	(24.9)	(37.8)	(33.2)	2.00	5
8	Time allocated to teach course is	40	100	189	153	2.06	0.92
0	inadequate to cover the syllabus.	(8.3)	(20.7)	(39.2)	(31.7)	2.00	5
9	Lecturers do extra teaching if it is	170	117	119	76	2.79	0.90
"	necessary	(35.3)	(24.3)	(24.7)	(15.8)	2.19	1
10	Lecturers cover syllabus on the course(s)	150	200	66	66	2.90	0.99
10	assigned to them before the	(31.1)	(41.5)	(13.7)	(13.7)	2.70	4
	commencement of end of semester	(31.1)	(41.3)	(13.7)	(13.7)		-
	examinations						
	CAMIMIATIONS			Avei	rogo		
				Mean:			
S/N	Project Supervision						
1	Students assigned to me for project	300	73	76	33	3.33	0.97
	supervision are given adequate attention.	(62.2)	(15.1)	(15.8)	(6.8)		4
2	My supervisees are allowed to consult me	223	160	33	66	3.12	0.92
	anytime they see me in office.	(46.3)	(33.2)	(6.8)	(13.7)		1
3	I am always available to attend to my	94	182	173	33	2.70	0.86
	supervisees.	(19.5)	(37.8)	(35.9)	(6.8)		0
4	My supervisees are given priority over my	20	180	216	66	2.32	0.75
	secondary assignment.	(4.1)	(37.3)	(44.8)	(13.7)		9
5	I motivate my students to devote time to	138	212	99	33	2.94	0.87
	their study.	6(28.	(44.0)	(20.5)	(6.8)		4
	·	6)					
6	I help my supervisees to publish their	30	163	269	20	2.42	0.67
	work.	(6.2)	(33.8)	(55.8)	(4.1)		2
7	I establish benchmarks to be achieved by	201	172	66	43	3.10	0.95
	my supervisees without delay,	(41.7)	(35.7)	(13.7)	(8.9)		0
				Average N	1ean=2.85		
	Community Service						
1	As a member of staff of this Polytechnic, I	127	195	63	97	2.73	0.90
	participate in community service	(26.3)	(27.1)	(13.1)	(20.1)		3
2	I have participated in community	30	246	96	110	2.41	0.90
	improvement programmes as a member of	(6.2)	(51.0)	(19.9)	(22.8)		8
	this Polytechnic.	(/	(= 14)				
3	I am involved in offering training	30	146	229	77	2.27	0.80
-	substitution and mobilization services to	(6.2)	(30.3)	(47.5)	(16.0)		1
	communities.	(=.=)	(- 5.5)		(3.3)		-
4	I am involved in promoting the civic	93	162	73	154	2.40	0.85
'	duties of the community.	(19.3)	(33.6)	(15.1)	(32.0)	2.10	2
5	I am involved in collaboration with	73	202	96	111	2.49	0.83
	community for useful services.	(15.1)	(41.9)	(19.9)	(23.0)	2.77	2
6	As a member of staff I am involved in	50	106	150	176	2.06	0.99
U	235 a member of Statt 1 am illvolved ill	1 20	100	150	1/0	2.00	0.77

Perceived Influence of Job Security on Lecturers' Productivity in Public Polytechnics in South West, Nigeria

_	 							
•	training the youth in community activities.	(10.4)	(22.0)	(31.1)	(36.5)		9	
	Average Mean = 2.34							
	Grand Mean=2.63							

Note: Percentages in parentheses: Not High= 0-2.4; Moderate =2.5-3.0;

High=3.1-3.4; Very High=3.5-5.0

Table 3 showed the lecturer productivity in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria as rated by lecturers, this was revealed under three indicators, and these are teaching, community service and project supervision. On the teaching, the lecturers revealed that the average mean of teaching as an indicator of level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics was given as $\bar{x} = 2.68$, which implies that the respondents submitted it was moderate.

On project supervision, the lecturers revealed that average mean of project supervision as an indicator of level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics was given as \bar{x} =2.85, which implies that the respondents submitted that project supervision was moderate. On community service, the lecturers revealed that the average mean of community service as an indicator of level of lecturer productivity in public polytechnics was given as \bar{x} =2.34, which implies that the respondents submitted that community service was not high in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria.

Responses of lecturers on research outputs revealed are shown in the table above

Answer to research questions two and three are shown below:

Table 4: Extent of Salary and conditions of Sevice implimentation in public Polytechnics in South West, Nigeria

S/N		Salary							
	Items	VH	Н	M	NH	Mean	S.D		
1	I promptly get reasonable additional monetary	46	30	69	129	1.97	0.821		
	compensation for over time service.	(16.8)	(10.9)	(25.2)	(47.1)				
2	I receive equitable and adequate pay based on	19	53	88	114	1.92	0.940		
	changing economic, commercial and competitive conditions.	(6.9)	(19.3)	(32.1)	(41.6)				
3	I receive equitable and adquate pay on	15	58	91	110	1.92	0.910		
	schedule.	(5.5)	(21.2)	(33.2)	(40.1)				
4	lecturers are paid based on rank.	101	52	63	58	2.72	0.790		
		(36.9)	(19.0)	(23.0)	(21.2)				
5	I get reasonable additional monetary	19	82	48	125	1.98	0.918		
	compensation on time for extra teaching.	(6.9)	(29.9)	(17.5)	(45.6)				
6	My salary is not paid completely.	37	21	99	117	1.82	0.820		
		(13.5)	(7.7)	(36.1)	(42.7)				
7	I need increase in salary.	82	92	34	66	2.69	0.739		
		(29.9)	(33.6)	(12.4)	(24.1)				
	Average Mean = 2.15								
	Condition of Service								
	Items	VH	H	M	NH	Mean	S.D		
1	Our campus is safe and it is free of cult	113	48	56	57	2.79	0.718		
	activities.	(41.2)	(17.5)	(20.4)	(20.8)				
2	My office is comfortable.	36	82	102	54	2.36	0.944		
		(13.1)	(29.9)	(37.2)	(19.7)				
3	I have access to items needed for the smooth	30	51	150	43	2.25	0.850		
	running of my job.	(10.9)	(18.6)	(54.7)	(15.7)				
4	My work place is always clean.	50	50	67	107	2.16	0.833		
		(18.2)	(18.2)	(24.5)	(39.1)				
5	My Head of Department informs me about	52	54	57	111	2.17	0.765		
	activity(ies) of our Department.	(19.0)	(19.7)	(20.8)	(40.5)				
6	I am challenged to improve and reinforce my	47	82	86	59	2.43	0.811		
	quality of performance due to educational	(17.2)	(29.9)	(31.4)	(21.5)				
	assistance.								
		1 /4	91	56	63	2.57	0.850		
7	I am challenged to improve and reinforce my	64				1			
7	quality of performance due to flexible work	(23.4)	(33.2)	(20.4)	(23.0)				
7						2.00	0.708		

20	lecturers. ICT facilities and materials are always	(4.4)	(9.5)	120	104	1.86	0.842
19	There are designated research room for	12	26	119	117	1.76	0.800
	relevant books.	(20.8)	(18.6)	(42.0)	(18.6)		
18	interaction. The polytechnic library has adequate and	(17.9)	(54.4)	(14.6)	(13.1)	2.42	0.817
17	The office layout favours spontaneous	49	149	40	36	2.77	0.895
10	Office sharing between lecturers is appropriate.	(28.8)	(20.1)	(32.5)	(18.6)		0.793
16	students.	79	55	89	51	2.59	0.793
15	The polytechnic management makes provision for counselling unit on campus for guidance of	78 (28.5)	90 (32.8)	33 (12.0)	(26.6)	2.63	0.758
	1 1	(27.0)	(30.3)	(15.3)	(27.4)		
14	I have a stapler in my office.	74	83	42	75	2.57	0.757
13	I am supplied enough printing papers regularly.	(3.6)	56 (20.4)	76 (27.7)	132 (48.2)	1.80	0.891
	for my lectures.	(32.1)	(36.5)	(16.8)	(14.6)		
12	White board markers are adequately provided	88	100	46	40	2.86	0.728
11	The lecturers' offices are well ventilated	(15.0)	(38.0)	53 (19.3)	75 (27.4)	2.41	0.745
	the polytechnic management for all lecturers.	(2.2)	(13.5)	(42.3)	(42.0)		
10	their productivity. There are functional office phones provided by	6	37	116	115	1.76	0.766
	facilities for lecturers to use in order to boost	(2.2)	(19.7)	(46.0)	(32.1)		
9	There are adequate computer and internet	6	54	126	88	1.92	0.776

Key: VH = Very High; H= High; Moderate = M; NH = Not High

Note: Mean response ranges from Note: Not High= 0 -2.4; Moderate=2.5-3.0;

High =3.1-3.4; Very High=3.5-5.0

The Table showed level of salary and conditions of service in the public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria, this was revealed fewer than four indicators; these are salary and conditions of service.

On salary, the lecturers revalealed that the average mean of salary as an indicator of level of job security in state polytechnics was given as $\bar{x} = 2.15$ which implies that the respondents submitted that salary was not high in state polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria.

On conditions of service, the lecturers revealed that the average mean of conditions of service in state polytechnics was given as $\bar{x} = 2.30$, which implies that the respondents submitted that conditions of service was not high in state polytechnics.

Discussions

Lecturer productivity (teaching output, research output, sudents' project supervision and community service) in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria

The finding of this study was in line with the report of the previous studies of Halilu and Wilson (2018) on productivity of academic staff in polytechnics in North West Geo-Political Zone in Nigeria that productivity of lecturers was low. Also, this finding corroborates the findings of Abba, Anumaka and Gaite (2016) on leadership practices and productivity of academic staff in polytechnics in Nigeria. The results of the findings showed that the two leadership practices explained 3.8% of the variation in lecturer productivity. The result of the analysis of the research question two revealed that salary of lecturers in public polytechnics is not adequate. This result supported Onuegbu and Ngige (2018) that employees rewards policies gain significantly affect organisational productivity. The result of research question 2 & 3 was corroborated with that of Bigirimana (2016) who revealed that top management has provided most of the physical facilities for the lecturers. However, office spaces for students mentoring and guidance as well as lecturers 'office sharing were not adequate. The same applied for lecturers' research/study room. In

addition, the findings showed that the majority of lecturers (65.8%) disagreed on existence of progression periodic salary increment and a majority of them (56%) did not agree to availability of in-house skills training. Also, the result of the analysis of Research Question 3 which showed that salary of lecturers in public polytechnics in South West was not good enough (Average Mean= 2.15), this finding corroborated the finding of Akande (2014) that prompt payment of salaries, regular promotion, attending regular training programme had significant influence on teachers' productivity. In the same vain, the result of the analysis of this study on conditions of service (Mean=2.30) was in support of that of Issah, Abubakari and Wuptiga (2016) who revealed a significant relationship of variable for status of school facilities and lecturers academic job stress sources. It was concluded that adequate or complete lack of academic facilities for state of the time would not only impair academic productivity but rather exert undue stress on lecturers and available facilities.

The result of this study also corroborated Ayeni, Jaiyeoba and Atanda (2008) who revealed that there was a significant relationship between office space and productivity. Also, the study supported that of Daniel et al (2019) who revead in the result of their findings that there was strong positive relationship which was significant, This implies that, work environment had significant relationship with lecturer productivity in higher education institution.

Conclusion

The job security of lecturers in terms of salary, condition of service and fringe benefit in these polytechnics were also below average level. The condition of service (such as office accommodations, furniture and office equipments) were all affecting the lecturers' productivity in public polytechnics in Southwestern Nigeria. Finally, it was concluded that productivity of lecturers in public polytechnics in terms of teaching and project supervision was moderate and above average while research publications and community service of lecturers in federal and state polytechnics were below average. Lecturers in public polytechnics revealed that they are not satisfied with the salary they are earning and how the salaries are paid is not good for their welfare.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are made: government should give more grants to lecturers for research purposes. Welfare package of lecturers in public polytechnics should be given priority by the government at state and federal level. Government should ensure that salaries and fringe benefits of lecturers in public polytechnics are paid as and when due without delay so as for them to be more productive. Also federal and state government should take office accommodation and equipments of lecturers in public polytechnics more seriously for the improved productivity. In conclusion, research leave should be approved for polytechnic lecturers so that their productivity can increase.

References

- Abba, H.D. & Mugizi, W. (2018). Performance of academic staff in polytechnics and analysis of performance level in North West geo-political zone of Nigeria. *Arts and Humanity open Access Journal*, 2.3: 198-203.
- Agba, M.S. (2007). The impact of monetization of fringe benefits on the productivity of Nigerian workers: the case of Federal Polytechnic, Idah, Kogi State. An unpublished M.Sc. thesis of University of Nigeria, Nsukka.

Akande, F.B. (2014). Assessment of the relationship between condition of service and teacher job

- - performance in secondary schools in Kogi State. M.Ed. project Department of Educational Foundation and Curriculum, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
- Akpan, C.P. (2013). Job security and job satisfaction as determinants of organizational commitment among university teachers in Cross River State, Nigeria. *British Journal of Education*, 1.2: 82-93.
- Ayeni, A.O., Jaiyeoba, A.O. & Atanda, A.I. (2008). Infrastructural facilities and productivity of academic staff of University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. *Nigeria Journal of Educational Administration and Planning*, 8.1:197-213.
- Ayinde, H. (2014). Employee welfare programme: Panacea towards improving labour productivity in the service sector in Nigeria. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5.10:78-81.
- Azila-Gbettor, E.M., Mensha, C. & Avorgah, S.M.K. 2015. Challenges of writing dissertations: Perpetual differences between students and supervisors in a Ghanaian Polytechnic. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 3.4:182-198.
- Babagana, A. & Babagana, D.(2015). Staff remuneration and the performance of Ramat polytechnic Maiduguri students from 1995-2011. European Journal of Research and Reflection in Management Science, 3.5:1-10.
- Dabara, D.I., Oyekunle, J.S., Omotehinse, O.J., Lawal, O.K. & Asa, O.A. (2020). Work environment and lecturer productivity in selected higher institutions in Osun State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Management and Applied Sciences*, 6.3:38-42.
- Halilu, D. and Wilson, M. (2018). Performance of academic staff in polytechnics: An analysis of performance levels in Northwest geo-political zone of Nigeria. Retrieved 22/12/2019 from: www.researchgate.net.
- Isah, S.I. (2010). A comparative evaluation of women entrepreneurship empowerment programmes in Nigeria: A need for strategic partnership. *Abuja Journal of Administration and Management*, 7.2: 76-97.
- Nakpodia, E.D. (2011). Work environment and productivity among primary school teachers in Nigeria. *International Multidisciplinary Journal*, 5.5:367-381.
- Ojeleye, Y.C. (2017). The impact of remuneration on employee performance (A study of Abdul Gusau Polytechnics, Talata. Mafara and State College of Education Maru, Zamfara State. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 4. 2: 34-43.
- Onuegbu, R.C. & Ngige, C.D. (2018). Organisational reward system and its effects on workers' performance in polytechnics of Southwestern Nigeria. *International Journal of Business Systems and Economics*, 12.2: 01-15.
- Ukeje, A.E. & Ugwuanyi, C.L. (2011). Effects of job insecurity on the psychological health of company workers-implications for colleges of education workers in Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Leadership*, 14.1: 50 56.
- Wells, J. (2011). Teacher responses to pay-for-performance policies. Survey results from four high-poverty. *Urban School Districts*, 45.2: 139 176.